

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

FOR ANNUAL AND TRANSITION REPORTS
PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(D) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

(Mark One)

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number **0-17196**

MGP Ingredients, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Kansas

(State or Other Jurisdiction
of Incorporation or Organization)

48-0531200

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

100 Commercial Street, Box 130, Atchison, Kansas

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

66002

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code **(913) 367-1480**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Common Stock, no par value

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ___ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ___ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ___

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ___ No ___

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to their Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and smaller company: in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer ___ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ___ Smaller reporting company ___

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ___ No ☒

The aggregate market value of common equity held by non-affiliates, computed by reference to the last sales price as reported by NASDAQ on December 31, 2010, was \$132,500,169.

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of August 30, 2011 was 18,143,757.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The following documents are incorporated herein by reference:

- (1) Portions of the MGP Ingredients, Inc. Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on October 20, 2011 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report to the extent set forth herein.

CONTENTS PAGE

Forward Looking Statements	iii
Available Information	iii
PART I	
Item 1. Business	1
General Information	1
Fiscal 2011 Developments	2
Financial Information About Segments	2
Business Strategy	3
Product Sales	4
Distillery Products Segment	4
Ingredient Solutions Segment	6
Other Segment	9
Patents	9
Research and Development	10
Seasonality	10
Transportation	10
Raw Materials	10
Energy	11
Employees	11
Regulation	11
Joint Ventures	13
Executive Officers of the Registrant	15
Item 1A. Risk Factors	17
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	23
Item 2. Properties	23
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	24
Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)	24
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholders Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	25
Trading Market	25
Historical Stock Prices	25
Record Holders	25
Trading Volumes	25
Dividends	25
Purchases of Equity Securities by Issuer	26
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	27
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
General	29
Critical Accounting Policies	32
Fiscal 2011 and Ongoing Initiatives	34
Developments in the Distillery Products Segment	35
Developments in the Ingredient Solutions Segment	35
Developments in the Other Segment	35
Segment Results	36
Fiscal 2011 Compared to Fiscal 2010	37
Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009	41
Quarterly Financial Information	46
Liquidity and Capital Resources	47
Off Balance Sheet Obligations	55
New Accounting Pronouncements	56
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	57
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	58

	Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	58
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	58
	Consolidated Statements of Operations – Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009	60
	Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010	61
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009	62
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) – Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009	63
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009	64
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	103
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	103
Item 9B.	Other Information	103
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	104
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	104
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	104
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	105
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	105
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	106
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		<u>132</u>

The calculation of the aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates is based on the assumption that non-affiliates do not include directors or executive officers. Such assumption does not constitute an admission by the Company or any director or executive officer that any director or executive officer is an affiliate of the Company.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements as well as historical information. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K regarding the prospects of our industry and our prospects, plans, financial position and business strategy may constitute forward-looking statements. In addition, forward-looking statements are usually identified by or are associated with such words as “intend,” “plan,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “hopeful,” “should,” “may,” “will,” “could,” “encouraged,” “opportunities,” “potential” and/or the negatives of these terms or variations of them or similar terminology. They reflect management’s current beliefs and estimates of future economic circumstances, industry conditions, Company performance and financial results and are not guarantees of future performance. All such forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by the relevant forward-looking statement. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations include, among others: (i) disruptions in operations at our Atchison facility, (ii) the availability and cost of grain and fluctuations in energy costs, (iii) the effectiveness of our hedging strategy, (iv) the competitive environment and related market conditions, (v) the ability to effectively pass raw material price increases on to customers, (vi) the ability to effectively operate the Illinois Corn Processing, LLC (“ICP”) joint venture, (vii) our ability to maintain compliance with all applicable loan agreement covenants, (viii) our ability to realize operating efficiencies, (ix) and actions of governments. For further information on these and other risks and uncertainties that may affect our business, see *Item 1A. Risk Factors*.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We make available through our website (www.mgpingredients.com) under “Investors – Investor Relations,” free of charge, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such material with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

PART I

Throughout this document, Dollars are presented in thousands unless otherwise noted.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

As used herein, unless the context otherwise requires, the terms “Company”, “we”, “us”, “our” and words of similar import refers to the combined business of MGP Ingredients, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

GENERAL INFORMATION

MGP Ingredients, Inc. is a Kansas corporation headquartered in Atchison, Kansas. It was incorporated in 1957 and is the successor to a business founded in 1941 by Cloud L. Cray, Sr.

The Company produces certain distillery and ingredient products which are derived from corn and wheat flour, respectively, primarily to serve the packaged goods industry. The Company has three reportable segments: distillery products, ingredient solutions and other. Our distillery products segment consists of food grade alcohol, along with a minimal amount of fuel grade alcohol, commonly known as ethanol, and distillers feed, which are co-products of our distillery operations. The ingredient solutions segment products primarily consist of specialty starches, specialty proteins, commodity starches and commodity vital wheat gluten. Mill by-products, consisting primarily of mill feeds or “midds,” had also previously been included in this segment but were discontinued with the shutdown of our wheat flour milling operations at the Atchison, Kansas plant in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. Our other segment products are comprised of plant-based biopolymers and wood-based composite resins manufactured through the further processing of certain of our starches and proteins and wood particles. Prior to the sale of our Kansas City, Kansas facility described below, our other segment also included pet-related products primarily consisting of extruded plant-based resins and finished pet treats.

We purchase corn obtained from or through grain elevators. We purchase wheat flour, the principal raw material used in the manufacture of our protein and starch products, from ConAgra Mills. We process flour with water to extract vital wheat gluten, the basic protein component of flour, which we use primarily to process into specialty wheat proteins that possess increased protein levels and/or enhanced functional characteristics. Most wheat protein products are dried into powder and sold in packaged or bulk form. We further process the starch slurry which results after the extraction of the protein component to extract premium wheat starch, a portion of which we further process into specialty starches and a portion of which we sell as commodity starch, and all of which we dry into powder and sell in packaged or bulk form. We mix the remaining starch slurry with corn and water and then cook, ferment and distill it into alcohol. We dry the residue of the distilling operations and sell it as a high protein additive for animal feed.

The principal location at which we make our products as of June 30, 2011 is our plant located in Atchison, Kansas. We also operate a facility in Onaga, Kansas for the production of plant-based biopolymers and wood composite resins. Our line of textured wheat proteins are produced through a toll manufacturing arrangement at a facility in Kansas City, Kansas, which we had previously owned and which we sold to Sergeant’s Pet Care Products, Inc. (“Sergeant’s”) on August 21, 2009. Additionally, in November 2009, we entered into a joint venture with SEACOR Energy, Inc.’s affiliate, Illinois Corn Processing Holdings LLC (“ICP Holdings”), to reactivate distillery operations at our facility in Pekin, Illinois. This facility is now owned and operated by a 50% owned, unconsolidated joint venture entity named Illinois Corn Processing, LLC (“ICP”), which reactivated the plant in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 after we temporarily closed it in the third quarter of fiscal 2009. ICP produces food grade alcohol for beverage and industrial applications, which we purchase, and fuel grade alcohol, which SEACOR Energy, Inc. purchases.

On August 25, 2011 we have changed our fiscal year end from June 30 to December 31, commencing December 31, 2011. The change will be effective at the start of calendar 2012. A transition report will be filed for the period beginning July 1, 2011 and ending December 31, 2011 on Form 10-K.

FISCAL 2011 DEVELOPMENTS

In fiscal 2011, we continued to concentrate our efforts on the development, production and commercialization of value-added ingredient solutions, consisting of specialty, value-added wheat proteins and wheat starches, and high quality beverage and food grade industrial alcohol. We have also realigned our production efforts.

As a result of the measures we have taken, we saw a \$45,944, or 22.7 percent increase in sales. Despite this increase in sales, our operating and net margins declined for the year. Our operating results were impacted by significant increases in raw material costs for corn, wheat flour, and natural gas, as well as significant unrealized losses on commodity derivative contracts during the fourth quarter of 2011.

Recent strategic decisions we have made impacting fiscal 2011 include the following:

- As of June 30, 2011, we had substantially completed a capital project designed to provide environmental benefits at our Atchison, Kansas distillery operations. This project, which was approved by our Board of Directors on June 10, 2010, consisted of the installation of a new, state-of-the-art process water cooling system to replace older equipment used to supply water for multiple components of the distillation process. The project began in the summer of fiscal 2010 and was completed during July of 2011 at an estimated cost of \$9,356. We financed the project through a capital lease with U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.
- On October 20, 2010, our Board of Directors approved a project to upgrade our protein and starch plant infrastructure. The upgrades primarily involved interior and exterior renovations to the facility, as well as the redesign of certain protein and starch processing equipment, at a cost of \$2,500. The upgrades should allow us to maintain high quality standards and increase our production efficiency. The project began in October 2010 and was completed in the latter half of fiscal 2011.
- During the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we implemented an SAP information technology system for accounting, sales, supply chain and manufacturing. SAP was implemented to improve our business processes and deliver enhanced operational and financial information. This implementation is expected to enable us to manage our business and our reporting more efficiently. We spent \$1,269 on the SAP implementation, of which \$996 was capitalized.
- During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, we entered into contracts with a third party logistics company, that contracts with the transportation companies, who will provide logistics support in managing all truck and rail carriers in servicing our North American customers, as well as improving delivery times of our inbound materials. This is part of our strategic initiative to strengthen our customer service capabilities while also increasing our logistics capabilities, efficiencies and cost savings.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT SEGMENTS

Note 12. Operating Segments of our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Item 8 of this Report, which is incorporated herein by reference, includes information about sales, depreciation and amortization, income (loss) before income taxes for the last three fiscal years by reportable segment. Information about sales to external customers and assets located in foreign countries is included. Information about identifiable assets is included for the last two years.

BUSINESS STRATEGY

We seek to strengthen our profit margins and improve returns on capital over time. To enhance opportunities to achieve our objectives, we have restructured our business and have modified our product portfolio to emphasize a greater mix of higher margin, value-added products, principally specialty food ingredients and high quality food grade alcohol. To this end, we have taken measures to significantly reduce our production and marketing of lower and negative margin commodity type products. Our strategy is focused on the development and marketing of wheat-based specialty protein and starch products and high quality food grade alcohol, as well as plant-based biopolymer and wood-based composite resin products for use in unique market niches. We seek to add value to our customers' major branded packaged goods products by providing product solutions across a range of food and beverage applications, as well as certain non-food product applications, that can ultimately benefit the consumer.

Market trends from which we hope to benefit include health and wellness lifestyle trends in the food area and growing demand for natural versus synthetic products. Increased interest in bio-economy initiatives may also create opportunities for us, particularly in regard to our partially and totally degradable biopolymers.

As a component of our strategy, we have prioritized strengthening our overall operational capabilities and effectiveness through ongoing continuous improvement projects. Simultaneously, we are boosting our efforts to place greater focus on research, development and innovation initiatives, supply chain management, and customer service practices. We continue to concentrate on specific, highly functional ingredient solutions for our customers. We are concentrating our production and marketing efforts on supplying a core base of loyal customers with an array of high quality, premium ingredients that address nutritional, functional, sensory and convenience issues and that can help build value while making more efficient use of our existing capacities.

We continue to be a leading company in the food grade alcohol industry and pursue efforts to maintain highly efficient alcohol production operations. Since early 2004, the majority of our Atchison distillery's capacity has been dedicated to the production of high quality, high purity food grade alcohol for beverage and industrial applications. It produces only a minimal amount of fuel alcohol as a co-product of our food grade production activities. The majority of our former Pekin plant's capacity for several years had been dedicated to the production of fuel grade alcohol. The Pekin plant is now owned and operated by a joint venture, ICP, which produces food grade alcohol, which we purchase, and fuel grade alcohol, which SEACOR Energy, Inc. purchases, as elsewhere described.

We continued to experience generally favorable conditions in the food grade alcohol market in fiscal 2011, providing our customers with what we believe is among the highest quality, high purity alcohol in the world. We have been in the food grade alcohol business since the Company's founding in 1941.

Biopolymers continue to represent an emerging part of our business. Currently, we have two commercial products in the market. The first product comprises plant-based biopolymers in which a large percentage of petroleum-based plastic could be replaced with materials made from renewable sources, specifically wheat starch. These biopolymers, which serve as bio-based alternatives to traditional plastics, may be utilized in a wide range of products, such as disposable cutlery, cosmetic cases and a host of other items. The second product is a wood-based composite resin, which compounds wood and recycled plastic materials. This product is produced for use in the manufacture of deck boarding, toy products, furniture parts and other wood applications in which long-term durability is required. These products are sold directly to producers of finished products. We are also continuing work on the development and commercialization of a fully bio-based, fully compostable resin.

PRODUCT SALES

The following table shows our sales from continuing operations by each class of similar products during the past three fiscal years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, as well as such sales as a percent of total sales.

	PRODUCT GROUP SALES					
	June 30, 2011		Fiscal Year Ended, June 30, 2010		June 30, 2009	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Distillery Products: (1)						
Food grade Alcohol	\$ 157,486	63.5%	\$ 118,578	58.7%	\$ 124,199	42.6%
Distillers Grain and related Co-products	20,642	8.3%	14,340	7.1%	33,060	11.3%
Fuel grade Alcohol	10,865	4.4%	7,072	3.5%	47,445	16.2%
Total Distillery Products	\$ 188,993	76.2%	\$ 139,990	69.3%	\$ 204,704	70.1%
Ingredient Solutions: (2)						
Specialty Starches	\$ 29,459	11.9%	\$ 27,978	13.9%	\$ 32,817	11.2%
Specialty Proteins	20,918	8.4%	20,847	10.3%	21,936	7.5%
Commodity Wheat Starch	7,228	2.9%	9,065	4.5%	12,629	4.3%
Vital Wheat Gluten	160	0.1%	1,825	0.9%	13,684	4.8%
Mill By-Products	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	1,061	0.4%
Total Ingredients	\$ 57,765	23.3%	\$ 59,715	29.6%	\$ 82,127	28.2%
Other Products: (3)	\$ 1,157	0.5%	\$ 2,266	1.1%	\$ 4,981	1.7%
Net Sales	\$ 247,915	100.0%	\$ 201,971	100.0%	\$ 291,812	100.0%

- (1) In February 2009, we temporarily discontinued distillery operations at our Pekin facility. We now only produce minimal quantities of fuel grade alcohol as a co-product of our food grade alcohol production at our Atchison facility. As a result, our production of distillers feed, a principal co-product of our alcohol production process, also has declined. The table includes our sales of food grade alcohol acquired from ICP but does not otherwise reflect distillery product sales of ICP, which now operates our former Pekin plant.
- (2) In October 2008, we shut down our Atchison wheat flour mill and began purchasing high quality flour for use as the principal raw material in our protein and starch production processes. As a result, we quit selling Mill By-Products. In November 2008, we discontinued producing protein and starch at our Pekin facility and consolidated production of value-added protein and starch products at our Atchison facility. These actions were driven by our planned reduction in the manufacturing and sales of commodity vital wheat gluten and significantly curtailed emphasis on the production and commercialization of commodity wheat starch.
- (3) Other products formerly included personal care products and pet products. We ceased production of personal care products in the third quarter of fiscal 2009 and sold our pet business in the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

Substantially all of our sales are made directly or through distributors to manufacturers and processors of finished packaged goods or bakeries. Sales to our customers purchasing food grade alcohol are made primarily on a spot, monthly, or quarterly basis with some annual contracts, depending on the customer's needs and market conditions. Sales of fuel grade alcohol are made on the spot market. Contracts with distributors may be for multi-year terms with periodic review of pricing. Contracts with ingredients customers are generally price and term agreements which are fixed for quarterly or six month periods, with very few agreements of twelve months duration or more. During fiscal 2011, our five largest distillery products customers combined accounted for 29.1% of our consolidated revenues. Our five largest ingredients products customers combined accounted for 16.1% of our consolidated revenues in fiscal 2011.

DISTILLERY PRODUCTS SEGMENT

Our Atchison plant processes corn, mixed with starch slurry from the wheat starch and protein processing operations, into food grade alcohol and distillery co-products such as fuel grade alcohol and distillers feed.

Food grade alcohol consists of beverage alcohol and industrial food grade alcohol that are distilled to remove impurities. Fuel grade alcohol is grain alcohol that has been distilled to remove all water to yield 200 proof alcohol suitable for blending with gasoline. In fiscal 2009, we decided to reduce our exposure to the fuel grade alcohol market and presently generate and sell only minimal amounts as a co-product of the food grade alcohol production process at our Atchison distillery.

In February 2009, we temporarily discontinued operations at our former Pekin facility. Historically, the Pekin plant had been principally dedicated to the production of fuel grade alcohol. On November 20, 2009, we completed a series of transactions whereby we contributed our former Pekin plant to a newly-formed company, ICP, and then sold 50% of the membership interest in this company to ICP Holdings, an affiliate of SEACOR Energy Inc., for \$15,000 cash (\$13,951 net of closing costs). ICP reactivated distillery operations at the Pekin facility during the quarter ended March 31, 2010. We purchase food grade alcohol products manufactured by ICP and SEACOR Energy Inc. purchases fuel grade alcohol products manufactured by it.

Food Grade Alcohol. The majority of the Atchison distillery's capacity is dedicated to the production of high quality, high purity food grade alcohol for beverage and industrial applications. New state-of-the-art equipment that was installed in 2004 has resulted in improved alcohol production efficiencies at the Atchison plant. During fiscal 2011, we generally operated at full production capacity for our food grade alcohol at the Atchison plant.

Food grade alcohol sold for beverage applications consists primarily of grain neutral spirits and gin. Grain neutral spirits are sold in bulk quantities at various proof concentrations to bottlers and rectifiers, which further process the alcohol for sale to consumers under numerous labels. Our gin is created by redistilling grain neutral spirits together with proprietary customer formulations of botanicals or botanical oils.

We believe that in terms of fiscal 2011 net sales, we are one of the three largest merchant market sellers of food grade alcohol in the United States. Our principal competitors in the beverage alcohol market are Grain Processing Corporation of Muscatine, Iowa and Archer-Daniels-Midland Company of Decatur, Illinois.

Much consolidation in the beverage alcohol industry has occurred at the customer level over the past two decades. As these consolidations have come about, we have maintained a strong and steady presence in the market due to longstanding relationships with customers and our reputation for producing very high quality, high purity alcohol products.

We sell food-grade industrial alcohol for use as an ingredient in foods (e.g., vinegar and food flavorings), personal care products (e.g., hair sprays and hand sanitizers), cleaning solutions, biocides, insecticides, fungicides, pharmaceuticals, and a variety of other products. Although grain alcohol is chemically the same as petroleum-based or synthetic alcohol, certain customers prefer a natural grain-based alcohol. We sell food-grade industrial alcohol in tank truck or rail car quantities direct to a number of industrial processors.

Historically, synthetic alcohol was a highly significant component of the food grade industrial alcohol market. In recent years, however, the use of grain-based alcohol has exceeded synthetic alcohol in this market. Our principal competitors in the grain-based food grade industrial alcohol market are Grain Processing Corporation of Muscatine, Iowa and Archer-Daniels-Midland Company of Decatur, Illinois. Competition is based primarily upon price, service and quality factors.

Distillery Co-Products.

The bulk of fiscal 2011 sales of alcohol co-products consisted of distillers feed and fuel grade alcohol.

Distillers Feed. Distillers feed is principally derived from the residue of corn from alcohol processing operations. The residue is dried and sold primarily to processors of animal feeds as a high protein additive. We compete with other distillers of alcohol as well as a number of other producers of animal food additives in the sale of distillers feed. In fiscal 2011, distillers feed prices were higher on average compared to the prior year due to increased prices for corn, the basic raw material from which distillers feed is derived.

Fuel Grade Alcohol. Fuel grade alcohol is sold primarily for blending with gasoline to increase the octane and oxygen levels of the gasoline. As an octane enhancer, fuel grade alcohol can serve as a substitute for lead and petroleum-based octane enhancers. As an oxygenate, fuel grade alcohol has been used in gasoline to meet certain environmental regulations and laws relating to air quality by reducing carbon monoxide, hydrocarbon particulates and other toxic emissions generated from the burning of gasoline (“toxics”). Because fuel grade alcohol is produced from grain, a renewable resource, it also provides a fuel alternative that tends to reduce the country’s dependence on foreign oil.

To encourage the production of fuel grade alcohol for use in gasoline, the Federal government and various states have enacted tax and other incentives designed to make fuel grade alcohol competitive with gasoline and gasoline additives. Under the internal revenue code, and until the end of the 2010 calendar year, gasoline that was blended with fuel grade alcohol provides sellers of the blend with certain credits or payments. Until the end of calendar year 2008, these amounted to \$0.51 per gallon of fuel grade alcohol with a proof of 190 or greater that was mixed with the gasoline; during calendar years 2009, 2010 and 2011, they amounted to \$0.45 per gallon. Although these benefits have not been directly available to us, they were intended to permit us to sell our fuel grade alcohol at prices which generally are competitive with less expensive additives and gasoline. On June 16, 2011 the U.S. Senate voted to allow these credits to expire on December 31, 2011. Additionally, the U.S. Senate voted to allow the expiration of the \$0.54 per gallon of fuel alcohol import tariff. The U.S. House of Representatives has yet to debate these issues and it is unclear at this time how either the U.S. House of Representatives or the President of the United States may weigh-in on these issues. Various initiatives have been proposed to extend the blended incentives. However, the outcome and/or extent of such proposals is uncertain. The impact of this change on the market for fuel grade alcohol, if any, and the profitable operations of ICP cannot be determined at this time.

At times in the past, there has been significant volatility in corn and fuel grade alcohol markets, making incremental fuel grade alcohol production decisions difficult. In fiscal 2009, we at times encountered fuel grade alcohol prices below our production costs. With industry capacity in excess of federal mandates, it did not seem likely to us at the time that equilibrium would return to the fuel grade alcohol markets in the short term. Accordingly, we determined to substantially reduce our production of this product and now only produce fuel grade alcohol as a co-product of our food grade alcohol business at our distillery in Atchison. For the year ended June 30, 2011 fuel grade alcohol sales represented approximately 5.7 percent of total sales for the distillery products segment. Although we retain some exposure to the volatility of the fuel alcohol market through our investment in ICP in Pekin, Illinois, we have an opportunity to participate when the economics of that market are good and we believe that the extent of our exposure to bad markets is significantly less than when we operated the Pekin facility ourselves.

Major market participants in the fuel grade alcohol market include Poet Biorefining, Archer-Daniels-Midland Company and Valero Energy Corporation, which together account for approximately a third of the total production capacity. We and our joint venture, ICP, compete with other producers of fuel grade alcohol on the basis of price and delivery service.

INGREDIENT SOLUTIONS SEGMENT

Our ingredient solutions segment consists primarily of specialty wheat starches, specialty wheat proteins, commodity wheat starch and vital wheat gluten. Through the second quarter of fiscal 2009, mill feeds, the principal by-product of the flour milling process, was also included in this segment. With the discontinuation of our wheat milling operations, we have ceased the production and sale of mill feeds. As noted above, we have substantially exited the commodity wheat gluten market and have curtailed the production of commodity wheat starches.

In recent years, our specialty wheat starches and proteins have accounted for a sizeable share of our total sales in this segment. This primarily has been due to the following factors: partnering with customers on product development, increased capacity to produce these products, and increased marketing efforts that have resulted in greater customer recognition.

Specialty Wheat Starches. Wheat starch constitutes the carbohydrate-bearing portion of wheat flour. We produce a pure white premium wheat starch powder by extracting the starch from the starch slurry, substantially free of all impurities and fibers, and then drying the starch by spray, flash or drum. Premium wheat starch differs from low grade or B wheat starches, which are extracted along with impurities and fibers and are used primarily as a binding agent for industrial applications, such as the manufacture of charcoal briquettes. We do not sell low grade or B starches. Premium wheat starch differs from corn starch in its granular structure, color, granular size and name identification.

A substantial portion of our premium wheat starch is altered during processing to produce certain unique specialty wheat starches designed for special applications. Our strategy is to market our specialty wheat starches in special market niches where the unique characteristics of these starches are better suited to a customer's requirements for a specific use. We have developed a number of different specialty wheat starches, and continue to explore the development of additional starch products with the view to increasing sales of value-added specialty starches. We produce our Fibersym® resistant starch, which has become one of our more popular specialty starches, using a patented technology referred to below under Patents. We sell our specialty starches on a nationwide basis, primarily to food processors and distributors.

Our specialty wheat starches are used primarily for food applications as an additive in a variety of food products to affect their nutritional profile, appearance, texture, tenderness, taste, palatability, cooking temperature, stability, viscosity, binding and freeze-thaw characteristics. Important physical properties contributed by wheat starch include whiteness, clean flavor, viscosity and texture. For example, our starches are used to improve the taste and mouth feel of cream puffs, éclairs, puddings, pie fillings, breadings and batters; to improve the size, symmetry and taste of angel food cakes; to alter the viscosity of soups, sauces and gravies; to improve the freeze-thaw stability and shelf life of fruit pies and other frozen foods; to improve moisture retention in microwavable foods; and to add stability and to improve spreadability in frostings, mixes, glazes and sugar coatings. We also sell our specialty starches for a number of non-food applications, which include biopolymer products, and for use in the manufacture of adhesives, paper coatings, carbonless paper, and wall board.

Our wheat starches as a whole generally compete primarily with corn starch, which dominates the United States starch market. However, the unique characteristics of our specialty wheat starches provide them with a number of advantages over corn and other starches for certain baking and other end uses. Our principal competitors in the starch market are Cargill Incorporated (primarily corn and tapioca starch), Corn Products International Incorporated (corn starch), Manildra Milling Corporation (wheat starch), Penford Corporation (potato starch), Archer-Daniels-Midland Company (wheat and other grain starches) and various European companies. Competition is based upon price, name, color and differing granular characteristics which affect the food product in which the starch is used. Specialty wheat starches usually enjoy a price premium over corn starches and low grade wheat starches. Commodity wheat starch price fluctuations generally track the fluctuations in the corn starch market. As we experienced in fiscal 2010, the specialty wheat starch market usually permits pricing consistent with costs which affect the industry in general, including increased grain costs. However, this is not always the case; during fiscal 2011, 2006 and fiscal 2003, for example, increases in grain and fuel prices outpaced market price increases in the specialty wheat starch market.

Specialty Wheat Starches

· **Fibersym® Resistant Starch series.** These starches serve as a convenient and rich source of dietary fiber. Unlike traditional fiber sources like bran, our resistant starches possess a clean, white color and neutral flavor that allow food formulators to create a wide range of both traditional and non-traditional fiber enhanced products that are savory in both appearance and taste. Applications include pan breads, pizza crust, flour tortillas, cookies, muffins, pastries and cakes.

- **FiberRite® RW Resistant Starch.** FiberRite® RW is a product that boosts dietary fiber levels while also reducing fat and caloric content in such foods as breads, sweet goods, ice cream, yogurt, salad dressings, sandwich spreads and emulsified meats.
- **Pregel™ Instant Starch series.** Our Pregel starches perform as an instant thickener in bakery mixes, allowing fruit, nuts and other particles such as chocolate pieces to be uniformly suspended in the finished product. In coating systems, batter pick-up can be controlled for improved yield and consistent product appearance. Additionally, shelf-life can be enhanced due to improved moisture retention, allowing products to remain tender and soft over an extended storage period.
- **Midsol™ Cook-up Starch series.** As a whole, these starches deliver increased thickening, clarity, adhesion and tolerance to high shear, temperature and acidity during food processing. Certain varieties in this line of starches can also be used to reduce sodium content in some food formulations. Such properties are important in products such as soups, sauces, gravies, salad dressings, fillings and batter systems. Processing benefits of these starches also include the ability to control expansion in extruded breakfast cereals. In addition, they provide textural enhancement and moisture management in processed foods, especially during storage under frozen and refrigerated conditions.

Commodity Wheat Starch. As is the case with value-added wheat starches, our commodity wheat starch has both food and non-food applications, but such applications are more limited than those of value-added wheat starches and typically sell for a lower price in the marketplace. As noted above, commodity wheat starch competes primarily with corn starches, which dominate the marketplace and prices generally track the fluctuations in the corn starch market.

Specialty Wheat Proteins. We have developed a number of specialty wheat proteins for food and non-food applications. Specialty wheat proteins are derived from vital wheat gluten through a variety of proprietary processes which change its molecular structure. Wheat proteins for food applications include products in the Arise®, Wheatex®, HWG 2009™ and FP™ series. Our specialty wheat proteins generally compete with other ingredients and modified proteins having similar characteristics, primarily soy proteins and other wheat proteins, with competition being based on factors such as functionality, price and, in the case of food applications, flavor. Our principal competitors in the specialty proteins market are Archer-Daniels-Midland Company (wheat and other grain proteins), The Solae Company (soy), Manildra Milling (gluten and wheat proteins), and various European companies. Although we are producing a number of our specialty wheat proteins on a commercial basis, some products are in the test marketing or development stage.

Specialty Wheat Proteins

- **Arise® series.** Our Arise® series of products consists of specialty wheat proteins that increase the freshness and shelf life of frozen, refrigerated and fresh dough products after they are baked. Certain ingredients in this series are also sold for use in the manufacture of high protein, lower net carbohydrate products.
- **Wheatex® series.** This series consists of texturized wheat proteins made from vital wheat gluten by changing it into a pliable substance through special processing. The resulting solid food product can be further enhanced with flavoring and coloring and reconstituted with water. Texturized wheat proteins are used for meat, poultry and fish product enhancements and/or substitutes. Wheatex® mimics the textural characteristics and appearance of meat, fish and poultry products. It is available in a variety of sizes and colors and can be easily formed into patties, links or virtually any other shape the customer requires.

· **FP™ series.** The FP™ series of products consists of specialty wheat proteins, each tailored for use in a variety of food applications. These include proteins that can be used to form barriers to fat and moisture penetration to enhance the crispness and improve batter adhesion in fried products, effectively bond other ingredients in vegetarian patties and extended meat products, increase the softness and pliability of flour tortillas, and fortify nutritional drinks.

· **HWG 2009™.** This is a lightly hydrolyzed wheat protein that is rich in peptide-bonded glutamine, an amino acid that counters muscle fatigue brought on by exercise and other physical activities. Applications include nutritional beverages and snack products.

Vital Wheat Gluten. Vital wheat gluten is a free-flowing light tan powder which contains approximately 75 to 80 percent protein. When we process flour to derive starch, we also derive vital wheat gluten. Vital wheat gluten is added by bakeries and food processors to baked goods, such as breads, and to pet foods, cereals, processed meats, fish and poultry to improve the nutritional content, texture, strength, shape and volume of the product. The neutral flavor and color of wheat gluten also enhances, but does not change, the flavor and color of food. The cohesiveness and elasticity of the gluten enables the dough in wheat and other high protein breads to rise and to support added ingredients, such as whole cracked grains, raisins and fibers. This allows the baker to make an array of different breads by varying the gluten content of the dough. Vital wheat gluten is also added to white breads, hot dog buns and hamburger buns to improve the strength and cohesiveness of the product.

Vital wheat gluten in recent years has been considered a commodity, and therefore, competition primarily has been based upon price.

In prior years, vital wheat gluten has sometimes been a principal ingredients product. However, we generally have been unable to compete with subsidized imports and now use it as a base for further processing into our specialty wheat proteins.

OTHER SEGMENT

Our plant-based biopolymers and composite resins, which are produced from the further processing of certain of our wheat proteins and wheat starches (and other plant sources), can be used to produce a variety of eco-friendly products. We formerly manufactured plant-based resins for use primarily in pet treat applications. Our production of the pet-related products was discontinued with the sale of our pet products business and Kansas City facility in August 2009. After giving effect to the sale, our principal products in our other segment consist of our MGPI Terratek® biopolymers and composite resins. The MGPI Terratek® SC starch-based biopolymers are our environmentally-friendly biopolymers that can be molded to produce a variety of formed objects. Applications include disposable eating utensils, golf tees, food and feed containers and similar type vessels, as well as non-degradable hard plastic-like products. We also produce MGPI Terratek® WC wood-based composite resins, which can be used in the manufacture of eco-friendly decking materials, furniture parts, toys and a number of other wood-like products.

PATENTS

We are involved in a number of patent-related activities. We have filed patent applications to protect a range of inventions made in our expanding research and development efforts, including inventions relating to applications for our products. Our most significant patents or patent licenses are described below.

In 2003, we licensed, on an exclusive basis, certain patented technology from The Kansas State University Research Foundation relating to U. S. Patent No. 5,855,946, which describes and claims processes for making food-grade starches resistant to alpha-amylase digestion, as well as products and uses for the resistant starches. The license relates to products derived from plant-based starches and is a royalty-bearing, worldwide license whose term, subject to termination for material, uncured breaches or bankruptcy, extends until the patent rights expire in 2017. Royalties generally are based on net sales. The patent rights relate to the referenced U.S. patent and any corresponding foreign patent application, which has been filed in Australia. Under the license, we can make, have made, use, import, offer for sale, and sell licensed products within the scope of a claim of the patent rights or which are sold for a use within the scope of the patent rights and may, with approval of the licensor, grant similar rights to sublicensees. We produce and sell our resistant wheat starch under this patent. We have granted sublicenses from time to time under this patent. Under one such arrangement, we granted Cargill Incorporated a royalty bearing sublicense to use the patented process in the production of tapioca-based starches for use in food products. We also have agreements with Cargill that would apply if we determined to use the patented process to make starches derived from other plant sources (other than wheat or potato).

We hold U.S. Patent No. 5,610,277 expiring in 2015 relating to the alcohol-free wet extraction of gluten dough into gliadin and glutenin.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

During the last three fiscal years, we have spent an aggregate of \$3,765 on research and development activities, principally all in the ingredient solutions and other segments, as follows: 2011- \$1,431; 2010-\$918; and 2009-\$1,416.

SEASONALITY

Our sales are not seasonal.

TRANSPORTATION

Historically, our output has been transported to customers by truck and rail transportation equipment, most of which is provided by common carriers.

We currently lease 357 rail cars, which may be dispatched on short notice. ICP, our joint venture operation in Pekin, Illinois, also has the ability to transport by barge from its site, with barge loading facilities on the Illinois River.

We use third party transportation companies to help us manage truck and rail carriers who deliver inbound materials to us and our products to our North American customers.

RAW MATERIALS

Our principal raw materials are wheat flour, which is processed into our starches and proteins, and corn, which is processed into food grade alcohol and distillery co-products consisting of fuel grade alcohol and animal feed. We purchase corn throughout the year from or through grain elevators. Currently we purchase our corn requirements from a single supplier. Our practice is to order corn for a month at a time. We provide for our flour requirements through a supply contract with ConAgra Mills whose initial term, as amended, expires in October 2015. The supply contract is automatically renewable for an additional term of 5 years unless either party gives at least 180 days written notice of termination. Pricing is based on a formula that contains several factors, including wheat futures prices, mill feed prices and freight costs.

Historically, the cost of grain has been subject to substantial fluctuations depending upon factors such as crop conditions, weather, disease, plantings, government programs and policies, purchases by foreign governments and changes in demand resulting from population growth and customer preference. Variations in grain prices have had from time to time significant adverse effects on the results of our operations in cases where we cannot recoup the cost increase in our selling prices. Fuel grade alcohol prices, which historically have tracked the cost of gasoline, do not usually adjust to rising grain costs. It generally has been difficult for us to compensate for increases in grain costs through adjustments in prices charged for our vital wheat gluten due to subsidized European Union wheat gluten, whose traditionally lower prices are not affected by such costs. We have taken steps to reduce the impact of cost fluctuations on our business, primarily by ceasing and/or significantly reducing our production and marketing of lower and negative margin commodity type products such as gluten and fuel grade alcohol, but we will continue to be affected by cost fluctuations to some degree, particularly when they are volatile.

Historically, we have engaged in the forward purchase of grain and in the purchase of commodity futures and options to hedge economic risks associated with fluctuating grain and grain products prices. Under our current hedging program, we generally purchase commodity futures and options and contract for the future delivery of grain only to protect margins on contracted, and a portion of spot market, alcohol sales. We intend to contract for the future delivery of flour only to protect margins on expected ingredients sales. See Item 1A – *Risk Factors* and Item 7 – *Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies – Derivative and Hedging Activities*. Also see Item 7A - *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

ENERGY

Because energy comprises a major cost of operations, we seek to assure the availability of fuels at competitive prices.

We use natural gas to operate boilers that we use to make steam heat. We procure natural gas for the Atchison plant in the open market from various suppliers. We can purchase contracts for the delivery of natural gas in the future or can purchase future contracts on the exchange. Depending on existing market conditions, at Atchison we have the ability to transport the gas through a gas pipeline owned by a wholly-owned subsidiary. Historically, prices of natural gas have been higher in the late fall and winter months than during other periods.

We have a risk management program whereby, at pre-determined prices, we may purchase a portion of our natural gas requirements for future delivery. However, we intend to enter contracts for future delivery only to protect margins on contracted alcohol sales and expected ingredients sales.

EMPLOYEES

As of June 30, 2011, we had a total of 192 employees, of which 97 were covered by a collective bargaining agreement with one labor union. This agreement, which expires on August 31, 2014, covers employees at the Atchison Plant. As of June 30, 2010, we had 193 employees.

As of June 30, 2011, our joint venture, ICP, had 64 employees, of which 37 were covered by a collective bargaining agreement with one labor union. This agreement expires on October 31, 2016. As of June 30, 2010, ICP had 61 employees.

Although we experienced a brief work stoppage at the Atchison plant from September 27 through October 10, 2008, we consider our relations with our personnel to generally be good. Previously, we had not experienced a work stoppage since 1978.

REGULATION

Our beverage and industrial alcohol business is subject to regulation by the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (“TTB”) and the alcoholic beverage agencies in the States of Kansas and Illinois. Such regulation covers virtually every aspect of our alcohol operations, including production facilities, marketing, pricing, labeling, packaging, and advertising. Food products are also subject to regulation by the Food and Drug Administration. TTB regulation includes periodic TTB audits of all production reports, shipping documents, and licenses to assure that proper records are maintained. We are also required to file and maintain monthly reports with the TTB of alcohol inventories and shipments.

We are subject to extensive environmental regulations at the federal, state and local levels. The regulations include the regulation of water usage, waste water discharge, disposal of hazardous wastes and emissions of volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxides, particulates and other substances into the air. Under these regulations, we are required to obtain operating permits and to submit periodic reports to regulating agencies. For the Atchison, Kansas plant, the air quality is regulated by both the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") and the Division of Environment of the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (the "KDHE"). The KDHE regulates all air emissions. We also were required to obtain a Class I air operating permit from the KDHE and must obtain KDHE approval to make plant alterations that could modify the emission levels. The KDHE also regulates the discharge water quality at the Atchison plant. This includes process water, non-contact water and storm water. We monitor process water and non-contact water discharge on a daily basis and submit monthly reports to the KDHE documenting the test results from these water discharges. The USEPA and KDHE also monitor hazardous waste disposal for the Atchison plant. We also are required to submit annual reports pursuant to the Kansas and Federal Emergency Planning Community Right-to-Know Acts. Local officials, such as the local emergency planning committee in the Atchison community, also receive copies of these annual reports. We additionally file an Annual Emissions Report and a Toxic Release Inventory annually with the KDHE. The Atchison facility is also required to submit periodic reports pursuant to the Federal Emergency Planning Community Right-to-Know Acts. We have been working with the KDHE and recently received a new National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit that is to be effective September 1, 2011 and which will extend through September 30, 2015. As a part of this renewal, we were required to install a new distillery water cooling system referred to below at the Atchison plant. This system involved the installation of a new, state-of-the-art process water cooling system to replace older equipment used to supply water for multiple components of the distillation process at our Atchison plant at a cost of \$9,356. The new system is designed to meet KDHE Volatile Organic Compounds ("VOC") emission standards, while also enhancing alcohol production efficiencies. Also as a part of this renewal, we are required to complete a study to determine the improvements needed to reduce phosphorus concentrations in the wastewater discharges at the Atchison plant. By February 1, 2012, we are required to select a consultant to perform this study as well as to confirm to KDHE, in writing, the name of the consultant and their required qualifications; by August 1, 2014, we are required to submit a draft study to KDHE; and within 180 days after KDHE comments on the draft study, we are required to submit a final study.

Similar environmental regulations apply to the operations of ICP, our joint venture in Pekin, Illinois. Air quality at the Pekin plant is regulated by both the USEPA and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (the "IEPA"). The IEPA regulates all air emissions. The joint venture has permits to make certain emissions, and the IEPA has the right to do on-site testing to verify that emissions comply with these permits. Also, the IEPA regulates waste water, cooling water and storm water discharge at the Pekin plant. The joint venture tests wastewater effluent quality twice each week and files monthly reports with the IEPA. It also files an Annual Emissions Report and a Toxic Release Inventory annually with the IEPA. Likewise, the Pekin facility is also required to submit periodic reports pursuant to the Federal Emergency Planning Community Right-to-Know Acts.

In January 2006 we entered a consent agreement with the KDHE resolving past allegations relating to permits, emissions levels and compliance with pollution regulations. Prior to fiscal 2010 we made approximately \$14,000 in capital expenditures to comply with the consent agreement and paid \$66 in civil penalties for instances of non compliance. During the second half of fiscal 2010, due to increased production activity we anticipated that we would exceed the emissions cap imposed by the KDHE in the 2006 consent and began negotiating an amendment to the consent agreement with the KDHE. This amendment, which was approved by the KDHE in May 2010, required us to complete the closed-loop, process water cooling system project described above, resulting in significant VOC reduction, in accordance with a scheduled timeline extending over an approximate seventeen month period ending on September 30, 2011. We agreed to pay a \$5 per month penalty for any month that we might have exceeded the rolling 12-month emissions cap imposed in the consent agreement, as well as a \$1 per day penalty for each day we might have failed to file monthly progress reports or exceed established completion dates for various stages of the project. The most recent results, compiled on August 29, 2011 show that we have not exceeded the emissions cap and therefore we have not been subject to related penalties.

JOINT VENTURES

Illinois Corn Processing, LLC. On November 20, 2009 we completed a series of related transactions pursuant to which we contributed our Pekin plant and certain maintenance and repair materials to a newly-formed company, Illinois Corn Processing, LLC (“ICP”), and then sold 50% of the membership interest in ICP to Illinois Corn Processing Holdings LLC (“ICP Holdings”), an affiliate of SEACOR Energy Inc., for proceeds of \$15,000, less closing costs of \$1,049. ICP reactivated distillery operations at the Pekin facility during the third quarter of fiscal 2010. We purchase food grade alcohol products manufactured by ICP, and SEACOR Energy Inc. purchases fuel grade alcohol products manufactured by ICP.

In connection with these transactions, we entered into various agreements with ICP and ICP Holdings, including a Contribution Agreement, an LLC Interest Purchase Agreement, a Limited Liability Company Agreement and a Marketing Agreement.

- Pursuant to the Contribution Agreement, we contributed the Pekin plant to ICP at an agreed value of \$30,000, consisting of land and fixed assets valued at \$29,063 and materials and supply inventory valued at \$937.
- Under the LLC Interest Purchase Agreement, we sold ICP Holdings 50% of the membership interest in ICP for a purchase price of \$15,000. This agreement gives ICP Holdings the option to purchase up to an additional 20% of the membership interest in ICP at any time between the second and fifth anniversary of the closing date for a price equal to the percentage of such interests times the greater of (i) four times ICP’s trailing twelve months EBITDA or (ii) \$40,000, adjusted for pro rata additional capital investment, as defined in the agreement (“Option Price”).
- Pursuant to the Limited Liability Company Agreement, each joint venture party initially has 50% of the voting and equity interests in ICP. Control of day to day operations generally is retained by the members, acting by a majority in interest. However, if either MGPI or SEACOR Energy is in default under its marketing agreement, referred to below, the other party (or ICP Holdings, in the case of a default by us) may assume sole control of ICP’s daily operations until the default is cured. If ICP defaults for two consecutive months on its obligation to pay principal or interest on its loan from SEACOR Energy’s affiliate, ICP Holdings may assume control of ICP’s daily operations until it has positive EBITDA and is current on principal and interest payments.

The Limited Liability Company Agreement also provides for the creation of an advisory board consisting of three advisors appointed by us and three advisors appointed by ICP Holdings. If ICP Holdings exercises its purchase option described above, it will be entitled to appoint four advisors and we will be entitled to appoint two.

The Limited Liability Company Agreement generally provides for distributions to members to the extent of net cash flow, as defined, to provide for taxes attributable to allocations to them of tax items from ICP. Any distributions of net cash flow in excess of taxes may be distributed at such time as the Board of Advisors determines.

The Limited Liability Company Agreement gives either member certain rights to shut down the plant if it operates at a loss. Such rights are conditional in certain instances but absolute if EBITDA losses aggregate \$1,500 over any three consecutive quarters or if ICP’s net working capital is less than \$2,500. ICP Holdings also has the right to shut down the plant if ICP is in default under its loan agreement for failure to pay principal or interest for two months.

The Limited Liability Company Agreement contains various buy/sell provisions and restrictions on transfer of membership interests. These include buy/sell provisions relating to a member's entire interest that may apply if the members are unable to agree on a material decision about ICP or that may be exercised by any member at any time. Another provision would entitle MGPI to a disproportionate distribution of the excess of the sales price over specified amounts if ICP is sold before November 20, 2012.

Under the Marketing Agreement, ICP manufactures and supplies food grade and industrial-use alcohol products for us and we purchase, market and sell such products for a marketing fee. The Marketing Agreement provides that we will share margin realized from the sale of the products under the agreement with ICP.

The Marketing Agreement has an initial term of one year but automatically renews for one year terms thereafter, subject to specified exceptions, including the following: (i) there is an uncured breach by one of the parties, (ii) we give timely notice of termination, (iii) we cease to be a member of the joint venture, or (iv) the parties are unable to mutually agree to modifications to the Marketing Agreement that are proposed in good faith by one of the parties as necessary or desirable to further the purposes of the parties' respective expectations of economic benefits to be derived under the Marketing Agreement and their interests in ICP. For six months following expiration or termination of the Marketing Agreement, ICP will provide us with reasonable assistance to transition production of the products it makes for us to another producer that we designate. SEACOR Energy Inc. has entered into a similar agreement with ICP with respect to the marketing of fuel grade alcohol.

An affiliate of SEACOR Energy, Inc. has provided funding to ICP through two loans secured by all of the assets of ICP, including the Pekin Plant. Among other matters, losses or working capital deficiencies that would entitle a member of ICP to shut down the plant are events of default under these loan agreements which, upon any requisite notice and/or lapse of time, would entitle the lender to exercise its remedies, including foreclosing on ICP's assets and, in the case of the working capital deficiency or successive losses, enforcing the plant closure provisions in the Limited Liability Company Agreement referred to above. The loans are non-recourse to us.

D.M. Ingredients GmbH. On July 2, 2007 we acquired a 50% interest in D.M. Ingredients, GmbH, a German joint venture company which produces certain MGPI specialty ingredients products through a toller for distribution in the European Union ("E.U.") and elsewhere. As of June 30, 2011 our total capital commitment to the joint venture was \$750, of which we had contributed \$571.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Our Executive Officers are as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Timothy W. Newkirk	43	President and Chief Executive Officer
Don Tracy	54	Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer
Donald G. Coffey, Ph.D.	56	Executive Vice President, Research, Development and Innovation
David E. Dykstra	48	Vice President, Alcohol Sales and Marketing
Michael J. Lasater	43	National Director of Sales
Marta L. Myers	51	Corporate Secretary and Executive Assistant to the President and Board Chairman
Scott B. Phillips	46	Vice President, Supply Chain Operations
David E. Rindom	56	Vice President, Human Resources
Randy M. Schrick	61	Vice President, Engineering of MGP Ingredients, Inc. and President of ICP

Mr. Newkirk has served as President and Chief Executive Officer since March, 2008. He previously had been President and Chief Operating Officer since October, 2006 and Vice President of Operations and Chief Operating Officer since April, 2006. He first joined the Company in 1991, serving initially as a distillery shift manager and later as a process engineer, project engineer and quality control manager at the Atchison, Kansas plant. He became manager of the Company's Pekin, Illinois plant in 1997. From 2000 to 2002, he was Vice President of Operations for the former High Plains Corporation, a fuel grade alcohol production company located in Wichita, Kansas. He became Vice President of Global Operations for Abengoa Bioenergy S.L. following that company's acquisition of High Plains in January, 2002. He then served as Chief Operating Officer of Abengoa Bioenergy Corporation from August, 2003 until his return to MGP Ingredients as Director of Operations in 2005.

Mr. Tracy has served as Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of MGP Ingredients, Inc. since November 2009. From 2007 until joining the Company, he served as Chief Financial Officer at Emery Oleochemicals, a global chemical manufacturer, and was based in Cincinnati. Prior to his position at Emery Oleochemicals, Mr. Tracy served as Chief Financial Officer at Briggs Industries, a worldwide manufacturer and distributor of kitchen and bath fixtures, at the company's U.S. headquarters in Charleston, South Carolina, from 2005 to 2007. Before that, he spent four years with the Tenaris Corp., a global producer of steel tubes, where he began as Director of Financial Projects and subsequently was promoted to Chief Financial Officer of Tenaris, North America. Mr. Tracy's previous experience included serving as Senior Vice President of the process improvement group of National City Corporation, Cleveland, from 1999 to 2001; as a consultant at two large consulting firms from 1993 through 1998; and various positions with the Procter & Gamble Company from 1983 to 1992. From 1979 to 1981, he served as an Auditor with Deloitte & Touche.

Dr. Coffey has served as Executive Vice President of Research, Development and Innovation since August 2010. Prior to that, he had jointly served as Executive Vice President of Research, Development and Innovation and of Sales and Marketing since June 2009. Prior to that, he had been Executive Vice President of the Company's Ingredient Solutions segment since November 2008. He joined the Company as Vice President of Innovation in July 2007. He previously spent 22 years in commercialization and research positions with the Dow Chemical Co. For 12 years beginning in 1985, he worked in the commercial and research operations of the METHOCEL business, a global business unit within Dow's Special Chemical Group that manufactures cellulose derivatives for a variety of food and non-food applications. He was later promoted to General Manager of Dow Food Stabilizers with responsibilities for global sales, marketing and research.

Mr. Dykstra has served as Vice President of Alcohol Sales and Marketing since 2009. He previously has been industrial alcohol sales manager since 2006. He first joined the Company in 1988 eventually serving as director of sales for both beverage and fuel grade alcohol. In 1999, he left the company to assume the role of vice president of sales and marketing for Abengoa Bio Energy, Wichita, Kansas. He remained in that position until 2003, when he joined United Bio Energy Fuels, L.L.C., in Wichita as vice president of that company's alcohol marketing division. He returned to MGPI in 2006.

Mr. Lasater re-joined the Company in 2010 and serves as our National Sales Director. He has nearly 20 years of experience in food ingredient sales, including eight years with MGPI, where he began his career as a territorial sales manager in the company's former wheat starch business unit in 1992. Following his initial years of employment with MGPI, Lasater joined National Starch and Chemical Co. as corporate accounts manager in 2000 and was responsible for select customer accounts located mainly in major Midwestern metropolitan areas. In 2005, he left National, now a part of Corn Products International, to become a partner and sales associate with Gregg and Associates, a food ingredients brokerage business based in Excelsior, Minn. He remained there until his return to MGPI in 2010.

Ms. Myers joined the Company in 1996. She has served as Secretary since October 1996 and as Executive Assistant to the President since 1999. Previously, she was executive secretary for Superintendent of Schools for Unified School District 409, Atchison, Kansas.

Mr. Phillips has served as Vice President of Supply Chain Operations since June 2009. For a year prior to that, he served as Corporate Director of Manufacturing for the Company's Ingredient Solutions segment. He joined the Company as General Manager of Extrusion Technology in July 2007. He previously spent 17 years in plant supervisory and management positions with General Mills, Inc., including four years as plant manager of that company's operations in Kansas City, Missouri, and a year as Plant Manager of the General Mills facility in Methuen, Massachusetts. From 1988 to 1990, he was employed as a production supervisor for the Quaker Oats Company.

Mr. Rindom joined the Company in 1980. He has served as Vice President, Human Resources since June 2000. He was Corporate Director of Human Relations from 1992 to June 2000, Personnel Director from 1988 to 1992, and Assistant Personnel Director from 1984 to 1988.

Mr. Schrick has served as President of Illinois Corn Processing, LLC, since November 2009. He also has been Vice President of Engineering for MGP Ingredients since June 2009. He previously had served as Corporate Director of Distillery Products Manufacturing from June 2008 to June 2009 and as Vice President, Manufacturing and Engineering from July 2002 to June 2008. He served as Vice President - Operations from 1992 until July 2002. From 1984 to 1992, he served as Vice President and General Manager of the Pekin plant. From 1982 to 1984, he was the Plant Manager of the Pekin Plant subsequent to joining the Company in 1973. Prior to 1982, he was Production Manager at the Atchison plant. He was a Director of the Company from 1987 to 2008.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business is subject to certain risks and uncertainties. The following identifies those which we consider to be most important:

RISKS THAT AFFECT OUR BUSINESS AS A WHOLE

An interruption of operations at our Atchison facility could negatively affect our business.

The bulk of our ingredient solutions and food grade alcohol production takes place at our facility in Atchison. An interruption in or loss of operations at our Atchison facility, or a strike by our unionized employees at this location, could reduce or postpone production of our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and/or financial condition. To the extent that our value-added products rely on unique or proprietary processes or techniques, replacing lost production by purchasing from outside suppliers becomes more difficult.

Our profitability is affected by the cost of natural gas and of grain and flour that we use in our business, the availability and cost of which are subject to weather and other factors beyond our control. Our hedging strategy may not protect us from changes in prices of commodities and natural gas or translate to a competitive advantage in the marketplace. We may not be able to recoup cost increases in our selling prices due to the competitive environment.

Grain and flour costs are a significant portion of our costs of goods sold. Historically the cost of such raw materials has been subject to substantial fluctuations, depending upon a number of factors which affect commodity prices in general and over which we have no control. These include crop conditions, weather, disease, plantings, government programs and policies, purchases by foreign governments, and changes in demand resulting from population growth and customer preferences. The price of natural gas, which comprised approximately 5.9 percent of our cost of goods sold in fiscal 2011, 8 percent of our cost of goods sold in fiscal 2010 and 12 percent of our cost of goods sold in fiscal 2009, also fluctuates, based on anticipated changes in supply and demand, weather and the prices of alternative fuels. Fluctuations in the price of commodities and natural gas can be sudden and volatile at times and have had, from time to time, significant adverse effects on the results of our operations.

Formerly, we engaged in the purchase of commodity and natural gas futures and options and in the forward purchases of grain and natural gas to hedge economic risks associated with fluctuating grain and natural gas prices. We no longer engage in such activities based on expected use of our facilities, and now generally purchase derivatives and enter contracts for future delivery only to protect margins on contracted, and a portion of spot market, alcohol sales and expected ingredients sales. On the portion of purchases not hedged, management will attempt to recover higher commodity costs through higher sales prices, but market considerations may not always permit this. Even where prices can be adjusted, there would likely be a lag between when we incur higher commodity or natural gas costs and when we might be able to increase prices. To the extent we do not enter such derivative contracts or engage in forward purchases and are also unable to timely pass increases in the cost of raw materials to our customers under sales contracts, we may be adversely impacted by market fluctuations in the cost of grain and natural gas. Further, our hedging strategy may not be effective in mitigating our exposure to commodity price fluctuations and can result in losses, some of which may be material. See *Item. 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk*.

If ICP incurs losses, it could result in closure of its Pekin plant. This could result in reduced sales and impairment losses for us.

ICP's Limited Liability Company Agreement gives us and our joint venture partner, ICP Holdings, a subsidiary of SEACOR Energy Inc., certain rights to shut down the Pekin plant if ICP operates at an EBITDA loss of \$500 in any quarter. Such rights are conditional in certain instances but are absolute if losses aggregate \$1,500 over any three consecutive quarters or if ICP's net working capital is less than \$2,500, however both partners have agreed to waive EBITDA losses through June 30, 2011. Losses of such nature are also events of default under ICP's term loan and revolving credit agreements with its lender, an affiliate (sister company) of SEACOR Energy, Inc., which, upon any requisite notice and/or lapse of time, would entitle the lender to impose a default rate of interest, foreclose on ICP's assets and, in the case of the working capital deficiency or successive losses, enforce the closure provisions referred to above. During fiscal 2011, ICP experienced EBITDA losses in the quarters ending December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2011. ICP's lender has waived these EBITDA losses through June 30, 2011. However, if future losses of the requisite magnitudes occur in any quarter or over three consecutive quarters, either we, ICP Holdings or ICP's lender may elect to exercise its rights under the applicable agreement. In this event, we could be forced to purchase alcohol from third parties at unfavorable prices to satisfy contractual commitments to our customers.

We have incurred impairment and restructuring losses in the past and may suffer such losses in the future

We review long-lived assets for impairment at year end or if events or circumstances indicate that usage may be limited and carrying values may not be recoverable. Should events indicate the assets cannot be used as planned, the realization from alternative uses or disposal is compared to their carrying value. If an impairment loss is measured, this estimate is recognized. Considerable judgment is used in these measurements, and a change in the assumptions could result in a different determination of impairment loss and/or the amount of any impairment. See Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies – Impairment of Long-Lived Assets.*

The markets for our products are very competitive, and our results could be adversely affected if we do not compete effectively.

The markets for products in which we participate are very competitive. Our principal competitors in these markets have substantial financial, marketing, and other resources, and several are much larger enterprises than us. Competition is based on such factors as product innovation, product characteristics, product quality, price, color and name. If market conditions make our specialty ingredients too expensive for use in consumer goods, our revenues could be affected. If our large competitors were to decrease their pricing, we could choose to do the same, which could adversely affect our margins and profitability. If we did not do the same, our revenues could be adversely affected due to the potential loss of sales or market share. Our revenue growth could also be adversely impacted if we are not successful in developing new ingredients products for our customers or through new product introductions by our competitors. In addition, more stringent new customer demands may require us to make internal investments to achieve or sustain competitive advantage and meet customer expectations.

If we lose certain key personnel, we may not be successful.

We rely on the continued services of key personnel involved in management, finance, product development, sales, manufacturing and distribution, and, in particular, upon the efforts and abilities of our executive management team. The loss of service of any of the members of our executive management team could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. A loss of our CEO could result in the acceleration of the debt under our credit facility. We do have key personnel life insurance covering two key executives, but this may not ensure complete avoidance of loss in that circumstance.

Covenants and other provisions in our credit facility could hinder our ability to operate. Our failure to comply with covenants in our credit facility could result in the acceleration of the debt extended under such facility, limit our liquidity and trigger other rights.

Our credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association contains a number of financial and other covenants, including provisions that require us to meet certain financial tests and that may limit or restrict our ability to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- pay dividends to stockholders or purchase stock;
- make investments or acquisitions in excess of \$1,000 (\$5,000 in aggregate);
- dispose of assets;
- make needed capital expenditures;
- create liens on our assets;
- merge or consolidate; or
- increase certain salaries and bonuses.

These covenants may hinder our ability to operate and could reduce our profitability. Other covenants restrict the amount of loss we may incur in any one month and in any consecutive three month period, require us to maintain an average availability, and require us to meet, as of fiscal year end, a minimum debt service coverage ratio. A breach of any of these covenants or requirements could result in a default under our credit agreement. See Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Financial Covenants*.

In addition, our credit agreement permits the lender to modify or reduce the borrowing base at its sole (but reasonable) discretion and to accelerate our debt if an over-advance results. Any modification to reduce our borrowing base or termination of our credit agreement would negatively impact our overall liquidity and may require us to take other actions to preserve any remaining liquidity. Although we anticipate that we will be able to meet the covenants in our credit agreement, there can be no assurance that we will do so, as there are a number of external factors that affect our operations, such as commodity prices, over which we have little or no control. If we default on any of our covenants, and if such default is not cured or waived, Wells Fargo could, among other remedies, terminate its commitment to lend and/or accelerate any outstanding debt and declare that such debt is immediately due and payable. If Wells Fargo were to terminate our credit, or materially change our borrowing base, we may not have sufficient funds available for us to operate. If it were to accelerate our debt, we might be unable to repay such debt immediately and might not be able to borrow sufficient funds to refinance. Even if new financing were available, it may not be on terms that are acceptable to us. Acceleration could result in foreclosure on assets that we have pledged to Wells Fargo. Further, certain of our other secured debt instruments contain cross default provisions, such that an event of default under our credit agreement with Wells Fargo may result in an event of default under these other debt instruments. If our lenders were to terminate our credit or accelerate our debt, or if Wells Fargo were to materially change our borrowing base, we might not have sufficient funds to operate.

We may require significant cash flow to make needed capital expenditures, and our ability to make such expenditures could be limited.

Over the course of the next few years we may need to make substantial capital expenditures. See – Item 1. *Business - Regulation* and Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Cash Flow Information – Investing Cash Flows*. During fiscal year 2011 for example, we spent the majority of the required capital on the process water cooling system project elsewhere described. Wells Fargo granted an exemption from capital expenditure limitations under our credit facility for this project. Although Wells Fargo increased the amount of capital expenditures we may incur without bank consent, we are still limited by the size of the line and must continue to meet our other covenants. We may require additional long-term financing to meet certain of our capital expenditure requirements, but have not determined the amount, type or source of such financing. Our credit facility generally prohibits new debt from other lenders and limits the amount of capital expenditures which we can make annually. We would require the consent of Wells Fargo Bank to incur new debt and also may require the consent of Wells Fargo Bank to make other such needed expenditures. We cannot provide assurances that we will be able to obtain such consent or arrange such financing on favorable terms, if at all.

We are subject to extensive regulation, and compliance with existing or future laws and regulations, including those relating to greenhouse gases and climate change, may require us to incur substantial expenditures or require us to make product recalls.

We are subject to a broad range of federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations intended to protect public health and the environment. Our operations are also subject to regulation by various federal agencies, including the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Trade Bureau, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the Food and Drug Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency, and by various state and local authorities. Such regulations cover virtually every aspect of our operations, including production facilities, marketing, pricing, labeling, packaging, advertising, water usage, waste water discharge, disposal of hazardous wastes and emissions and other matters. Violations of any of these laws and regulations may result in administrative, civil or criminal penalties being levied against us, revocation or modification of permits, performance of environmental investigatory or remedial activities, voluntary or involuntary product recalls, or a cease and desist order against operations that are not in compliance. These laws and regulations may change in the future and we may incur material costs in our efforts to comply with current or future laws and regulations or to effect any product recalls. These matters may have a material adverse effect on our business. See Item 1. *Business – Regulation*, where we discuss certain environmental proceedings in which governmental agencies sought fines from us and required significant capital expenditures.

Our facility and our joint venture's facility currently produce fuel grade alcohol as a by-product and emit carbon dioxide into the atmosphere as a by-product of the fermentation process. In 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court classified carbon dioxide as an air pollutant under the Clean Air Act in a case seeking to require the EPA to regulate carbon dioxide in vehicle emissions. On February 3, 2010, the EPA released its final regulations on the Renewable Fuel Standard program (RFS2). We believe these final regulations grandfather both facilities at their current operating capacity for fuel grade alcohol, but plant expansion would need to meet a 20% threshold reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from a 2005 baseline measurement to produce fuel grade alcohol eligible for the RFS2 mandate. Additionally, legislation is pending in Congress on a comprehensive carbon dioxide regulatory scheme, such as a carbon tax or cap-and-trade system. We may be required to install carbon dioxide mitigation equipment or take other steps unknown to us at this time in order to comply with other future laws or regulations. Compliance with future laws or regulations relating to emission of carbon dioxide could be costly and may require additional working capital, which may not be available, preventing us and our joint venture from operating our plants as originally designed, which may have a material adverse impact on our respective operations, cash flows and financial position.

We face risk related to changes in the global economic environment.

Our business may be impacted by the weak US and global economic conditions, which are increasingly volatile. General business and economic conditions that could affect us include short-term and long-term interest rates, unemployment, inflation, fluctuations in debt markets and the strength of the US economy and the local economies in which we operate. While currently these conditions have not impaired our ability to access credit markets and finance our operations, there can be no assurance that there will not be a further deterioration in the financial markets.

There could be a number of other effects from these economic developments on our business, including reduced consumer demand for products; insolvency of our customers, resulting in increased provisions for credit losses; decreased customer demand, including order delays or cancellations and counterparty failures negatively impacting our operations.

RISKS SPECIFIC TO OUR DISTILLERY PRODUCTS SEGMENT

Volatile corn prices affect our profitability.

A portion of our operating income is dependent on the spreads between alcohol and corn prices. We intend to protect the margins on our alcohol contracts, but may not always be able to do so. If we are not successful in protecting our margins through hedging activities, volatility in corn prices could affect our profitability. We expect corn pricing to remain volatile in the near term due to a number of factors impacting global demand and supply of this commodity. These fluctuating prices create challenges since our customers are interested in stable prices for the distillery products they purchase from us.

The relationship between the price we pay for corn and the sales prices of our distillery co-products can fluctuate significantly and affect our results of operations.

Dried grain, or distillers feed, and fuel grade alcohol are the principal co-products of our alcohol production process and can contribute in varying degrees to the profitability of our distillery products segment. We sell fuel grade alcohol, the prices for which typically, but not always, have tracked price fluctuations in gasoline prices. Distillers feed is sold for prices which historically have tracked the price of corn. In fiscal 2009 and 2008, however, the value of these co-products lagged behind the significant and rapid increase in corn prices. In regard to distillers feed, we believe that, in part, this resulted from decreased demand in the E.U. due to the E.U.'s non-approval of several varieties of genetically modified corn commonly grown in the U.S. Further, certain of our co-products compete with similar products made from other plant feedstocks whose cost may not have risen in unison with corn prices. As a result, the profitability of these products to us could be affected.

Although we have reduced our production and sales of fuel grade alcohol, we continue to have some exposure to fuel grade alcohol market price fluctuations through our ICP joint venture.

Because of the continued erosion of the fuel alcohol markets, in the fiscal year 2009 we determined to substantially reduce our production of fuel alcohol and temporarily ceased production at our former Pekin facility. Subsequently, after exploring our strategic options with respect to this facility, we contributed the facility to ICP and sold a 50% interest in ICP to ICP Holdings. We purchase food grade alcohol products from ICP and market and sell such products, and SEACOR Energy, Inc. has a similar arrangement with respect to the fuel grade alcohol produced by ICP. Although we have reduced our exposure to the volatility of the fuel grade alcohol business through this arrangement, because we share in the profits and losses of ICP, we retain some exposure to such volatility.

RISKS SPECIFIC TO OUR INGREDIENT SOLUTIONS SEGMENT

Our focus on higher margin specialty ingredients makes us more reliant on fewer, more profitable customer relationships.

Our business strategy for our ingredient solutions segment includes focusing our efforts on the sale of specialty proteins and starches to targeted domestic consumer packaged goods customers. Our food ingredients receiving major focus, and which are primarily used in foods that are developed to address consumers' desire for healthier and more convenient products, consist of dietary fiber, wheat protein isolates and concentrates, and textured wheat proteins. The bulk of our applications technology and research and development efforts are dedicated to providing customers with specialty ingredient solutions that deliver nutritional benefits, as well as desired functional and sensory qualities to their products. Our business could be adversely affected if our customers were to determine to reduce their new product development ("NPD") activities or ceased using our unique dietary fibers, starches and proteins in their NPD efforts. In addition, our sales growth opportunities could be at risk in these areas if consumers abandon or significantly limit their interest in healthier foods, limit their interest in convenience foods, and/or adopt a widespread aversion to foods containing wheat gluten.

The contracted production of our Wheatex® product ends in August 2012, and our continued production and sales of this product depend on extending the contract or finding an economical production alternative.

When we sold our Pet Products business and the associated Kansas City, Kansas plant, we leased a portion of the plant from the purchaser and contracted with the purchaser to manufacture Wheatex® using certain production equipment which we retained. The term of these arrangements expire in August 2012. Our continued profitable commercialization of this product will require us to either extend our arrangement with the purchaser on terms comparable to those we have at present, identify an alternative source of production or produce the product in house. There can be no assurance that we will be able to extend our arrangement on comparable terms or that we will be able to identify an alternative source of production. Moving the equipment to another location may require significant expenditures, and our ability to make the necessary expenditures to move the equipment and produce this product in house may be limited by provisions in our credit facility. See *"We may require significant cash flow to make needed capital expenditures, and our ability to make such expenditures could be limited."*

RISKS SPECIFIC TO OUR OTHER SEGMENT

Our plant-based biopolymers and wood-based composite resins may not prove to be profitable or commercially scalable.

Plant-based biopolymers and wood-based composite resins continue to represent an emerging area of our business. While commercialization of these products has begun, they continue to undergo further research and development as we explore additional enhancements to expand their functionality and use capabilities. To date, they have not contributed significant revenues or profit.

OTHER RISKS

Common stockholders have limited rights under our Articles of Incorporation

Under our Articles of Incorporation, holders of our Preferred Stock are entitled to elect five of our nine directors and only holders of our Preferred Stock are entitled to vote with respect to a merger, dissolution, lease, exchange or sale of substantially all of the Company's assets, or on an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation, unless such action would increase or decrease the authorized shares or par value of the Common or Preferred Stock, or change the powers, preferences or special rights of the Common or Preferred Stock so as to affect the holders of Common Stock adversely. Generally, the Common Stock and Preferred Stock vote as separate classes on all other matters requiring stockholder approval. A majority of the outstanding shares of our Preferred Stock is held by the MGP Ingredients Voting Trust, whose trustees are Karen Seaberg, Richard B. Cray and Laidacker M. Seaberg.

The trading volume in our common stock fluctuates, and depending on market conditions, the sale of a substantial number of shares in the public market could depress the price of our stock and make it difficult for stockholders to sell their shares.

Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market. Our public float at June 30, 2011 (including non-vested restricted stock awards held by non-affiliates) was approximately 11,965,009 shares, as approximately 5,940,758 shares are held by affiliates. Over the twelve months ended June 30, 2011, our daily trading volume as reported to us by NASDAQ has fluctuated from 8,236 to 323,034 shares (excluding block trades). When trading volumes are relatively light, significant price changes can occur even when a relatively small number of shares are being traded and an investor's ability to quickly sell quantities of stock may be affected.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We own or lease the following principal plants, warehouses and office facilities:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Owned or Leased</u>	<u>Plant Area (in sq. ft.)</u>	<u>Tract Area (in acres)</u>
Atchison, Kansas	Grain processing, distillery, warehousing, and research and quality control laboratories (Distillery Products and Ingredient Solutions)	Owned	494,640	26
	Principal executive office building (Corporate)	Leased	18,000	1
	Technical Innovation Center (Ingredient Solutions, Distillery Products and Other)	Leased	19,600	1
Kansas City, Kansas	Specialty proteins (Ingredient Solutions)	Leased	27,400	N/A
Onaga, Kansas	Production of plant-based polymers and wood composites (Other)	Owned	23,040	3

Our 50% owned joint venture subsidiary, ICP, owns the following facility.

Pekin, Illinois	Distillery, warehousing and quality control laboratories (Distillery Products)	Owned	462,926	49
-----------------	--	-------	---------	----

The foregoing facilities are generally in good operating condition, and are generally suitable for the business activity conducted therein. We operated our Atchison distillery operations at full capacity during much of fiscal 2011; however operations were affected during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 by longer than anticipated shutdowns related to a water supply disruption, equipment repairs and upgrades and during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011 due to a one week outage related to installing the process water cooling system. We have existing manufacturing capacity to grow our ingredients business at our Atchison plant if the market for our ingredients business improves. Our former Pekin distillery operation, which we shut down in February 2009, is now owned by ICP. This plant was reactivated in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 and was operating at near full capacity at the end of fiscal 2011; however operations were affected during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011 by a two-week shut-down for maintenance.

We formerly owned an 83,200 square foot facility in Kansas City, Kansas, but sold it on August 21, 2009. We are now leasing a portion of that facility for three years ending in August 2012. We have equipment used for the production of our Wheatex® line of products at this location, which is operated by a third party under a toll manufacturing agreement with us.

Except for our recently completed process water cooling system project, which is leased under a capital lease, all of the other production facilities that we or ICP utilize are owned, and all of our owned properties are subject to mortgages in favor of one or more of our lenders. ICP's facility is subject to a mortgage in favor of its lender. The executive offices and technical innovation center in Atchison are leased from the City of Atchison pursuant to an industrial revenue bond financing. Our leasehold interest in these properties is subject to a leasehold mortgage. We also own or lease transportation equipment and facilities and a gas pipeline described under Item 1. *Business – Transportation* and Item 1. *Business – Energy*. Our loan agreements contain covenants that limit our ability to pledge our facilities to others.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In 2006, we entered a Consent Agreement with the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) which, among other matters, imposed a source-wide, rolling 12-month volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions cap on our Atchison facility. We anticipated that it would exceed this cap during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010 and negotiated a second amendment to the Consent Agreement with the KDHE. (A prior amendment addressed an earlier instance of noncompliance with the emission limit and related testing, monitoring and permitting violations and assessed a civil penalty of \$66 against us). The second amendment required us to complete a closed-loop, process water cooling system project, resulting in significant VOC reduction, in accordance with a scheduled timeline extending over an approximate 17-month period ending on September 30, 2011. In addition, we agreed to a \$5 per month penalty for any month that we might have exceeded the rolling 12-month cap, as well as a \$1 per day penalty for each day that we might have exceeded established completion dates for various stages of the project. The process water cooling project was completed during July of 2011 at an estimated cost of \$9,356. During the course of this project, we were not subjected to any penalties related to the above criteria.

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDERS MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

TRADING MARKET

Our Common Stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. Our trading symbol is MGPI.

HISTORICAL STOCK PRICES

The table below reflects the high and low closing prices of our Common Stock for each quarter of fiscal 2011 and 2010:

	Sales Price	
	High	Low
<u>2011</u>		
First Quarter	\$ 8.15	\$ 6.46
Second Quarter	11.90	8.14
Third Quarter	11.06	7.90
Fourth Quarter	9.00	7.75
<u>2010</u>		
First Quarter	\$ 4.39	\$ 2.29
Second Quarter	9.62	3.91
Third Quarter	7.78	6.36
Fourth Quarter	8.62	5.75

RECORD HOLDERS

At August 19, 2011, there were approximately 660 holders of record of our Common Stock. We believe that the Common Stock is held by approximately 4,530 beneficial owners.

TRADING VOLUMES

According to reports received from the NASDAQ, the average daily trading volume of our common stock (excluding block trades) ranged from 8,236 to 323,034 shares during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

DIVIDENDS

Our Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association restricts our ability to pay cash dividends or make other distributions with respect to our stock. We may pay dividends only if (i) we have no default or would have a default occur as a result of any such dividend, (ii) we have had an Average Excess Availability of not less than \$10,000 for the 60 day period prior to such dividend, (iii) on the date of such dividend and after giving effect to such dividend, we have an Average Excess Availability of not less than \$5,000 and (iv) on the date of such dividend, we have paid all accounts payable which had remained unpaid more than thirty (30) days after the due date. "Average Excess Availability" generally means, as of any date of determination by lender, the average of the amount available for borrowing under the Credit Agreement, assuming, for purposes of calculation, that all accounts payable which remain unpaid more than sixty (60) days after the invoice date thereof as the close of business on such date are treated as additional advances outstanding on such date.

Subject to the restrictions in our Credit Agreement, any dividends will be paid at the discretion of the Board of Directors, which will consider various factors, including our operating results and cash requirements, in making any decision regarding dividends. We did not pay dividends in Fiscal 2009 or 2010. On August 26, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a five (5) cent dividend per share of common stock, payable to holders of record on September 15, 2010. The Company paid the \$891 dividend on October 6, 2010. On August 25, 2011 the Board of Directors approved a dividend of five (5) cent per share of common stock, payable October 13, 2011 to holders of record on September 15, 2011.

PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY ISSUER

We did not repurchase any shares of our stock during the year ended June 30, 2011.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA (Dollars in thousands except per share amounts)

The selected financial data below (in thousands, expect per share amounts) should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included under Item 7 of this form 10-K as well as the consolidated financial statements and the related notes.

Fiscal Year ⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾ ⁽³⁾ ⁽⁴⁾ ⁽⁵⁾ ⁽⁶⁾	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Statement of Operations Data:					
Net sales	\$ 247,915	\$ 201,971	\$ 291,812	\$ 412,473	\$ 382,306
Cost of sales	225,038	171,427	325,914	408,242	335,033
Gross profit (loss)	22,877	30,544	(34,102)	4,231	47,273
Selling, general and administrative expenses	21,157	20,708	21,401	24,235	20,319
Other operating costs	504	2,018	4,694	-	-
Write-off of assets	-	-	-	1,546	-
Impairment of long-lived assets	-	-	10,282	8,100	-
Severance and early retirement costs	-	-	3,288	-	-
Loss on joint venture formation	-	2,294	-	-	-
Loss (gain) on sale of assets	322	(1,731)	-	-	-
Other restructuring costs	249	-	5,241	-	-
Income (loss) from operations	645	7,255	(79,008)	(29,650)	26,954
Other income, net	8	645	112	515	1,490
Gain on settlement of litigation, net of related expenses	-	-	-	7,046	-
Interest expense	(358)	(1,757)	(2,901)	(1,490)	(964)
Equity in earnings (loss) of joint ventures	(1,540)	(2,173)	(114)	(14)	-
Income (loss) before income taxes	(1,245)	3,970	(81,911)	(23,593)	27,480
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	68	(4,768)	(12,788)	(11,851)	9,914
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,313)	\$ 8,738	\$ (69,123)	\$ (11,742)	\$ 17,566
Basic earnings per common share ⁽⁷⁾	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.52	\$ (4.17)	\$ (0.71)	\$ 1.07
Diluted earnings per common share ⁽⁷⁾	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.51	\$ (4.17)	\$ (0.70)	\$ 1.04
Weighted average basic common shares outstanding ⁽⁷⁾	16,726	16,655	16,585	16,531	16,428
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding ⁽⁷⁾	16,726	17,082	16,585	16,805	16,913
Cash dividends per common share	\$ 0.05	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.30
Balance Sheet Data:					
Working capital	\$ 22,381	\$ 25,142	\$ 31,242	\$ 51,127	\$ 53,371
Total assets	133,631	121,137	145,132	223,068	221,121
Long-term debt, less current maturities	7,702	2,082	9,632	1,301	8,940
Stockholders' equity	75,198	72,784	63,884	136,874	154,778
Book value per share ⁽⁸⁾	\$ 4.20	\$ 4.37	\$ 3.85	\$ 8.28	\$ 9.42

- (1) Fiscal year 2006 started on July 1 and ended June 30. On June 8, 2006 the Board of Directors amended the Company's Bylaws to effect a change in the fiscal year from a fiscal year ending June 30 to a 52/53 week fiscal year. As a result of this change, fiscal 2007 ended on July 1, 2007. On March 6, 2008, the Board of Directors amended the Company's bylaws to effect a change in the fiscal year so that it would again end on June 30 each year.
- (2) Amounts for fiscal year 2008 include a write-off of assets of \$1,546, a write-down of inventory of \$1,300 and a loss on the impairment of assets of \$8,100, partially offset by a gain on the settlement of litigation of \$7,000 and the removal of a \$3,000 state tax valuation allowance (\$2,000 net of taxes).
- (3) Amounts for fiscal year 2009 include a non-cash loss on the impairment of assets of \$10,282, severance and early retirement costs of \$3,288, other restructuring costs of \$5,241 and other operating costs related to our closed Pekin, Illinois plant of \$4,694. For further discussion, see *Note 9. Restructuring Costs and Loss on Impairment of Assets* set forth in Item 8, and Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009 – Cost of Sales*.
- (4) Amounts for fiscal year 2010 include the impact of a correcting entry related to certain accounts payable recorded prior to fiscal 2010 that had been either duplicated or otherwise erroneously recorded. The impact of the correcting adjustment increased reported pretax income by approximately \$1,351. Cost of sales was decreased by \$733 and other income increased by \$618. For further discussion, see *Note 1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* set forth in Item 8.
- (5) Amounts for fiscal year 2010 include a \$2,294 charge related to the loss on joint venture formation. For further discussion, see *Note 1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* and *Note 3. Investment in Joint Ventures* set forth in Item 8, and Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009 – Loss on Joint Venture Formation*.
- (6) Amounts for fiscal year 2010 include the impact of a tax law change that resulted in an income tax benefit of approximately \$4,700. For further discussion, see *Note 9. Income Taxes* set forth in Item 8 and Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009 – Income Taxes*.
- (7) We adopted ASC 260 10 Earnings Per Share (formerly FSP-EITF 03-6-1) – *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* effective July 1, 2009. The impacts for the non-vested restricted shares, which constitute a separate class of stock for accounting purposes, did not have a material impact and we did not apply the two class method in fiscal 2010 and prior. In conjunction with the declaration of the dividend in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we reassessed our earnings per share calculation policy and determined to present the two-class method prospectively. Amounts allocated to participating securities prior to fiscal 2011 were immaterial.
- (8) In conjunction with (7) above, non-vested restricted shares are now presented as outstanding shares. The fiscal 2011 book value per share was computed by including non-vested restricted shares; the fiscal 2010 book value per share was not computed using the non-vested restricted shares as the two class method was determined to be used in fiscal 2011 prospectively.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS(Dollars in thousands except per-share amounts)

GENERAL

We produce certain distillery products and ingredients and have three reportable segments: a distillery products segment, an ingredient solutions segment, and an other segment. Substantially all of our sales are made directly or through distributors to manufacturers and processors of finished goods. Sales to our customers purchasing food grade alcohol are made primarily on a spot, monthly or quarterly basis, with some annual contracts, depending on the customer's needs and market conditions. Sales of fuel grade alcohol are made on the spot market. Contracts with distributors may be for multi-year terms with periodic review of pricing. Contracts with ingredients customers are generally price and term agreements which are fixed for quarterly or six month periods, with very few agreements of twelve months duration or more.

During the year ended June 30, 2009, we incurred impairment and restructuring costs, aggregating \$18,811. Since the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we have made significant changes to our operations in alignment with strategic initiatives to support improved profitability. We have refocused our business on the production of value-added ingredients and distillery products and we have realigned our production efforts. With our temporary ceasing of alcohol production at our Pekin facility in the third quarter of fiscal 2009 and its subsequent inclusion in a joint venture, we are selling reduced quantities of fuel grade alcohol as a co-product. Sales of distillers feed also have decreased. With the shutdown of our flour mill in Atchison in the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we no longer sell mill feeds. We also ceased commodity starch and gluten production at our Pekin plant in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 and exited the personal care market in the third quarter of fiscal 2009. Products remaining within the ingredient solutions segment, all of which are produced at our Atchison facility, consist of starches, including specialty wheat starch and commodity wheat starch, and proteins, including specialty wheat proteins and commodity wheat gluten. In the first quarter of fiscal 2010, we sold our Kansas City, Kansas facility and pet-related business assets. As a result of these actions, revenues across all segments have declined from historic levels; however, we experienced an improvement in our fiscal 2010 profit performance, primarily due to our improved sales mix of value-added products, lower costs of raw materials and natural gas, and lower costs from restructuring.

In recent years, market economics for fuel grade alcohol have been volatile, and in the first calendar quarter of 2009 year we temporarily closed our Pekin plant. After exploring our strategic alternatives with respect to the plant, in November 2009 we completed a series of related transactions pursuant to which we contributed our Pekin plant to a newly-formed company, ICP, and then sold 50 percent of the membership interest in ICP to ICP Holdings, an affiliate of SEACOR Energy Inc., for \$15,000 (\$13,951 net of closing costs). ICP owns and operates the facility. Under separate marketing agreements, we purchase beverage food grade alcohol products manufactured by ICP, and SEACOR Energy Inc. purchases fuel grade alcohol products manufactured by ICP. These marketing agreements provide that we and ICP Holdings will share margin realized from the sale of the products with ICP. Through June 30, 2010, we paid higher than expected prices due to the start-up activities at ICP.

By entering the joint venture arrangement with ICP Holdings, we recovered a portion of our investment in the Pekin plant and enhanced our ability to supply our food grade alcohol customers with quality product. Although we retain some exposure to the volatility of the fuel grade alcohol market through our investment in ICP, we have an opportunity to participate when the economics of that market are good, and we believe that the extent of our exposure to bad markets is significantly less than when we operated Pekin ourselves. Further, we have the ability, through the termination provisions in the ICP Limited Liability Company Agreement, to limit our operating losses by causing ICP to shut down the plant if losses reach specified amounts.

During fiscal 2011, we have continued to focus on the production of valued-added ingredients and distillery products, which led to an overall increase in sales. Despite this increase in sales, our gross margins declined during the fiscal year due to significant raw material cost increases. The cost of corn as compared to the preceding quarter increased by 3.8 percent, 34.9 percent, 21.8 percent and 12.9 percent for the first, second, third and fourth quarters, respectively, and 54.4 percent for the year as a whole. The cost of flour as compared to the preceding quarter increased by 6.9 percent, 15.4 percent, 12.8 percent and 11.1 percent for the first, second, third and fourth quarters, respectively, and 17.0 percent for the year as a whole. Throughout much of the year, especially in our Ingredients segment, our pricing was not commensurate with the increased cost of raw materials.

We experienced a \$10,258 loss in the fourth quarter, which more than offset profits recorded for the first three quarters of the current fiscal year. Contributing to our fourth quarter loss was a \$5,499 unfavorable impact to cost of sales from changes in the fair value of open derivative hedge contracts, a significant portion of which occurred in the last two days of the fiscal year, higher raw material costs, a temporary production interruption, a lag in the adjustment of our alcohol selling prices in step with higher corn prices, and Ingredients segment prices that did not cover the full amount of the increased cost of raw materials. The impact of changes in the fair value of open derivative contracts reversed a net favorable impact of \$3,244 to cost of sales for the previous nine months ended March 31, 2011. We expect this volatility in our earnings to be substantially reduced over time as we implement hedge accounting, as further described below. Our joint venture, ICP, was similarly impacted during the fourth quarter by higher raw material costs and unrealized losses on open commodity derivative contracts as well as a two-week shut-down for maintenance. ICP experienced a \$3,139 net loss for the fourth quarter, of which \$1,570 is our 50 percent share.

Subsequent to year end, in order to address margin issues, we have increased our pricing for ingredient products and alcohol to be more aligned with current input costs. Also subsequent to year end, production levels at both our Atchison plant and at ICP have resumed operations closer to capacity.

Our principal raw materials are corn and flour. Corn is processed into alcohol and animal feed and flour is processed into all of our products. The cost of raw materials is subject to substantial fluctuations depending upon a number of factors which affect commodity prices in general, including crop conditions, weather, disease, plantings, government programs and policies, purchases by foreign governments and changes in demand resulting from population growth and customer preferences. During fiscal 2011, the market prices for grain increased substantially from fiscal 2010; during fiscal 2010, the market prices for grain decreased substantially from fiscal 2009; and during fiscal 2009, the market prices for grain increased substantially from the prior year. While corn prices have fluctuated significantly over the past several years and the overall trend in recent prices has been up, there has been a lot of variability in corn pricing during this period. We expect corn pricing to remain volatile in the near term due to a number of factors impacting global demand and supply of this commodity. These fluctuating prices create challenges since our customers are interested in stable prices for the distillery products they purchase from us.

We entered into our supply agreement with ConAgra Mills in November 2008, whereby it supplies wheat flour requirements for use in the production of protein and starch ingredients. As a result, we no longer purchase wheat directly. However, the price we pay ConAgra for flour is a function of the per-bushel cost of wheat and, accordingly, wheat prices continue to directly impact the cost of raw materials. We believe our focus on value-added products can reduce our risk to such price variations as larger profit margins related to such products can absorb higher levels of raw material volatility and as we may more readily seek adjustable price terms in contracts for such products. However, we will continue to be affected by commodity price fluctuations to some degree, which may be significant at times, and may not be able to recoup cost increases in our selling prices, particularly when price fluctuations are volatile.

Historically, in an attempt to minimize the effects of the volatility of raw material costs on operating profits, we have taken hedging positions by entering into readily marketable exchange-traded commodity futures and option contracts to reduce the risk of future grain price increases. These contracts help us fix corn prices over short periods of time, generally three to six months, which is consistent with most of the sales orders we typically enter into with our distillery customers. We have changed our risk management program related to the volatility of raw material costs, and now purchase a larger amount of contracts for future delivery, and typically hold these contracts until maturity, in order to protect margins on contracted and a portion of spot market, alcohol sales and expected ingredients sales. To the extent we do not enter such contracts and are also unable to timely adjust the prices we charge under sales contracts, we may be adversely impacted by market fluctuations in the cost of grain and natural gas. This new strategy can lead to significant volatility in earnings as a result of unrealized losses (or gains) on our open contracts, as was the case during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. However, we believe our new program poses less risk than our prior program where we hedged to reduce the risk of grain prices based on anticipated production.

On June 30, 2011 the price of corn traded down on the Chicago Board of Trade (CBOT) and the magnitude of this downward price movement resulted in the CBOT suspending trading on this date ahead of the normal closing time since the daily pricing limit had been reached. Given the open positions we had at June 30 and the downward pricing, we were required to mark these open contracts to market and record a charge to our income statement to reflect current pricing. After careful consideration we decided the best evidence of fair value for these contracts was the opening prices on July 1 since the markets had been closed abruptly on June 30. The opening prices on July 1 were lower than the closing prices on the prior day and we were not aware of any significant factors occurring overnight that would have impacted pricing. In our judgment this value better represented the estimated fair value of our open positions on June 30. This downward revision in corn pricing subsequent to the June 30 closing price resulted in an increased unrealized loss of approximately \$1,447. For the entire fourth quarter we recorded an unrealized loss on our open contracts of \$5,499, of which \$2,650 was related to the downward price movement during the last two days of fiscal 2011.

Over the past three years while we have used derivatives to economically hedge corn prices, we have not accounted for these derivative contracts as hedges for accounting purposes. We elected to discontinue the use of hedge accounting for all commodity derivative positions effective April 1, 2008. From April 1, 2008 to June 30, 2011, we did not use hedge accounting to account for our commodity derivative contracts. This was primarily due to the increased record keeping and documentation requirements needed to meet these accounting standards. As a result of this decision for these contracts changes in the market value of open positions have been marked to market through our income statement and affected our reported earnings currently. See *Note 15. Derivative Instruments and Fair Value Measurements* and “*Critical Accounting Policies*” below.

Even prior to the price decline on June 30, 2011 management had been making preparations to start hedge accounting for derivative contracts. Effective July 1, 2011, we elected to restart the use of hedge accounting for certain commodity derivative positions, which are expected to reduce the volatility of our open positions. Under hedge accounting, on the date a derivative contract is entered into, we expect to designate the derivative as a hedge of variable cash flows for purchases of corn used in the manufacturing process (“a cash-flow hedge”). This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as cash-flow hedges to a specific asset (inventory) on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, or to specific firm commitments or forecasted transactions. For all hedging relationships, we will be required to formally document the hedging relationships and our risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge transactions, the hedging instrument, the hedged item, the nature of the risk being hedged, how the hedging instrument’s effectiveness in offsetting the hedged risk will be assessed, and a description of the method of measuring effectiveness. We will also be required to formally assess, both at the hedge’s inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flow of hedged items. When it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or that it has ceased to be a highly effective as a hedge, we will discontinue hedge accounting for that derivative prospectively. The changes in market value of such contracts have historically been, and are expected to continue to be, highly effective at offsetting changes in price movements of the hedged items. Changes in the fair value of contracts that qualify as cash-flow hedges will be recorded in “other comprehensive income/(loss)”, net of applicable income taxes. Gains and losses on commodity hedging contracts are reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to the Consolidated Statement of Operations when the finished goods produced using the hedged item are sold. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of a derivative instrument that qualifies as a cash-flow hedge is reported in earnings. Open contracts at June 30 will continue to be marked to earnings and will wind down over about a six month period. The utilization of hedge accounting should mitigate a portion of our earnings volatility that has been experienced over the past few years. Ultimately our success is largely determined by our ability to recover the price from our customers of the commodities we use in our production process.

Energy represents a major cost of operations, and seasonal increases in natural gas and other utility costs can affect our profitability. Except for fiscal 2010 and 2007, in each fiscal year since fiscal 2002, energy costs have been higher than in the previous fiscal year. We sometimes try to protect ourselves from increased energy costs by entering into natural gas contracts for future delivery. In fiscal 2009, we suffered \$7,642 in losses from such a contract when we no longer required the gas that we contracted for following our decision to temporarily close our Pekin plant.

We have benefited from a United States Department of Agriculture program in effect from June 1, 2001 to May 31, 2003 to support the development and production of value-added wheat proteins and starches. At June 30, 2011 and 2010, the deferred credit related to this grant was \$4,498 and \$5,379, respectively. Current and prior period results reflect the recognition of revenue from this grant. See “Critical Accounting Policies” below.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we identified an out-of-period adjustment related to accounts payable that favorably impacted cost of sales and other income. The impact to fiscal 2010 was an increase in reported pre-tax income for the year ended June 30, 2010 of approximately \$1,351. Cost of sales was favorably impacted by \$733, and other income was improved by \$618. For further discussion, see *Note 1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* as set forth in Item 8.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we identified an immaterial error in our classification of restricted stock awards on the balance sheet. For further discussion, see *Note 1. to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - Accounting Policies and Basis of Presentation-Change in Presentation to Prior Consolidated Financial Statements* set forth at page 9 in Part I, Item 1 of the Company's December 31, 2011 Form 10-Q filed on February 9, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In preparing consolidated financial statements, management must make estimates and judgments that affect the carrying values of our assets and liabilities as well as recognition of revenue and expenses. Management's estimates and judgments are based on our historical experience and management's knowledge and understanding of current facts and circumstances. The policies discussed below are considered by management to be critical to an understanding of our consolidated financial statements. The application of certain of these policies places significant demands on management's judgment, with financial reporting results relying on estimations about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. For all of these policies, management cautions that future events rarely develop as forecast, and estimates routinely require adjustment and may require material adjustment.

Derivative and Hedging Activities. Effective April 1, 2008, we elected to discontinue the use of hedge accounting for all commodity derivative positions. Accordingly, changes in the value of derivatives subsequent to March 31, 2008 have been recorded in cost of sales in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Additionally, derivative instruments entered into subsequent to March 31, 2008 have not been designated as hedges. Derivative instruments related to our hedging program have been recorded as either assets or liabilities and measured at fair market value. The change in the market value of these instruments has been recorded in cost of sales in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Effective July 1, 2011, we elected to restart the use of hedge accounting for certain commodity derivative positions. Under hedge accounting, these contracts are expected to be designated as and accounted for as cash-flow hedges. The changes in market value of such contracts have historically been, and are expected to continue to be, highly effective at offsetting changes in price movements of the hedged items. Consistent with application of hedge accounting under Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, gains and losses arising from open and closed hedging transactions will be recorded as part of “other comprehensive income/(loss)”, net of applicable income taxes, and will be recognized in costs of sales as part of product costs when the related products are sold. Any ineffective portion of a hedged transaction will be immediately recognized in current earnings.

The application of hedge accounting requires significant resources, record-keeping and analytical systems.

Regardless of accounting treatment, we believe all of our commodity hedges are economic hedges.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. We review long-lived assets, mainly equipment, for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that usage may be limited and carrying values may not be recoverable. In making such assessments, management must make estimates and judgments relating to anticipated revenues and expenses and values of our assets and liabilities. Management's estimates and judgments are based on our historical experience and management's knowledge and understanding of current facts and circumstances. Management derives data for its estimates from both outside and internal sources, and considers such matters as product mix, unit sales, unit prices, input costs, expected target volume levels in supply contracts and expectations about new customers as well as overall market trends. Should events indicate the assets cannot be used as planned, the realization from alternative uses or disposal is compared to the carrying value. Considerable judgment is used in these measurements, and a change in the assumptions could result in a different determination of impairment loss and/or the amount of any impairment. We recognized a non-cash impairment loss of \$10,282 during the year ended June 30, 2009. No events or conditions occurred during the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 that required us to record an impairment.

Defined Benefit Retirement Plans. We sponsor two funded, noncontributory qualified Defined Benefit Retirement Plans that cover substantially all our union employees at Atchison and former union employees at Pekin, who did not begin work at ICP, and thus remain our obligation. The benefits under these plans are based upon years of qualified credited service. However, benefit accruals under both plans were frozen in the second quarter of 2010. Our funding policy is to contribute annually not less than the regulatory minimum and not more than the regulatory maximum amount deductible for income tax purposes. The measurement and valuation date of the plans is June 30 of each year. We make various assumptions in valuing the liabilities and benefits under the plan each year. We consider the rates of return on long-term, high-quality fixed income investments using the Citigroup Pension Liability Index as of June 30, 2011. Assumptions regarding employee and retiree life expectancy are based upon the RP 2000 Combined Mortality Table.

Other Post-Retirement Benefits. We also provide certain other post retirement health care and life insurance benefits to certain retired employees. Currently, the plan covers approximately 210 participants, both active and retired. The number of participants was reduced during fiscal 2010, in part due to the transfer of employees to our newly formed joint venture, ICP, as described elsewhere. These actions caused a partial settlement and curtailment of our obligation for accrued retirement benefits.

We fund the post retirement benefit plans on a pay-as-you-go basis, and there are no assets that have been segregated and restricted to provide for post retirement benefits. We pay claims as they are submitted for the medical plan. We provide varied levels of benefits to participants depending upon the date of retirement and the location in which the employee worked. The retiree medical and life plans are available to employees who have attained the age of 62 and rendered the required five years of service. All health benefit plans provide company-paid continuation of the active medical plan until the retiree reaches age 65. At age 65, we pay a lump sum advance premium on behalf of the retiree to the MediGap carrier of the retiree's choice. The employee retirement date determines which level of benefits is provided.

Our plan measurement date is June 30. We make various assumptions in valuing the liabilities and benefits under the plan each year. We consider the rates of return on currently available, high-quality fixed income investments, using the Citigroup Pension Liability Index as of June 30. (Long term rates of return are not considered because the plan has no assets.) For fiscal 2011, the accumulated post retirement benefit obligation ("APBO") decreased to \$6,498 from \$8,170 at June 30, 2010. A portion of the other post-retirement benefits obligation was settled for workers who were re-hired by ICP. Assumptions regarding employee and retiree life expectancy are based upon the RP 2000 Combined Mortality Table. We also consider the effects of expected long term trends in health care costs, which are based upon actual claims experience and other environmental and market factors impacting the cost of health care in the short and long-term.

Income Taxes. We account for deferred income tax assets and liabilities resulting from the effects of transactions reported in different periods for financial reporting and income tax under the liability method of accounting for income taxes. This method gives consideration to the future tax consequences of the deferred income tax items and immediately recognizes changes in income tax laws upon enactment as well as applied income tax rates when facts and circumstances warrant such changes. We establish a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that a deferred tax asset may not be realized. Additionally, we follow the provisions of FASB ASC 740, *Income Taxes*, related to the accounting for uncertainty in income tax positions, which requires management judgment and use of estimates in determining whether the impact of a tax position is “more likely than not” of being sustained on audit by the relevant taxing authority. We consider many factors when evaluating and estimating our tax positions, which may require periodic adjustment and which may not accurately anticipate actual outcomes. It is reasonably possible that amounts reserved for potential exposure could change significantly as a result of the conclusion of tax examinations and, accordingly, materially affect our operating results.

FISCAL 2011 AND ONGOING INITIATIVES

SAP Implementation

During the quarter ended December 31, 2010, we implemented an SAP information technology system for accounting, sales, supply chain and manufacturing. SAP was implemented to improve the Company’s business processes and deliver enhanced operational and financial information. This implementation is expected to enable us to manage our business and our reporting more efficiently. We have spent \$1,269 on the SAP implementation, of which \$996 has been capitalized.

Protein and Starch Plant Infrastructure

On October 20, 2010, our Board of Directors approved a project to upgrade our protein and starch plant infrastructure. The upgrades primarily involved interior and exterior renovations to the facility, as well as the redesign of certain protein and starch processing equipment, at a cost of approximately \$2,500. The upgrades should allow us to maintain high quality standards and increase our production efficiency. The project began in October 2010 and was completed during the latter half of fiscal 2011.

Water Cooling System Project

On June 10, 2010, our Board of Directors approved a major capital project designed to provide environmental benefits at our Atchison, Kansas distillery, while also enhancing our alcohol production capabilities. The project involved the installation of a new, state-of-the-art water cooling system to replace older equipment used to supply water for multiple components of the distillation process. This project began during the summer of fiscal 2010 and was completed during July of 2011 at a cost of approximately \$9,356. A major portion of this asset was financed by U.S. Bancorp through a capital lease as further described in *Note 4. Corporate Borrowings and Capital Lease Obligations*.

Transportation Agreements

Since March 2011, we have used third party transportation companies to provide logistics support in managing all truck and rail carriers in servicing our North American customers, as well as improving delivery time for our inbound materials. We expect this to strengthen our customer service capabilities while also increasing our logistics capabilities, efficiencies and cost savings.

DEVELOPMENTS IN THE DISTILLERY PRODUCTS SEGMENT

As previously mentioned in *General*, in order to become more efficient and effective and to improve our results, we refocused our business on the production of our value-added products.

One of the most important developments in the Distillery Products segment occurred in the second quarter of fiscal 2010, when we formed ICP, contributed our Pekin facility to ICP and sold a 50 percent ownership interest in ICP. In addition to realizing \$13,951 (net of closing costs) of immediate value from a previously idled asset, the joint venture increased our available capacity for food grade alcohol. ICP commenced production at the Pekin facility during the third quarter of fiscal 2010. This facility had been temporarily idled since February 2009. At June 30, 2011, the Pekin facility returned to operating near full capacity, after a two-week shut-down during the fourth quarter for maintenance.

In alignment with the strategy described above, increased production volume at our ICP joint venture for fiscal 2011 has helped us to increase our sales of high quality food grade alcohol. Sales of food grade alcohol for the year ended June 30, 2011 increased by 32.8 percent compared to fiscal 2010.

Our year to date fiscal 2011 results were affected by production shut-downs at our Atchison distillery during the second and fourth quarters as further discussed in the “*Fiscal 2011 Compared to Fiscal 2010—General*” section below as well as the significant cost increases for raw materials.

As discussed previously in the “*—Fiscal 2011 and Ongoing Initiatives*” section, we recently completed installation of a new, state-of-the-art water cooling system to replace older equipment used to supply water for multiple components of the distillation process. Our new transportation arrangements should also strengthen the customer service capabilities of our Distillery Products segment.

DEVELOPMENTS IN THE INGREDIENT SOLUTIONS SEGMENT

As previously mentioned in *General and Developments in Distillery Product Segment*, since fiscal 2009 we have refocused our business on the production of our value-added products. We believe the steps we have taken enabled us to return to profitability during fiscal 2010 and to be more competitive, while also allowing us to obtain financing that has enabled us to maintain operations. During fiscal 2011, our focus continued to be on the production of our value-added products with higher margins. Our year to date fiscal 2011 results were affected by significant cost increases for raw materials, as well as lower volume output due, in part, to temporary production interruptions at various times throughout the year.

By substantially exiting the commodity wheat gluten business and curtailing our commodity starch production in fiscal 2009, we have significantly reduced sales volumes of our lower margin protein and starch products. We continue to focus our manufacturing efforts on improving our consistency and capabilities for producing our higher margin specialty product lines. We are using an on-line Customer Relationship Management (“CRM”) solution system that was implemented in fiscal 2009 to improve our ability to develop new sales of our product lines. We are focused on increasing sales growth of our specialty products to the largest and most innovative producers of consumer packaged goods in the U.S.

As discussed previously in the “*—Fiscal 2011 and Ongoing Initiatives*” section, we have upgraded our protein and starch infrastructure and have strengthened customer service capabilities of our Ingredients Solutions segment through new transportation agreements.

DEVELOPMENTS IN THE OTHER SEGMENT

On August 21, 2009, we sold our Kansas City, Kansas, facility for \$3,585, with potential additional payments based on the buyer's income from sales of our existing products to our existing customers over the three years ending July 31, 2012. The sale included all equipment used for the production and packaging of pet-related products, which principally include extruded plant-based resins and finished pet treats. We retained ownership of equipment that is used for the production of our Wheatex® textured wheat proteins, which are sold for use in meat extension and vegetarian product applications. This equipment is located in a separate section of the facility that we have leased for a period of three years ending August 20, 2012 and which is operated by a subsidiary of the buyer under a toll manufacturing arrangement.

As discussed previously in the “–Fiscal 2011 and Ongoing Initiatives” section, the Other segment is also aligned with our overall strategic initiative to strengthen customer service capabilities through new transportation agreements.

SEGMENT RESULTS

The following is a summary of revenues and pre-tax income (loss) allocated to each reportable operating segment for the three fiscal years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009. See *Note 12. Operating Segments* set forth in Item 8 for additional information regarding our operating segments.

	2011	2010	2009
Distillery Products			
Net Sales	\$ 188,993	\$ 139,990	\$ 204,704
Pre-Tax Income (Loss)	19,720	16,713	(24,367)
Ingredient Solutions			
Net Sales	57,765	59,715	82,127
Pre-Tax Income (Loss)	1,828	9,731	(6,720)
Other			
Net Sales	1,157	2,266	4,981
Pre-Tax Income (Loss)	(521)	145	40

The following table is a reconciliation between pre-tax income by segment and net income.

	2011 ⁽¹⁾	2010 ⁽¹⁾	2009 ⁽¹⁾
Income (loss) before income taxes			
Distillery products	\$ 19,720	\$ 16,713	\$ (24,367)
Ingredient solutions	1,828	9,731	(6,720)
Other	(521)	145	40
Corporate	(21,701)	(22,056)	(24,411)
Impairment of long-lived assets	-	-	(10,282)
Severance and early retirement costs	-	-	(3,288)
Loss on joint venture formation	-	(2,294)	-
Gain (loss) on sale of assets	(322)	1,731	-
Other restructuring costs	(249)	-	(5,241)
Unrealized loss on natural gas contract	-	-	(7,642)
Total income (loss) before income taxes	(1,245)	3,970	(81,911)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	68	(4,768)	(12,788)
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,313)	\$ 8,738	\$ (69,123)

- ⁽¹⁾ Non-direct selling, general and administrative, interest expense, investment income and other general miscellaneous expenses are classified as corporate. Out-of-period adjustments are classified as corporate. In addition, we do not assign or allocate special charges to our operating segments. For purposes of comparative analysis, loss on impairment of long-lived assets, severance and early retirement costs, loss on joint venture formation, gain (loss) on sale of assets, other restructuring costs, and the loss on natural gas contract for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 have been excluded from our segments.

FISCAL 2011 COMPARED TO FISCAL 2010

GENERAL

For the year ended June 30, 2011, we experienced a net loss of \$1,313 on consolidated sales of \$247,915 versus net income of \$8,738 on consolidated sales of \$201,971 for the year ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in earnings was primarily due to significantly increased costs for corn, flour and natural gas compared to fiscal 2010, a fourth quarter lag in the adjustment of our alcohol selling prices in step with higher corn prices and unrealized losses on our open commodity derivatives contracts in the fourth quarter. Our 50 percent owned joint venture, ICP, was similarly impacted by higher raw material costs and unrealized losses on open commodity derivative contracts, of which our share was 50 percent. Shut-downs at the Atchison distillery during the second and fourth quarters of fiscal 2011 also negatively impacted our results. The second quarter fiscal 2011 shut-down was related to a water supply disruption, equipment repairs and upgrades. This caused our production for the month of December 2010 to be below normal. The fourth quarter fiscal 2011 shut-down resulted from a one-week outage related to installing the new distillery water cooling system at the Atchison plant. This caused production for the month of May 2011 to be below normal.

DISTILLERY PRODUCTS

Total distillery products sales revenue for the year ended June 30, 2011 increased \$49,003, or 35.0 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in volume of high quality food grade alcohol of 30.4 percent. Also contributing to the overall increase in the distillery products segment were increases of \$6,302 and \$3,793 in distillers feed and fuel grade alcohol, respectively, for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. Our gross margin percentage decreased to 10.6 percent for the year ended June 30, 2011 from 12.3 percent for the year ended June 30, 2010 due primarily to significant year-over year increases in corn and natural gas prices, a fourth quarter lag in the adjustment of our alcohol selling prices in step with higher corn prices and fourth quarter unrealized losses on our hedging activities, partially offset by year-over-year increased average prices. For the year ended June 30, 2011, the per-bushel cost of corn and per-million cubic foot cost of natural gas averaged nearly 54.5 percent and 8.0 percent higher, respectively, than the year ended June 30, 2010.

INGREDIENT SOLUTIONS

Total ingredient solutions sales revenue for the year ended June 30, 2011 decreased by \$1,950, or 3.3 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. Specialty starches saw a 5.8 percent increase in revenues compared to fiscal 2010 due to an increase in volume partially offset by a decrease in unit pricing. Revenues for specialty proteins for the year ended June 30, 2011 increased 0.3 percent from the year ended June 30, 2010, as a result improved unit sales partially offset by a slight decrease in unit pricing. With our focus on the production and commercialization of specialty ingredients, revenues for commodity proteins and commodity starch decreased by 91.2 and 20.3 percent, respectively, for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. In addition to the overall decline in revenues for the ingredient solutions segment, our margins saw a decline during the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. This was principally due to lower volume output, higher raw material costs, increased energy costs related to higher natural gas prices, and lower overall pricing which was not commensurate with our raw material price increases. Our protein and starch production was unfavorably impacted at various times throughout fiscal 2011 due to temporary production interruptions to accommodate a series of planned facility and process improvements. These factors were partially offset by improved average selling prices for commodity proteins. Flour prices and natural gas prices averaged approximately 17.0 and 8.0 percent higher, respectively, compared to fiscal 2010.

OTHER PRODUCTS

For the year ended June 30, 2011, revenues for other products, consisting primarily of plant-based biopolymers and resins, decreased \$1,109, or 48.9 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. The decline in other segment sales revenue was primarily due to lower unit sales of our plant-based biopolymers and resins. Also contributing to the decrease in sales for the year ended June 30, 2011 was the divestiture of our pet products business. As described in *Note 10. Assets Held for Sale* set forth in Item 8., we sold the assets related to our pet products during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Plant-based biopolymer and resin sales decreased 44.5 percent compared to the fiscal 2010. The decrease in sales of plant-based biopolymers and resins was due to a 41.9 percent decline in unit sales for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to a year ago. For the year ended June 30, 2011, lower per unit pricing also contributed to the overall decrease in sales. The other segment experienced a loss for the year ended June 30, 2011 due to the lower unit sales discussed above as well as higher production costs.

NET SALES

Net sales for the year ended June 30, 2011 increased \$45,944, or 22.7 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. The increase was attributable to increased net sales in the distillery products segment partially offset by declines in the ingredient solutions and other segments. Net sales in the distillery products segment, as a whole, increased primarily as a result of higher volumes of food grade alcohol and higher average prices for the segment overall. Net sales in the ingredient solutions segment decreased due to lower volumes and pricing. Net sales for our other segment decreased mainly as the result of reduced sales of plant-based biopolymer products and, to a lesser extent, eliminating the pet products line of business.

COST OF SALES

For the year ended June 30, 2011, cost of sales increased \$53,611, or 31.3 percent, compared to the year to date period ended June 30, 2010. Our higher overall costs were directly the result of temporary production interruptions, production increases related to distillery products as well as higher corn, natural gas, and flour prices, and by the unfavorable impact of losses on open derivative commodity contracts. We saw increases in the per-bushel cost of corn, the per-pound cost of flour, and the per-million cubic foot cost of natural gas, which averaged nearly 54.4 percent, 17.0 percent, and 8.0 percent higher, respectively, than the year ended June 30, 2010. Cost of sales was also impacted by changes in the fair value of open derivatives contracts. For the year ended June 30, 2011, our open derivative commodity contracts had a \$2,254 unfavorable impact to cost of sales, virtually all of which occurred in the last two days of the fiscal year, compared to \$14 favorable impact for the year ended June 30, 2010. For the year ended June 30, 2011, cost of sales was 90.8 percent of net sales, which generated a gross profit margin of 9.2 percent. For the year ended June 30, 2010, cost of sales was 84.9 percent of net sales, which generated a gross margin of 15.1 percent.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended June 30, 2011 increased \$449, or 2.2 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to increased consulting costs as well as general compensation increases.

OTHER OPERATING COSTS

Other operating costs for the year ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$1,514, or 75.0 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was primarily due to the reduction in costs associated with maintaining the Pekin facility while it was idle.

LOSS ON JOINT VENTURE FORMATION

For the year ended June 30, 2011, there was no loss on joint venture formation. Loss on joint venture formation for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$2,294. The components included \$1,245 to adjust the book value of the Pekin plant balance sheet assets contributed to the joint venture to the implied value and \$1,049 for professional fees associated with the transactions. We reduced this loss by \$753 during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010 related to the loss on joint venture formation when we recorded a settlement for the portion of the other post-retirement benefits obligation related to workers re-hired by ICP.

GAIN (LOSS) ON SALE OF ASSETS

Loss on sale of assets for the year ended June 30, 2011 was \$322 compared to a \$1,731 gain for the year ended June 30, 2010. The components of the fiscal 2011 loss relate to the disposition of certain machinery and equipment. The components of the fiscal 2010 gain includes a \$917 gain related to the sale of protein and starch equipment from the Pekin facility, a \$671 gain related to the sale of certain flour mill assets, a \$100 gain on the sale of transport equipment and a \$43 gain on other equipment.

OTHER RESTRUCTURING COSTS

Other restructuring costs for the year ended June 30, 2011 were \$249. There were no restructuring costs for the year ended June 30, 2010. This increase was due to not returning certain railcars expected to be assigned to other third parties as quickly as we had anticipated.

OTHER INCOME, NET

Other income, net, decreased \$637, or 98.8 percent, for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was primarily attributable to a fiscal 2010 non recurring reversal of account payable balances related to a prior period totaling \$618, as further described in *Note 1. Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* set forth in Item 8.

INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest expense for the year ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$1,399 compared to the year ended June 30, 2010. This decrease was the result of lower loan balances on long-term debt as well as the reduced average daily balance and interest rate on our credit facility compared to the prior year.

EQUITY IN LOSS OF JOINT VENTURES

ICP

On November 20, 2009, we completed a series of transactions whereby we contributed our Pekin plant to a newly-formed company, ICP, and then sold 50 percent of the membership interest in ICP to ICP Holdings, an affiliate of SEACOR Energy Inc., for a purchase price of \$15,000 (\$13,951 net of closing costs) as further described in *Note 3. Investment in Joint Ventures* set forth in Item 8.

For the year ended June 30, 2011, ICP reported a net loss of \$3,139. As a 50 percent joint venture member, our portion of the net loss was \$1,570. ICP incurred a loss due to raw material prices increases, a two-week plant shut-down and unrealized losses on open derivative contracts. For the period from November 20, 2009 to June 30, 2010, ICP incurred a net loss of \$4,051, primarily related to costs incurred as part of the initial implementation of operations. As a 50 percent joint venture holder, our portion of the loss was \$2,026.

As further describe in *Note 3. Investment in Joint Ventures*, ICP's Limited Liability Company Agreement gives us and our joint venture partner, ICP Holdings, a subsidiary of SEACOR Energy Inc., certain rights to shut down the Pekin plant if ICP operates at an EBITDA loss of \$500 in any quarter. Such rights are conditional in certain instances but are absolute if losses aggregate \$1,500 over any three consecutive quarters or if ICP's net working capital is less than \$2,500. ICP experienced EBITDA losses in excess of \$500 in the quarters ended December 31, 2009, March 31, 2010, December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2011. For the three consecutive quarters ending June 30, 2011, ICP experienced a loss in excess of the \$1,500 aggregate loss threshold amount permitted over any three consecutive quarters. Losses of such nature are also events of default under ICP's term loan and revolving credit facility. An affiliate of SEACOR Energy, Inc. which provides financing for ICP waived these covenant violations.

D.M. Ingredients, GmbH ("DMI")

On July 17, 2007, we completed a transaction with Crespel and Deiters GmbH & Co. KG for the formation and financing of a joint venture, DMI, located in Ibbenburen, Germany. DMI's primary operation is the production of specialty ingredients for marketing by MGPI domestically and, through our partner and third parties, internationally. Currently, the joint venture is utilizing a third party toller in the Netherlands to produce the products. We own a 50 percent interest in DMI, and account for it using the equity method of accounting. As of June 30, 2011, we had invested \$571 in DMI since July 2007.

For the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, DMI incurred earnings (losses) of \$60 and (\$293). The loss for the year ended June 30, 2010 was related to costs incurred as part of the initial implementation of operations. No sales revenue was reported for the year ended June 30, 2010. As a 50 percent joint venture member, our equity in earnings (loss) was \$30 and (\$147) for fiscal 2011 and 2010, respectively.

DMI's functional currency is the European Union Euro. Accordingly, changes in the holding value of our investment in DMI resulting from changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. Dollar and the European Union Euro are recorded in other comprehensive income as a translation adjustment on unconsolidated foreign subsidiary net of deferred taxes.

INCOME TAXES

For the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the effective tax rate was (5.5) percent and (120.1) percent, respectively. For the year ended June 30, 2011, the effective rate differs from the Company's statutory rate primarily due to changes in the federal and state valuation allowance recorded against deferred tax assets and the expense recorded due to a state tax law change that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2011. For the year ended June 30, 2010, the effective rate differs from the Company's statutory rate primarily due to changes in the federal and state valuation allowance and the benefit of a tax law change occurring during fiscal 2010. Under the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009, which was enacted during the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we became eligible to carry back net operating losses generated in our fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 to our five preceding tax years, instead of the two years allowed under previous tax law. We filed a claim to carry an additional \$11,900 of net operating loss back. An income tax benefit of approximately \$4,700 was recognized during the second quarter of fiscal 2010 related to this carry-back claim. The cash refund associated with the carry-back claim was received during January 2010. For further discussion on the deferred income tax valuation allowance, see *Note 5. Income Taxes* set forth in Item 8.

NET INCOME (LOSS)

As the result of the factors outlined above, we experienced a net loss of \$1,313 for the year ended June 30, 2011, compared to net income of \$8,738 for the year ended June 30, 2010.

FISCAL 2010 COMPARED TO FISCAL 2009

GENERAL

Consolidated earnings for the year ended June 30, 2010 increased compared to the year ended June 30, 2009 with earnings of \$8,738 on consolidated sales of \$201,971 versus a net loss of \$69,123 on consolidated sales of \$291,812 for the year ended June 30, 2009. This increase in net earnings was primarily the result of our improved sales mix of value-added products, significantly decreased cost of sales resulting primarily from lower grain costs, and the absence of impairment, severance and restructuring costs that were recognized during the year ended June 30, 2009. Along with the significant improvements we made in operating results, our fiscal 2010 net income benefitted from gains on the sale of assets previously written off, out of period adjustments, an income tax refund and reductions in our accrued pension and post-retirement liabilities, which aggregated \$8,400. These gains were largely offset by charges and costs associated with the formation and start-up of the ICP joint venture, as well as various costs related to restructuring and realignment, aggregating \$6,700. Restructuring costs related to the impairment of long lived assets, severance and other restructuring of \$10,282, \$3,288 and \$5,241, respectively, were incurred for the year ended June 30, 2009. Additionally, we incurred \$7,642 in losses on a natural gas contract for our Pekin, Illinois production facility for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Earnings in the ingredients solutions segment increased over the same period in fiscal 2009 primarily due to an improved sales mix of value-added proteins and starches. Lower wheat flour prices for our protein and starch processes were also a factor in our ingredient solutions segment performance.

DISTILLERY PRODUCTS

Total distillery products sales revenue for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$64,714, or 31.6 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. The majority of the decrease was attributable to the reduced production of fuel grade alcohol as a result of our decision to focus on food grade alcohol, which consistently has experienced more stable prices. The decrease in revenues related to fuel grade alcohol was \$40,373, or 85.1 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. Distillers feed saw a decline in revenues of \$18,720, or 56.6 percent, over the year ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was largely due to the decrease in production of 38.0 percent compared to the year ended June 30, 2009, primarily resulting from the temporary shutdown of the Pekin facility and slightly lower unit pricing. Also contributing to this decrease in revenue was food grade alcohol, which experienced a \$5,621, or 4.5 percent, reduction in revenue from the year ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower per-unit pricing, which followed the decrease in corn prices during the year ended June 30, 2010. While revenues for distillery products declined for the year ended June 30, 2010 as compared to a year ago, margins improved due to a significant reduction in sales of lower margin fuel grade alcohol, along with a significant reduction in corn and natural gas prices. For the year ended June 30, 2010, the per-bushel cost of corn and the per-million cubic foot cost of natural gas averaged nearly 24.1 percent and 51.0 percent lower, respectively, than the year ended June 30, 2009. These lower costs contributed to the fiscal 2010 profit for the segment.

INGREDIENT SOLUTIONS

Total ingredient solutions sales revenue for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased by \$22,412, or 27.3 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. Revenues for commodity proteins and commodity starch decreased by \$11,859 and \$3,564, respectively, during this period. Commodity proteins and starch products with lower margins were significantly reduced as a part of management's strategy to focus on higher-margin, value-added products. Revenues for specialty starches for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased overall \$4,839, or 14.7 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2009, as a result of lower unit sales, partially offset by increased unit pricing. However, sales of our fiber-enhancing resistant wheat starch and textured wheat proteins showed year-over-year increases. Revenues for specialty proteins for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$1,089, or 5.0 percent, over the year ended June 30, 2009, as a result of lower unit sales. While revenues for the ingredient solutions segment declined overall, margins improved during the year ended June 30, 2010 as a result of improved sales mix by reducing our emphasis on unprofitable product lines along with lower flour costs attributable to lower wheat prices.

Beginning in the quarter ended December 31, 2008, we entered into a supply contract for flour with ConAgra Mills whereby it is supplying our wheat flour requirements for use in the production of protein and starch ingredients. As a result, we no longer purchase wheat directly. The price we pay ConAgra for flour is a function of the per-bushel cost of wheat and so accordingly, wheat prices continue to directly impact the cost of raw materials for our ingredient solutions segment. For the year ended June 30, 2010, the per-pound cost of flour decreased by 28.5 percent compared to the year ended June 30, 2009.

OTHER PRODUCTS

For the year ended June 30, 2010, revenues for other products, consisting primarily of pet products and plant-based biopolymers, decreased \$2,715, or 54.5 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. The decline in other segment sales revenue was primarily the result of decreased unit sales of 92.7 percent for our pet products for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to the prior fiscal year, offset by a slight increase in unit sales of our plant-based biopolymer products. Although the sales performance in this segment declined compared to the prior year, the gross margins in this segment as a percent of sales improved substantially due to a reduction of pet product sales and increased focus on improving cost efficiencies in our eco-friendly biopolymer area. We sold the assets related to our pet products during the first quarter of fiscal 2010, as further described *Note 10 Assets Held for Sale* as set forth in Item 8.

NET SALES

Net Sales for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$89,841, or 30.8 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2009 as a result of decreased sales in all segments. The decrease is primarily the result of our strategy to reduce sales of low and negative margin products across all operating segments and also partially to the adverse weather experienced during portions of the winter months of fiscal 2010. Decreased sales in the ingredient solutions segment were related primarily to our exit from low margin commodity proteins and starch products. While unit pricing increased from a year ago for specialty starches and specialty proteins, lower unit sales of specialty starches and specialty proteins led to decreased sales in this segment. Sales in the distillery products segment as a whole decreased primarily as a result of reduced volumes of fuel grade alcohol. Revenues for food grade alcohol also declined as a result of unit pricing and decreased unit sales. Revenues for distillers feed also declined as a result of lower unit sales. Sales for our other segment decreased as the result of a decline in unit sales of pet products, which was partially offset by an increase in unit sales of biopolymer products.

COST OF SALES

For the year ended June 30, 2010, cost of sales decreased \$154,487, or 47.4 percent, while sales decreased 30.8 percent compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. This decrease in cost of sales was primarily the result of a fiscal 2009 charge taken to settle natural gas commitments, the change in operations at the Pekin plant, and reduced grain and energy costs. The per-bushel cost of corn and the per-million cubic foot cost of natural gas averaged nearly 24.1 percent and 51.0 percent lower, respectively, than the year ended June 30, 2009. The per pound cost of wheat flour for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased by 28.5 percent compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. For the year ended June 30, 2010, cost of sales was 84.9 percent of net sales, which generated a gross profit margin of 15.1 percent. For the year ended June 30, 2009, cost of sales was 111.7 percent of net sales, which generated a gross margin of negative 11.7 percent. Beginning in quarter ended December 31, 2008, we ceased purchasing and processing wheat into flour in favor of directly purchasing flour at a lower cost than our own manufacturing cost. Cost of sales was favorably impacted \$733 by an out-of-period adjustment. See “*General*”, above.

With the shutdown of protein and starch operations and the reduction and temporary idling of distillery operations at our Pekin plant, commitments for the purchase of natural gas through the remainder of the fiscal year 2009 under a single contract for our Pekin plant were in excess of projected consumption after adjusting for such reduced production. We recorded a charge of \$7,642 to cost of sales for unrealized losses for the year ended June 30, 2009 to cost of sales for losses realized upon settlement of this contract.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$693, or 3.2 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. This decrease was primarily due to the reduction in the Company's workforce which was a result of the restructuring along with other cost savings initiatives, partially offset by an increase in incentive compensation.

OTHER OPERATING COSTS

Other operating costs for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$2,676, or 57.0 percent, compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. This decrease is primarily due to the reduction in costs associated with maintaining idle facilities.

IMPAIRMENT OF LONG LIVED ASSETS

For the year ended June 30, 2010, there were no impairments of long lived assets. In fiscal 2009 material impairment charges were recorded in response to the losses incurred during the first quarter of fiscal 2009. We took actions to return to profitability and included significant changes to operations as discussed below.

Ingredient Solutions Segment. On October 20, 2008 we announced that we had signed a non-binding letter of intent to acquire our flour requirements from a third party, were ceasing operations at our flour mill in Atchison, Kansas and were reducing our workforce by approximately 44 persons. The workforce reduction consisted of a combination of temporary lay-offs and early retirement offers. On November 6, we announced that the anticipated supply contract for flour had been signed, and the layoffs became permanent. Our decision to close our flour mill was due to the fact that we could no longer produce flour for our own use at costs that were competitive with those of third party producers. As a result of this action, we performed an impairment analysis and recorded a \$2,831 non-cash impairment charge in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the second quarter of 2009 related to the flour mill assets.

On November 5, 2008 we announced plans to significantly reduce production of commodity wheat proteins and starches by ceasing protein and starch production operations at our Pekin, Illinois plant, effective November 12, 2008. The majority of the Pekin facility's protein and starch production consisted of gluten and commodity starches. The action resulted in an additional work force reduction of approximately 80 persons, consisting of a combination of lay-offs and early retirement offers. As a result of the shutdown, we performed an impairment analysis and in the second quarter recorded a \$4,960 non-cash impairment charge in the Consolidated Statements of Operations related to the Pekin protein and starch assets. On January 29, 2009, we determined to cease the manufacture and sale of personal care ingredients products at our Atchison facility. We completed the exit of the personal care line of products after fulfilling all obligations with respect to our personal care customers, completing all production and liquidating all remaining inventory. As a result of this action, in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 we incurred a non-cash impairment charge of \$329 in the Consolidated Statements of Operation related to the write down of equipment used in the production of personal care products.

In measuring for impairment of assets at our flour mill and our Pekin facility's protein and starch production facility, management assumed no sales or other disposition but instead adjusted net values of these assets to zero as no further cash flow related to these assets was anticipated.

Distillery Segment. In November of 2008, we determined to curtail fuel alcohol production at Pekin to approximately 30 million gallons annually until market conditions became more favorable. Subsequent to December 31, 2008, we determined that we could further adjust our production process at Pekin in a way that permitted us to produce only minor quantities of fuel grade alcohol as a by-product of the production of food grade alcohol and determined to otherwise terminate the production of fuel grade alcohol. Subsequently, we determined to shut down food grade production at the plant for a temporary period. On March 31, 2009, we announced that we were considering strategic options for the Pekin plant. We performed an impairment analysis of our other long lived assets and determined no further impairment charges were necessary as a result of these activities.

Other Segment. At the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2008, we concluded that our pet business assets in the other segment and certain of our ingredient solutions segment assets in a mixed use facility in Kansas City, Kansas at which our pet treat resins are made were impaired. At that time, we recorded an impairment charge of \$8,100, of which \$4,700 related to assets allocated to the Company's other segment. During the quarter ended December 31, 2008, management performed another test for impairment of these assets as a result of an appraisal resulting in a further charge of \$811. As part of our closing process for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we performed an additional impairment test based upon then ongoing negotiations for the sale of the Kansas City facility and recorded an additional impairment charge of \$1,351. On August 21, 2009, we completed the sale of our Kansas City, Kansas facility for \$3,585.

SEVERANCE AND EARLY RETIREMENT COSTS

For the year ended June 30, 2010, no severance and early retirement costs were incurred. In connection with the production changes and impairment of long-lived assets described above and in *Note 9. Restructuring Costs and Loss on Impairment of Assets*, we also incurred \$3,288 in severance related charges associated with early retirements and job eliminations during the year ended June 30, 2009. These charges have been presented in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations as "Severance and early retirement costs."

LOSS ON JOINT VENTURE FORMATION

Loss on joint venture formation for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$2,294 compared to \$0 for the year ended June 30, 2009. The components included \$1,245 to adjust the book value of the Pekin plant balance sheet assets contributed to the joint venture to the implied value and \$1,049 for professional fees associated with the transactions. We reduced our loss by \$753 during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010 related to the loss on joint venture formation when we recorded a settlement for the portion of the other post-retirement benefits obligation related to workers re-hired by ICP.

GAIN ON SALE OF ASSETS

Gain on sale of assets for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$1,731 compared to \$0 for the year ended June 30, 2009. The components include a \$917 gain related to the sale of protein and starch equipment from the Pekin facility, a \$671 gain related to the sale of certain flour mill assets, a \$100 gain on the sale of transport equipment and a \$43 gain on other equipment.

OTHER RESTRUCTURING COSTS

For the year ended June 30, 2010, other restructuring costs decreased \$5,241, or 100.0 percent. In connection with the production changes and impairment of long-lived assets described in *Note 9 Restructuring Costs and Loss on Impairment of Assets* set forth in Item 8, we incurred a \$2,185 net loss during the quarter ended December 31, 2008, which is net of approximately \$1,109 in realized gains previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income.

In addition, during fiscal 2009 we recognized \$2,925 in lease termination costs which we expected to incur with respect to rail cars which we formerly used to transport flour and whose leases expire through 2013. We recognized this expense because we no longer utilized these cars in our business. Expected payments accrued reflect the net present value of the remaining obligation for unused cars net of units which were estimated to be returned to the lessor sooner than the lease termination date. The discount rate used was 6.4 percent, which was consistent with the rate provided by our actuary. We estimated that the remaining railcars would be returned to the lessor or assigned to other third parties over the course of four years.

During fiscal 2010, 53 railcars were returned to the lessor. We expect the remaining 68 railcars will be returned during fiscal 2014. Activity related to the liability for restructuring is further described in *Note 9 Restructuring Costs and Loss on Impairment of Assets* set forth in Item 8.

OTHER INCOME, NET

Other income, net, increased \$533, or 475.9 percent, for the year ended June 30, 2010 compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to a non recurring reversal of account payable balances related to a prior period totaling \$618, as further described in *Note 1 Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* set forth in Item 8. This increase was partially offset by changes in interest capitalized as well as the effect of certain other non-recurring revenue items.

INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest expense for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$1,144 compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. This decrease arose from lower line of credit balances and other corporate borrowings compared to the same periods in the prior year, as a result of improvements in operating cash flow, proceeds from asset sales and income tax refunds.

EQUITY IN LOSS OF JOINT VENTURES

ICP

On November 20, 2009, we completed a series of transactions whereby we contributed our Pekin plant to a newly-formed company, ICP, and then sold 50 percent of the membership interest in ICP to ICP Holdings, an affiliate of SEACOR Energy Inc., for a purchase price of \$15,000 (\$13,951 net of closing costs) as further described in *Note 3 Investment in Joint Ventures* set forth in Item 8.

For the period from November 20, 2009 to June 30, 2010, ICP incurred a net loss of \$4,051, primarily related to costs incurred as part of the initial implementation of operations. As a 50 percent joint venture member, our portion of the loss was \$2,026.

D.M. Ingredients, GmbH ("DMI")

On July 17, 2007, we completed a transaction with Crespel and Deiters GmbH & Co. KG for the formation and financing of a joint venture, DMI, located in Ibbenburen, Germany. DMI's primary operation is the production of specialty ingredients for marketing by MGPI domestically and, through our partner and third parties, internationally. Currently, the joint venture is utilizing a third party toller in the Netherlands to produce the products. We own a 50 percent interest in DMI, and account for it using the equity method of accounting. As of June 30, 2010, we had invested \$571 in DMI since July 2007.

For the year ended June 30, 2010, DMI incurred a net loss of \$293 related to costs incurred as part of the initial implementation of operations. No sales revenue was reported. As a 50 percent joint venture member, our equity in this loss was \$147 and \$114 for fiscal 2010 and 2009, respectively.

DMI's functional currency is the European Union Euro. Accordingly, changes in the holding value of the Company's investment in DMI resulting from changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. Dollar and the European Union Euro are recorded in other comprehensive income as a translation adjustment on unconsolidated foreign subsidiary net of deferred taxes.

INCOME TAXES

For the year ended June 30, 2010, we had an income tax benefit of \$4,768, resulting in an effective rate of negative 120.1 percent. For the year ended June 30, 2009, our income tax benefit was \$12,788, for an effective rate of 15.6 percent.

For the year ended June 30, 2010, the effective rate differs from our statutory rate primarily due to changes in the federal and state valuation allowance and the benefit of a tax law change occurring during fiscal 2010. Under the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009, which was enacted during the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we became eligible to carry back net operating losses generated in our fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 to our five preceding tax years, instead of the two years allowed under previous tax law. We filed a claim to carry an additional \$11,900 of net operating loss back. An income tax benefit of approximately \$4,700 was recognized during the second quarter of fiscal 2010 related to this carry-back claim. The cash refund associated with the carry-back claim was received during January 2010. For further discussion on the deferred income tax valuation allowance, see *Note 5 Income Taxes* set forth in Item 8.

NET INCOME

As the result of the factors outlined above, we experienced net income of \$8,738 for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to a net loss of \$69,123 for the year ended June 30, 2009.

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Our sales have not been seasonal during fiscal years 2011 and 2010. The table below shows quarterly information for each of the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Quarter	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter	Total
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)					
Fiscal 2011⁽¹⁾					
Net sales	\$ 56,978	\$ 57,951	\$ 64,188	\$ 68,798	\$ 247,915
Gross profit (loss)	10,354	8,792	6,519	(2,788)	22,877
Net income (loss)	5,002	3,242	701	(10,258)	(1,313)
Earnings (loss) per share (diluted) ⁽²⁾⁽⁶⁾	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.58)	\$ (0.07)
Fiscal 2010⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾					
Net sales	\$ 50,249	\$ 48,094	\$ 49,269	\$ 54,359	\$ 201,971
Gross profit (loss)	9,837	8,510	4,967	7,230	30,544
Net income (loss)	3,738	4,778	(2,254)	2,476	8,738
Earnings (loss) per share (diluted) ⁽²⁾⁽⁶⁾	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.28	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.51

(1) Net income for the first and second quarter of fiscal 2011 includes losses of \$289 and \$33, respectively, related to the disposition of certain machinery and equipment.

(2) We adopted ASC 260 10 Earnings Per Share (formerly FSP-EITF 03-6-1) – *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* effective July 1, 2009. The impacts for the non-vested restricted shares, which constitute a separate class of stock for accounting purposes, did not have a material impact and we did not apply the two class method in fiscal 2010. In conjunction with the declaration of the dividend in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we reassessed our earnings per share calculation policy and determined to present the two-class method prospectively. Amounts allocated to participating securities for fiscal 2010 were immaterial.

(3) Net income for the first quarter of fiscal 2010 includes a \$200 gain on the sale of certain flour mill assets and transport equipment.

(4) Net income for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 includes a \$3,047 charge related to the loss on joint venture formation and a \$500 gain on the sale of certain flour mill assets. The second quarter of fiscal 2010 also included an out-of period adjustment related to a reduction of accounts payable that increased pretax income by \$1,351. See ⁽⁵⁾ below related to the \$3,047 charge.

- (5) Net income for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010 includes a \$753 out-of-period adjustment related to a partial settlement and a curtailment of the other post-retirement plan which was a favorable impact to pretax income. Had this adjustment been recorded in the proper quarter, pretax income would have been favorably impacted by \$753 for the second quarter of fiscal 2010. This adjustment reduced the loss on joint venture formation recorded during the second quarter of fiscal 2010 from \$3,047 to \$2,294.
- (6) Earnings (loss) per share per quarter does not sum to total earnings (loss) per share due to rounding.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our principal uses of cash are for the cost of raw materials and energy used in our production processes, salaries, debt service obligations on our borrowings and capital expenditures. Our principal sources of cash are revenues from the products we make and our revolving credit facility.

On June 28, 2011, we financed a major portion of the new water cooling towers and related equipment being installed at our Atchison facility to U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc. for proceeds of \$7,335. The proceeds are included in cash as of June 30, 2011. We also entered into a lease with U.S. Bancorp for this same equipment and we will make monthly payments under the lease of approximately \$110 for 72 months. The proceeds of \$7,335 are treated as proceeds from issuance of long term debt. See “- Contractual Obligations” and Note 4. *Corporate Borrowings and Capital Lease Obligations* set forth in Item 8 further discussion of this arrangement.

Under agreements that we made in March 2011 with a third party logistics company, that contracts with the transportation companies, fees are billed to us semiannually, on January 1st and July 1st of each calendar year for the previous six months. We have five business days to pay in full these transportation fees. We paid \$4,792 for our first billing under this agreement on July 7, 2011.

On August 25, 2010 the Board of Directors approved a dividend of \$0.05 per common share. The dividend will be paid on October 13, 2011 to common stockholder of record on September 15, 2011.

On August 26, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a five (5) cent dividend per share of common stock, payable to holders of record on September 15, 2010. The \$891 dividend was paid October 6, 2010.

On August 25, 2009, we were required to make a deposit of approximately \$1,600 to our surety bond carrier. This deposit secured our obligations under surety bonds maintained to meet regulatory requirements for distillery operations. Funds for this deposit were borrowed under the terms of the Credit Agreement. Also in August 2009, we received \$325 as a deposit refund from a vendor.

As a result of losses incurred during fiscal years 2009, we received a tax refund of approximately \$5,500 during October 2009, which was applied to our \$11,614 note to CILCO. During January 2010 we received an additional tax refund of approximately \$4,700 resulting from changes in tax laws that enabled us to carry back losses to periods previously unavailable. For further information, see Note 5. *Income Taxes* set forth in Item 8.

We have budgeted \$5,935 in routine capital expenditures over the next twelve months related to other improvements in and replacements of existing plant and equipment and information technology. This amount does not include provision for the cost of relocating our Wheatex® production equipment if we are unable to renew the lease on our current production facility, which expires in August 2012. As of June 30, 2011, we had contracts to acquire capital assets of approximately \$549, of which \$170 relates to our water cooling system project.

We expect our sources of cash to be adequate to provide for budgeted capital expenditures and anticipated operating requirements; however we have not determined the possible costs of relocating the Wheatex® facility or means of financing such a move.

The following table is presented as a measure of our liquidity and financial condition as of June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	2011	2010
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,603	\$ 6,369
Working capital	22,381	25,142
Amounts available under lines of credit	20,342	20,174
Credit facility, notes payable and long-term debt	14,065	2,771
Stockholders' equity	75,198	72,784

Certain components of our liquidity and financial results for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 8,843	\$ 8,631	\$ 11,946
Capital expenditures	12,775	2,062	2,069
Cash flows from operations	3,139	32,667	3,158

CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Summary cash flow information follows for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively:

	2011	2010	2009
Cash flows provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$ 3,139	\$ 32,667	\$ 3,158
Investing activities	(12,775)	16,043	(1,325)
Financing activities	10,870	(42,519)	(1,655)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,234	6,191	178
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	6,369	178	-
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 7,603</u>	<u>\$ 6,369</u>	<u>\$ 178</u>

During the year ended June 30, 2011, our consolidated cash increased \$1,234 compared to an increase of \$6,191 for the year ended June 30, 2010. Operating cash flow deteriorated compared to the year ended June 30, 2010 as a result of a \$10,051 decrease in earnings from net income of \$8,738 for the year ended June 30, 2010 to a net loss of \$1,313 for the year ended June 30, 2011 as well as a net increase in our operating assets and liabilities (excluding cash) of \$7,417. Cash outflows related to capital expenditures during the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to the year ended June 30, 2010 increased from \$2,062 to \$12,775, while proceeds from the disposition of property and proceeds from the sale of an interest in ICP both decreased. During the year ended June 30, 2011, borrowings on debt exceeded payments on debt by \$11,294, as compared to the year ended June 30, 2010 in which payments on debt exceeded borrowings by \$42,485.

During the year ended June 30, 2010, our consolidated cash increased \$6,191 compared to an increase of \$178 during the year ended June 30, 2009. Operating cash flow improved over the year ended June 30, 2009 primarily as a result of a \$77,861 increase in earnings from a \$69,123 net loss for the year ended June 30, 2009 to net income of \$8,738 for the year ended June 30, 2010. This increase was offset by smaller reductions in accounts receivable and inventory for the year ended June 30, 2010 compared to the year ended June 30, 2009. Investing cash flows improved over the year ended June 30, 2009 primarily related to the sale of 50 percent of the membership interest in ICP. Payments on our long-term debt and our line of credit resulted in a use of cash.

Operating Cash Flows. Summary operating cash flow information for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, is as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,313)	\$ 8,738	\$ (69,123)
Depreciation and amortization	8,843	8,631	11,946
Loss (gain) on sale of assets	322	(1,731)	(285)
Share based compensation	1,164	491	14
Loss on joint venture formation	-	2,294	-
Loss on impairment of assets	-	-	10,282
Deferred income taxes	-	-	(7,217)
Equity in loss of joint ventures	1,540	2,173	114
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash	(57)	(768)	(200)
Receivables, net	(10,170)	729	15,684
Inventory	(301)	2,766	42,456
Prepaid expenses	316	(537)	(1,130)
Accounts payable	5,907	1,439	(3,063)
Accounts payable to affiliate, net	1,215	4,951	-
Accrued expenses	(3,111)	1,871	(694)
Deferred credit	(881)	(811)	(846)
Refundable income taxes	53	5,467	2,525
Accrued retirement health and life insurance benefits and other noncurrent liabilities	(659)	(3,277)	4,968
Gains previously deferred in other comprehensive income	-	-	(2,149)
Other	271	241	(124)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 3,139	\$ 32,667	\$ 3,158

Cash flow from operations for the year ended June 30, 2011, decreased \$29,528 to \$3,139 from \$32,667 for the year ended June 30, 2010, and was impacted by reduced earnings and the timing of cash receipts and disbursements. This decrease in operating cash flow was primarily the result of a decrease in earnings, increases in receivables and inventory, a decrease in accrued expenses, and smaller year-over-year decrease in accounts payable to affiliates. Earnings decreased by \$10,051 from \$8,738 of net income for the year ended June 30, 2010 to a net loss of \$1,313 for the year ended June 30, 2011. The increases in receivables and inventory relate to higher sales and costs of production for June 2011 compared to June 2010, whereas the decrease in accrued expenses and the smaller year-over-year decrease in accounts payable to affiliates relate primarily to timing of payments. Receivables increased \$10,170 for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to a decrease of \$729 for the year ended June 30, 2010. Inventory increased \$301 for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to a decrease of \$2,766 for the year ended June 30, 2010, which is consistent with our volume of raw materials for corn and flour. Accrued expenses decreased \$3,111 for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to an increase of \$1,871 for year ended June 30, 2010. Accounts payable to affiliates increased \$1,215 for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to an increase of \$4,951 for the year ended June 30, 2010.

These factors, which served to decrease operating cash flow, were partially offset by the following:

- For the year ended June 30, 2011, an increase in accounts payable generated \$5,907 of positive cash flows compared to \$1,439 for the year ended June 30, 2010;
- For the year ended June 30, 2011, an increase in accrued retiree benefits used \$659 of operating cash flows compared to a use of \$3,277 for the year ended June 30, 2010.

Cash flow from operations for the year ended June 30, 2010 increased \$29,509 to \$32,667 from \$3,158 for the year ended June 30, 2009. This increase in operating cash flow was primarily the result of a \$77,861 increase in earnings, from a \$69,123 net loss for the year ended June 30, 2009 to net income of \$8,738 for the year ended June 30, 2010. Deferred income taxes had a \$0 non-cash impact on net income for the year ended June 30, 2010 compared to a \$7,217 non-cash reduction to net loss for the year ended June 30, 2009. Other factors resulting in an increase in operating cash flows was a \$12,018 combined increase in accounts payables, accounts payable to affiliate, net and accrued expenses to \$8,261 for the year ended June 30, 2010 compared to (\$3,757) for the year ended June 30, 2009. The increase in accounts payable resulted from our return to normal credit terms with suppliers as a result of our improved financial condition. The increase in accounts payable to affiliate, net results from our operations with ICP. The increase in accrued expenses is primarily the result of an increase in accrued bonuses.

These factors, which served to improve operating cash flow, were partially offset by the following:

- For the year ended June 30, 2010, inventory reductions generated positive operating cash flow of \$2,766 compared to \$42,456 for the year ended June 30, 2009 when we reduced a significant inventory buildup from the prior year;
- For the year ended June 30, 2010, accounts receivable declined relatively less, generating positive operating flow of \$729 compared to \$15,684 for the year ended June 30, 2009;
- For the year ended June 30, 2010, accrued retiree benefits and other non-current liabilities decreased, resulting in a use of cash of \$3,277 compared to the year ended June 30, 2009, which generated positive operating cash flow of \$4,968; and
- An adjustment to net loss for the year ended June 30, 2009 for a non-cash impairment charge of \$10,282.

Investing Cash Flows. Net investing cash outflow for the year ended June 30, 2011 was \$12,775 compared to cash provided by investing activities of \$16,043 for the year ended June 30, 2010. During the year ended June 30, 2011, we made capital investments of \$12,775. During the year ended June 30, 2010, we made capital investments of \$2,062 and had proceeds from the sale of an interest in ICP of \$13,951, net of closing costs, as well as proceeds from the sale of property of \$5,367, net of closing costs.

Net investing cash flow for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$16,043 compared to a cash outflow of \$1,325 for the year ended June 30, 2009 for a net increase of \$17,368 in investing cash flows. For the year ended June 30, 2010, we received net proceeds of \$13,951 related to the sale of a 50 percent membership interest in ICP. Proceeds from the disposition of property and equipment for the year ended June 30, 2010 increased \$4,623 to \$5,367 from \$744 for the year ended June 30, 2009. These increases were partially offset by a \$1,213 investment in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries for the year ended June 30, 2010.

Financing Cash Flows. Net financing cash flow for the year ended June 30, 2011 was \$10,870 compared to a cash outflow of \$42,519 for the year ended June 30, 2010, for a net increase in financing cash flow of \$53,564. This increase in cash flow was primarily the result of the following:

- Net borrowings of \$4,658 under our operating line of credit for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to net payments of \$18,138 for the year ended June 30, 2010.
- Net borrowings on long-term debt of \$6,636 for the year ended June 30, 2011 compared to net payments of \$24,347 for the year ended June 30, 2010. On June 28, 2011 we entered into a capital lease for the water cooling towers and related equipment with proceeds of \$7,335.

Net financing cash outflow for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$42,519 compared to net financing cash outflow of \$1,655 for the year ended June 30, 2009 for a net increase in cash outflow of \$40,864. This increase in cash outflow was primarily the result of the following:

- Net payments on the line of credit of \$18,138 for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to net payments of \$5,167 for the year ended June 30, 2009.

- Proceeds from long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$5,318 to \$2,032 from \$7,350 for the year ended June 30, 2009.
- Principal payments on long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2010 increased \$22,603 to \$26,379 from \$3,776 for the year ended June 30, 2009.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

For the year ended June 30, 2011, we made capital investments of approximately \$14,581, of which \$12,775 was a use of cash and \$1,806 remained payable at June 30, 2011. The primary investments were the flour mill site, the SAP computer system, and the water cooling system project as further described below. For the year ended June 30, 2010, we incurred \$2,062 in capital expenditures, primarily related to production and capacity upgrades. For the year ended June 30, 2009, we incurred \$2,069 in capital expenditures, primarily related to production and capacity upgrades. We also made improvements to our information technology property and data center in both years.

On October 20, 2010 our Board of Directors approved a project to upgrade our protein and starch plant infrastructure. The upgrades primarily involved interior and exterior renovations to the facility, as well as the redesign of certain protein and starch processing equipment, at a cost of approximately \$2,500. The upgrades will allow us to maintain high quality standards and increase our production efficiency. The project began in October 2010 and was completed in the latter half of fiscal 2011.

In addition, in fiscal 2011 we began work on a major capital project designed to provide environmental benefits at our Atchison, Kansas distillery while also enhancing alcohol production capabilities. The project involved the installation of a new, state-of-the art water cooling system to replace older equipment used to supply water for multiple components of the distillation process. It was substantially completed as of June 30, 2011 and completed during July 2011 at a cost of approximately \$9,356.

We are limited to annual capital expenditures of \$8,000 by the revolving credit facility, but this excludes capital expenditures made for the replacement and or upgrade of our existing water cooling facility.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Our contractual obligations at June 30, 2011 are as follows:

	2012	2013	12-month period ending June 30, *			Thereafter	Total
			2014	2015	2016		
Long term debt (1)	\$ 283	\$ 305	\$ 327	\$ 352	\$ 249	\$ -	\$ 1,516
Capital leases (2)	1,422	1,384	1,266	1,228	1,261	1,330	7,891
Operating leases	2,571	2,035	1,165	470	470	156	6,867
Post-retirement benefits	698	643	556	474	479	3,147	5,997
Defined benefit retirement plan	154	131	208	232	186	1,342	2,253
Open purchase commitments (3)	7,884	141	-	-	-	-	8,025
Total	\$ 13,012	\$ 4,639	\$ 3,522	\$ 2,756	\$ 2,645	\$ 5,975	\$ 32,549

*As described in *Note 20. Subsequent Events*, the Company has changed its year end to December 31. The change will be effective at the start of calendar 2012. The above obligations are shown for the 12-month periods ending June 30.

(1) Long term debt at June 30, 2011 included the following:

- (a) Union State Bank – Bank of Atchison promissory note dated July 20, 2009 in the initial principal amount of \$2,000 secured by a mortgage and security interest on our Atchison plant and related equipment. The note bears interest at 6 percent over the three year treasury index, adjustable quarterly, and is payable in 84 monthly installments of \$32, with any balance due on the final installment. At June 30, 2011, \$1,516 was outstanding under the note.
- (b) On July 21, 2009, we entered a new revolving Credit and Security Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. The Credit and Security Agreement has been amended by consents dated August 19, 2009, December 21, 2009, December 31, 2009 and February 2, 2010 as well as by a First Amendment (“First Amendment”) dated June 30, 2010 and a Second Amendment “Second Amendment” dated January 20, 2011 (as so amended, the “Credit Agreement”). The Credit Agreement, which matures in July 2012, generally provides for a Maximum Line of Credit of \$25,000, subject to borrowing base limitations and availability maintenance requirements. At June 30, 2011, our outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement were \$4,658. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest, payable monthly, at a variable rate equal to Daily Three Month LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.75% to 3%, based on our Debt Coverage Ratio. During a default period, the interest rate may be increased to the Daily Three Month LIBOR plus 6 percent at the lender’s discretion. The Credit Agreement provides for minimum interest of \$146 in fiscal 2011 and \$75 annually thereafter, an unused line fee of .25 percent per annum (which will apply against minimum interest charges) and origination fees, letter of credit fees and other administrative fees. If we terminate the facility prior to the maturity date or the lender terminates during a default period, there is a prepayment fee of 3 percent if the termination occurs prior to the first anniversary date, declining to 1 percent if the termination occurs after the second anniversary of the initial funding. The Credit Agreement is secured by a security interest in substantially all of our personal property and by mortgages or leasehold mortgages on our facilities in Atchison and Onaga. The lender may terminate or accelerate our obligations under the Credit Agreement upon the occurrence of various events in addition to payment defaults and other breaches, including such matters as over advances arising from reductions in the borrowing base, certain changes in the Board, failure to pay taxes when due, defaults under other material debt, lease or other contracts and our CEO ceasing to be actively engaged in the Company’s day to day business activities and the Company shall fail to hire a successor acceptable to the lender in 90 days.

Pursuant to the Second Amendment, among other matters Well Fargo agreed to amend the Credit Agreement in several material respects, as described elsewhere, and summarized as follows:

- the floating rate of interest applicable to outstanding borrowings was reduced from daily three month LIBOR plus 5% to daily three month LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.75% to 3%, based on the our Debt Coverage Ratio; as a result, the maximum default rate has been reduced from daily three month LIBOR plus 8.5% to daily three month LIBOR plus 6%;
- minimum interest charges were reduced from \$650 in fiscal 2011 and \$500 annually thereafter to \$146 in fiscal year 2011 and \$75 thereafter; unused line fees, which are reduced to 0.25% per annum, will apply against minimum interest charges;
- the amount of capital expenditures which we may incur without bank consent was increased from \$4,500 to \$8,000 annually (this limitation does not apply to expenditures for the previously announced improvements to our water cooling facilities);

- a new provision was added requiring the us to maintain average availability under the Credit Agreement of not less than \$5,000, measured over the then trailing 30-day period as further described in “- *Line of Credit*” below;
- the minimum debt service coverage ratio that the we are required to maintain has been increased from 1.15 to 1 as of the end of each fiscal year to 1.25 to 1 as of the end of each fiscal quarter from July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011, and thereafter on a trailing 12 month basis; the method of calculating debt service coverage ratio is further described in “- *Financial Covenants*” below;
- a new stop loss provision has been added to replace the former minimum net income requirement; this stop loss provision restricts the amount of net loss which we may incur to \$2,000 in any one month and \$4,000 in any consecutive three month period, each commencing November 2010; for this purpose, “net loss” includes extraordinary losses but excludes extraordinary gains, unrealized gains and losses from hedging activities and non cash income or losses from joint ventures and is also described in “-*Financial Covenants*” below;
- the provision restricting dividends has been modified so that in order to pay dividends, we must have paid all accounts payable that remain unpaid more than thirty days after the due date instead of the invoice date;
- new provisions permit us to make investments and acquisitions of \$1,000 (\$5,000 in the aggregate) without bank consent, subject to the Company having availability under the Credit Agreement of \$10,000 after giving effect to the investment; and
- time frames for providing the bank with certain reports have been relaxed.

Capital lease obligations at June 30, 2011 include the following:

- (a) In connection with improvements made to the Company’s data center, \$1,200 in costs incurred during development of the system have been funded by Winthrop Resources Corporation and CSI Leasing, Inc. under various capital lease agreements with rates ranging from 0.61 percent to 7.91 percent. These agreements, which are unsecured, have maturities ranging from July, 2010 to October, 2013.
- (b) We financed \$71 in equipment purchases through a capital lease with Delage Corporation at 6.89 percent. This capital lease is secured by the equipment purchased and matures in October, 2011.
- (c) On June 28, 2011, we sold a major portion of the new process water cooling towers and related equipment being installed at our Atchison facility to U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc. for approximately \$7,335 and leased them from U.S Bancorp pursuant to a Master Lease Agreement and related Schedule. Monthly rentals under the lease are \$110 (plus applicable sales/use taxes, if any) and continue for 72 months, with interest at a rate of 2.61%. We may purchase the leased property after 60 months for approximately \$1,328 and at the end of the term for fair market value. Under the terms of the Master Lease, we are responsible for property taxes and assume responsibility for insuring and all risk of loss or damage to the property. Given this continuing involvement, we have treated this as a financing transaction. The lessor may, at its option, extend the lease for specified periods after the end of the term if we fail to exercise our purchase option.

Obligations under the Master Lease may be accelerated if an event of default occurs and continues for 10 days. In addition to payment defaults and breaches of representations and covenants, events of default include defaults under any other agreement with lessor or payment default under any obligation. In such event, among other matters, lessor may cancel the Master Lease, take possession of the property and seek to recover the present value of future rentals, the residual value of the property and the value of lost tax benefits.

Lenders having liens on the Atchison facility, including its revolving credit lender, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, entered into mortgagee's waivers with respect to the leased property.

(3) Purchase Commitments at June 30, 2011 included the following:

- (a) Commitments (\$1,070) to purchase corn to be used in our operations during the first four weeks of July 2011.
- (b) Commitment (\$6,406) to purchase natural gas through July 2012.
- (c) Commitments (\$549) related to capital expenditures, of which \$170 relates to the water cooling system project.

LINE OF CREDIT

Reference is made to *Note 4. Corporate Borrowings and Capital Lease Obligations* and above for information on our Credit Agreement. On January 20, 2011 we entered a Second Amendment ("Second Amendment") to the Credit and Security Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank National Association (as amended, the "Credit Agreement"). The Second Amendment affected various provisions of our Credit Agreement, including those related to interest, minimum interest, amount of borrowings that we can make and covenants that we must meet.

The amount of borrowings which we may make is subject to borrowing base and availability maintenance limitations. As of June 30, 2011, after giving effect to the Second Amendment, our outstanding borrowings under this facility were \$4,658, leaving \$20,342 available for additional borrowings (before giving effect to the \$5,000 availability maintenance requirements described in "Financial Covenants" below, which result in \$15,342 available for additional borrowings). The borrowing base is the lesser of the maximum line amount or an amount based on specified percentages of eligible accounts receivable and inventories less specified reserves. The lender has discretion under the Credit Agreement to change the manner in which the borrowing base is determined, such as altering the advance rates applicable to accounts receivable and inventory or changing reserve amounts.

FINANCIAL COVENANTS

Under the Credit Agreement, we must meet a stop loss provision, which restricts to \$2,000 the amount of net loss we may incur in any one month and to \$4,000 in any consecutive three month period (for this purpose, "net loss" means after-tax net loss from continuing operations including extraordinary losses but excluding extraordinary gains, unrealized gains and losses from hedging activities and non cash income or losses from joint ventures). We must maintain an average availability of not less than \$5,000 (measured over the then trailing 30-day period), are limited in the amount of capital expenditures we may make annually to \$8,000 (excluding capital expenditures made for the replacement and or upgrade of the Company's existing water cooling facility), and must meet as of fiscal year end a minimum debt service coverage ratio of not less than 1.25 to 1.0. The ratio is calculated as:

(a) the sum of:

- (i) funds from operations (net income plus depreciation and amortization, plus or minus increases or decreases in deferred income taxes and LIFO reserves, plus other non-cash items)
- (ii) plus interest expense
- (iii) minus non-cash income from investments in our joint ventures
- (iv) plus non-cash losses from investments in our joint ventures
- (v) minus unfinanced capital expenditures
- (vi) minus dividends and distributions paid by us during the current test period
- (vii) minus cash contributions into joint ventures by us during the current test period

divided by

(b) the sum of:

- (i) current maturities of long term debt and
- (ii) interest expense.

The Credit Agreement also includes provisions that limit or restrict our ability to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- pay dividends to stockholders or purchase stock;
- make investments or acquisitions in excess of \$1,000 (\$5,000 in aggregate)
- dispose of assets;
- make capital expenditures;
- create liens on our assets; or merge or consolidate; and
- increase certain salaries and bonuses.

We were in compliance with the covenants in the Credit Agreement at June 30, 2011.

The lender has significant lending discretion under the Credit Agreement; it may modify our borrowing base and various components thereof in its reasonable discretion, thereby affecting the amount of credit available to us. The lender may terminate or accelerate our obligations under the Credit Agreement upon the occurrence of various events in addition to payment defaults and other breaches, including such matters as over advances arising from reductions in the borrowing base, certain changes in the Board, failure to pay taxes when due, defaults under other material debt, lease or other contracts and our CEO ceasing to be actively engaged in our day to day business activities if we fail to hire a successor acceptable to the lender within 90 days.

OFF BALANCE SHEET OBLIGATIONS

Arrangement with Cargill. We have entered a business alliance with Cargill, Incorporated for the production and marketing of a new resistant starch derived from high amylose corn. We sold only an insignificant amount of the product, and the agreement with Cargill does not appear to be significant at this time. If we terminate the arrangement before the expiration of 18 months following certain force majeure events affecting Cargill, or if Cargill terminates the arrangement because of a breach by us of our obligations, we will be required to pay a portion (up to 50 percent) of the book value of capital expenditures made by Cargill to enable it to produce the product. This amount will not exceed \$2,500 without our consent. Upon the occurrence of any such event, we also will be required to give Cargill a non-exclusive sublicense to use the patented process for the life of the patent in the production of high amylose corn-based starches for use in food products. The sublicense would be royalty bearing, provided we were not also then making the high amylose corn-based starch.

Corn Supply Contract. We purchase our corn requirements through a single elevator company. If we fail to purchase at least 13 million bushels each 12 months, we must pay the elevator company \$0.03 per bushel for each bushel less than 13 million purchased. The elevator company may terminate if we fail to purchase the specified minimums, in which case we would be obligated to pay the elevator company \$260 plus the costs incurred by the elevator company in contracting with a different customer for the delivery of corn purchased for us pursuant to our previously issued delivery orders. Our practice has been to only order corn for a month at a time. We are on pace to exceed the minimum requirement.

Industrial Revenue Bond. On December 28, 2006, we engaged in an industrial revenue bond transaction with the City of Atchison, Kansas in order to receive ten-year real property tax abatement on our newly constructed office building and technical center in Atchison, Kansas. At the time of this transaction, the facilities were substantially completed and had been financed with internally generated cash flow. We recorded the office building and technical center assets into property and equipment on the consolidated balance sheets. Pursuant to this transaction, the City issued \$7,000 principal amount of its industrial revenue bonds to us and then used the proceeds to purchase the office building and technical center from us. The City then leased the facilities back to us under a capital lease, the terms of which provide for the payment of basic rent in an amount sufficient to pay principal and interest on the bonds. Our obligation to pay rent under the lease is in the same amount and due on the same date as the City's obligation to pay debt service on the bonds which we hold. The lease permits us to present the bonds at any time for cancellation, upon which our obligation to pay basic rent would be cancelled. We do not intend to do this until their maturity date in 2016, at which time we may elect to purchase the facilities for \$100. Because we own all outstanding bonds, management considers the debt de-facto cancelled and, accordingly, no amount for our obligations under the capital lease is reflected on our balance sheet. In connection with this transaction, we agreed to pay the city an administrative fee of \$50, which is payable over 10 years. If we were to present the bonds for cancellation prior to maturity, the \$50 fee would be accelerated.

Indemnification Arrangement with ICP and ICP Holdings. Our Contribution Agreement with ICP and the LLC Interest Purchase Agreement with ICP Holdings require us to indemnify ICP and ICP Holdings from and against any damages or liabilities arising from a breach of our representations and warranties in the Contribution Agreement and the LLC Interest Purchase Agreement and also with respect to certain environmental damages or liabilities related to the recommencement of production at the Pekin plant or to operations at the Pekin plant prior to the closing of the LLC Interest Purchase Agreement. The amount of damages, with the exception of taxes and environmental matters, is limited to a maximum of \$30,000.

ICP Steam Facility. On January 29, 2010, ICP acquired for \$5,000 the existing steam facility that services the Pekin plant. Based on ICP's working capital position, it was determined that ICP would fund a portion of this commitment. On January 19, 2011 ICP funded \$1,000 of the purchase price. The Company and ICP Holdings each remain committed to fund the remaining balance of \$2,000 over the next year.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

For information with respect to recent accounting pronouncements and the impact of these pronouncements on our consolidated financial statements, see *Note 18. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements* set forth in Item 8.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Commodity Costs. We make our products primarily from flour and corn and, as such, are subject to market risk due to changes in commodity prices. We utilize long-term contracts with suppliers as well as derivative instruments, which we mark to market, to mitigate some of these risks. Our derivative instruments include a combination of forward purchases and exchange traded commodity futures and options contracts. We trade on the Kansas City and Chicago Boards of Trade and the New York Mercantile Board of Exchange. Historically, we have included the fair values of open contracts in inventories.

At June 30, 2011, we had open net derivative contracts to purchase 3,850,000 bushels of corn through March 2012, at a weighted average price trade price of \$5.98. At June 30, 2010, we had open net derivative contracts to purchase 1,500,000 bushels of corn at a weighted average trade price of \$3.53.

Our commodity price hedging instruments generally relate to contracted firm-priced business. Based on our overall commodity hedge exposure at June 30, 2011, a hypothetical 10 percent decline in market prices applied to the fair value of the instruments would result in an increase to cost of sales of approximately \$2,300. It should be noted that any change in the fair value of the contracts, real or hypothetical, would be substantially offset by an inverse change in the value of the underlying hedged item.

See *Note 15. Derivative Instruments and Fair Value Measurements* set forth in Item 8 for further discussion on the volatility of corn futures as of June 30, 2011.

Interest Rate Exposures. Our loan from Union State Bank – Bank of Atchison bears interest at 6% over the three year treasury index, adjusted quarterly. Our Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, as amended January 20, 2011, provides for interest at a variable rate equal to daily three month LIBOR plus applicable margin ranging from 1.75% to 3.0% (2.0% at June 30, 2011); the default rate is the daily three month LIBOR plus 6%, in the lender's discretion. Increases in market interest rates would cause interest expense to increase and earnings before income taxes to decrease. The change in interest expense and earnings before income taxes would be dependent upon the weighted average outstanding borrowings during the reporting period following an increase in market interest rates. Based on weighted average outstanding borrowings at June 30, 2011, a 100 basis point increase over the non-default rates actually in effect at such date would increase our interest expense on an annualized basis by \$32.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of MGP Ingredients, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, our internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

With the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework and criteria established in "Internal Control - Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As a result of this assessment, management has concluded that the company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010 was effective.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

MGP Ingredients, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of MGP Ingredients, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2011. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the accompanying financial statement schedule, Schedule II – Consolidated Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for each of the fiscal years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2011. We also have audited MGP Ingredients, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011, based on *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). MGP Ingredients, Inc.'s management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assertion of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Controls over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule, and an opinion on MGP Ingredients, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention, or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MGP Ingredients, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the accompanying financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial information set forth therein. Also in our opinion, MGP Ingredients, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Kansas City, Missouri
September 2, 2011

MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
Fiscal Years Ended

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)		
Net sales	\$ 247,915	\$ 201,971	\$ 291,812
Cost of sales (a)	225,038	171,427	325,914
Gross profit (loss)	22,877	30,544	(34,102)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	21,157	20,708	21,401
Other operating costs	504	2,018	4,694
Impairment of long-lived assets	-	-	10,282
Severance and early retirement costs	-	-	3,288
Loss (gain) on sale of assets	322	(1,731)	-
Loss on joint venture formation	-	2,294	-
Other restructuring costs	249	-	5,241
Income (loss) from operations	645	7,255	(79,008)
Other income, net	8	645	112
Interest expense	(358)	(1,757)	(2,901)
Equity in loss of joint ventures	(1,540)	(2,173)	(114)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(1,245)	3,970	(81,911)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	68	(4,768)	(12,788)
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,313)	\$ 8,738	\$ (69,123)
Per Share Data			
Total basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.52	\$ (4.17)
Total diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.51	\$ (4.17)
Dividends per common share	\$ 0.05	\$ -	\$ -

(a) Includes related party purchases of \$57,482, \$17,342 and \$0 for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
	(Dollars in thousands)	
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,603	\$ 6,369
Restricted cash	1,028	971
Receivables (less allowance for doubtful accounts: June 30, 2011 - \$118 and June 30, 2010 - \$155)	27,844	17,674
Inventory	14,825	14,524
Prepaid expenses	1,201	1,517
Deposits	595	733
Deferred income taxes	3,740	6,267
Refundable income taxes	525	578
Total current assets	57,361	48,633
Property and equipment, at cost	165,365	165,599
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(102,115)	(107,994)
Property and equipment, net	63,250	57,605
Investment in joint ventures	12,575	14,266
Other assets	445	633
Total assets	\$ 133,631	\$ 121,137
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 1,705	\$ 689
Revolving credit facility	4,658	-
Accounts payable	18,052	10,341
Accounts payable to affiliate, net	6,166	4,951
Accrued expenses	4,399	7,510
Total current liabilities	34,980	23,491
Long-term debt, less current maturities	7,702	2,082
Deferred credit	4,498	5,379
Accrued retirement health and life insurance benefits	6,498	8,170
Other non current liabilities	1,015	2,964
Deferred income taxes	3,740	6,267
Total liabilities	58,433	48,353
Commitments and Contingencies – See Notes 7 and 14		
Stockholders' Equity		
Capital stock		
Preferred, 5% non-cumulative; \$10 par value; authorized 1,000 shares; issued and outstanding 437 shares	4	4
Common stock		
No par value; authorized 40,000,000 shares; issued 19,530,344 shares at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively; 17,905,767 and 17,519,614 shares outstanding at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively	6,715	6,715
Additional paid-in capital	7,473	7,606
Retained earnings	69,224	71,428
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(15)	(2,827)
Treasury stock, at cost		
Common; 2011 – 1,624,577 shares, 2010 – 2,010,730 shares	(8,203)	(10,142)
Total stockholders' equity	75,198	72,784
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 133,631	\$ 121,137

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
Fiscal Years Ended

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Cash Flows from Operating Activities			
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,313)	\$ 8,738	\$ (69,123)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	8,843	8,631	11,946
Loss (gain) on sale of assets	322	(1,731)	(285)
Share based compensation	1,164	491	14
Loss on joint venture formation	-	2,294	-
Loss on impairment of assets	-	-	10,282
Deferred income taxes	-	-	(7,217)
Equity in loss of joint ventures	1,540	2,173	114
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash	(57)	(768)	(200)
Receivables, net	(10,170)	729	15,684
Inventory	(301)	2,766	42,456
Prepaid expenses	316	(537)	(1,130)
Accounts payable	5,907	1,439	(3,063)
Accounts payable to affiliate, net	1,215	4,951	-
Accrued expenses	(3,111)	1,871	(694)
Deferred credit	(881)	(811)	(846)
Refundable income taxes	53	5,467	2,525
Accrued retirement health and life insurance benefits and other noncurrent liabilities	(659)	(3,277)	4,968
Gains previously deferred in other comprehensive income	-	-	(2,149)
Other	271	241	(124)
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,139	32,667	3,158
Cash Flows from Investing Activities			
Additions to property and equipment	(12,775)	(2,062)	(2,069)
Investments in/ advances to joint ventures	-	(1,213)	-
Proceeds from sale of interest in joint venture, net	-	13,951	-
Proceeds from disposition of property and equipment	-	5,367	744
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(12,775)	16,043	(1,325)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities			
Payment of dividends	(891)	-	-
Purchase of treasury stock	(33)	(26)	(34)
Proceeds from stock plans	48	-	12
Exercise of stock options	452	221	-
Loan fees incurred with borrowings	-	(229)	-
Tax effect of restricted stock awards	-	-	(40)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	7,335	2,032	7,350
Principal payments on long-term debt	(699)	(26,379)	(3,776)
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	317,179	214,305	156,980
Principal payments on revolving credit facility	(312,521)	(232,443)	(162,147)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	10,870	(42,519)	(1,655)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,234	6,191	178
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	6,369	178	-
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 7,603</u>	<u>\$ 6,369</u>	<u>\$ 178</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(Dollars in thousands)

	Capital Stock Preferred		Issued Common		Additional Paid-In Capital		Retained Earnings		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		Treasury Stock		Total
Balance, July 1, 2008	\$	4	\$	6,715	\$	9,838	\$	131,813	\$	1,515	\$	(13,011)	\$ 136,874
Comprehensive income (loss):													
Net loss							(69,123)						(69,123)
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income (loss)									(2,149)				(2,149)
Change in pension plans									(778)				(778)
Change in other post employment benefits									(872)				(872)
Translation adjustment on unconsolidated foreign subsidiary									(27)				(27)
Comprehensive income (loss)		-		-		-	(69,123)		(3,826)		-		(72,949)
Options exercised						(2)					12		10
Share-based compensation						16							16
Tax effect of share-based compensation						(38)							(38)
Stock plan shares issued from treasury, net of forfeitures							(2,936)				2,936		-
Stock shares repurchased											(29)		(29)
Balance, June 30, 2009	\$	4	\$	6,715	\$	6,878	\$	62,690	\$	(2,311)	\$	(10,092)	\$ 63,884
Comprehensive income:													
Net income							8,738						8,738
Change in pension plans									(291)				(291)
Change in other post employment benefits									(210)				(210)
Translation adjustment on unconsolidated foreign subsidiary									(15)				(15)
Comprehensive income		-		-		-	8,738		(516)		-		8,222
Options exercised						(58)					279		221
Share-based compensation						491							491
Stock plan shares issued from treasury, net of forfeitures							295				(303)		(8)
Stock shares repurchased											(26)		(26)
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$	4	\$	6,715	\$	7,606	\$	71,428	\$	(2,827)	\$	(10,142)	\$ 72,784
Comprehensive income:													
Net loss							(1,313)						(1,313)
Change in pension plans									1,257				1,257
Change in other post employment benefits									1,535				1,535
Translation adjustment on unconsolidated foreign subsidiary									20				20
Comprehensive income		-		-		-	(1,313)		2,812		-		1,499
Options exercised						53					622		675
Dividends paid							(891)						(891)
Share-based compensation						1,164							1,164
Stock plan shares issued from treasury, net of forfeitures							(1,350)				1,350		-
Stock shares repurchased											(33)		(33)
Balance, June 30, 2011	\$	4	\$	6,715	\$	7,473	\$	69,224	\$	(15)	\$	(8,203)	\$ 75,198

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009
(Dollars in thousands, unless otherwise noted)

NOTE 1: NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company. MGP Ingredients, Inc. ("MGPI" or the "Company") processes flour and corn into a variety of products through an integrated production process. The Company is a producer of certain distillery and ingredients products derived from grain and has three reportable segments: distillery products, ingredient solutions, and other. The distillery products segment consists of food grade alcohol, along with fuel grade alcohol, and distillers feed, which are co-products of our distillery operations. The ingredient solutions segment products primarily consist of specialty starches, specialty proteins, commodity starches and commodity vital wheat gluten. Mill by-products, consisting primarily of mill feeds or "midds," had also been included in this segment but were discontinued with the shutdown of our wheat flour milling operations at the Atchison, Kansas plant in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. The other segment products are comprised of plant-based biopolymers and wood-based composite resins manufactured through the further processing of certain of our proteins and starches and wood. Prior to the sale of its Kansas City facility in the first quarter of fiscal 2010, the other segment also included the production and packing of pet-related products, which principally included extruded plant-based resins and finished pet treats. For restructuring activity completed in fiscal 2009, see *Note 9. Restructuring Costs and Loss on Impairment of Assets*.

The Company sells its products on normal credit terms to customers in a variety of industries located primarily throughout the United States and Japan. The Company operates a plant in Atchison, Kansas and formerly operated a plant in Pekin, Illinois, which the Company temporarily closed during fiscal 2009. During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, through a series of transactions, the Company formed a new 50 percent joint venture by contributing its former Pekin, Illinois plant to a newly formed company, Illinois Corn Processing, LLC ("ICP"), and then sold a 50 percent interest in ICP. The Company purchases food grade alcohol products manufactured by ICP. The Company produces textured wheat proteins through a toll manufacturing arrangement at a facility in Kansas City, Kansas, which it operated prior to its sale in August 2009, and operates a facility in Onaga, Kansas for the production of plant-based biopolymers and wood composites.

Use of Estimates. The financial reporting policies of the Company conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The application of certain of these policies places significant demands on management's judgment, with financial reporting results relying on estimation about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain. For all of these policies, management cautions that future events rarely develop as forecast, and estimates routinely require adjustment and may require material adjustment.

Principles of Consolidation. The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of MGP Ingredients, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Short-term liquid investments with an initial maturity of 90 days or less are considered cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates market value due to the relatively short maturity of these instruments. At various points in time during the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, cash balances exceeded limits established by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Restricted Cash. The Company segregates certain interest bearing cash accounts in accordance with commodity exchange requirements. Restricted cash consists of interest bearing clearing accounts on deposit with and pledged to the Company's broker for exchange-traded commodity instruments.

Receivables. Receivables are stated at the amounts billed to customers. The Company provides an allowance for estimated doubtful accounts. This allowance is based upon a review of outstanding receivables, historical collection information and existing economic conditions. Accounts receivable are ordinarily due 30 days after the issuance of the invoice. Receivables are considered delinquent after 30 days past the due date. These delinquent receivables are monitored and are charged to the allowance for doubtful accounts based upon an evaluation of individual circumstances of the customer. Account balances are written off after collection efforts have been made and potential recovery is considered remote.

Inventory. Inventory includes finished goods, raw materials in the form of agricultural commodities used in the production process and certain maintenance and repair items. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market on the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method.

Derivative Instruments. The Company uses derivative financial instruments primarily to offset exposure to market risk in commodity prices, primarily for corn, which is a key component in the Company's operations. The Company recognizes all derivatives as either assets or liabilities at their fair values. Accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative depends on its designation and effectiveness. Derivatives qualify for treatment as hedges for accounting purposes when there is a high correlation between the change in fair value of the instrument and the related change in value of the underlying commitment. For derivatives that qualify as hedges for accounting purposes, the change in fair value has no net impact on earnings, to the extent the derivative is considered effective, until the hedged transaction affects earnings. For derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes, or for the ineffective portion of a hedging instrument, the change in fair value affects current period net earnings.

The Company holds and issues certain derivative instruments to primarily manage market risks associated with grain purchases including commodity futures and option contracts. While management believes that each of these instruments primarily are entered into in order to effectively manage various market risks, as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 none of the open derivative contracts were designated and accounted for as accounting hedges.

Effective July 1, 2011, management elected to restart hedge accounting for qualifying derivative contracts entered into after July 1, 2011. For all hedging relationships after July 1, 2011 the Company will be required to formally document the hedging relationship and its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge transactions, the hedging instrument, the hedged item, the nature of the risk hedged, the hedging instrument's effectiveness in offsetting the hedged risk and a description of the method to measure ineffectiveness. Management will also be required to formally assess, both at the hedge's inception and an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flow of hedged items. When it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or that it has ceased to be a highly effective as a hedge, the Company will discontinue hedge accounting prospectively. Management will assess whether the derivatives are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows. Changes in fair value of contracts that qualify as cash-flow hedges that are highly effective will be marked to fair value on the balance sheet with the offset recorded to "other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes". Gains and losses on commodity hedging contracts will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to the Statement of Operations when the finished goods produced using the hedged item are sold. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of a derivative instrument that qualifies as a cash-flow hedge is reported in earnings.

Properties, Depreciation and Amortization. Property and equipment are stated at cost. Additions, including those that increase the life or utility of an asset, are capitalized and all properties are depreciated over their estimated remaining useful lives. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings and improvements	20 – 30 years
Transportation equipment	5 – 6 years
Machinery and equipment	10 – 12 years

Maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. The cost of property and equipment sold, retired or otherwise disposed of as well as related accumulated depreciation and amortization is eliminated from the property accounts with related gains and losses reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company capitalizes interest costs associated with significant construction in progress, based on the weighted-average rates paid for long-term borrowing. Total interest incurred for fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009 is noted below:

Years ended,	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Interest costs charged to expense	\$ 358	\$ 1,757	\$ 2,901
Plus: Interest cost capitalized	160	13	91
Total	<u>\$ 518</u>	<u>\$ 1,770</u>	<u>\$ 2,992</u>

Investment in Joint Ventures. The Company applies the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 810 – *Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*, which include a qualitative approach to identifying a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity and determination of the primary beneficiary.

The Company accounts for its investment in non-consolidated subsidiaries under the equity method of accounting when the Company has significant influence, but does not have more than 50% voting control, and is not considered the primary beneficiary. Under the equity method of accounting, the Company reflects its investment in non-consolidated subsidiaries within the Company’s Consolidated Balance Sheets as “Investment in joint ventures”; the Company’s share of the earnings or losses of the non-consolidated subsidiaries are reflected as “Equity in earnings (loss) of joint ventures” in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Earnings (loss) per Share. Basic earnings per share data is determined by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year. Dilutive earnings per share is determined by including the dilutive effect of all potential common shares outstanding during the year.

The Company adopted ASC Topic 260 10 Earnings Per Share (formerly FSP-EITF 03-6-1) – *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* effective July 1, 2009. The impacts for the non-vested restricted shares, which constitute a separate class of stock for accounting purposes, did not have a material impact and the Company did not apply the two class method to fiscal 2010 and 2009. In conjunction with the declaration of the dividend in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company reassessed its earnings per share calculation policy and determined to present the two-class method. Amounts allocated to participating securities prior to fiscal 2011 were immaterial. See *Note 6. Equity* for earnings (loss) per share calculations.

Deferred Credit. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001, the United States Department of Agriculture developed a grant program for the gluten industry in place of a two-year extension of a wheat gluten import quota that took effect on June 1, 1998. Over the life of the program, which was administered by the Commodity Credit Corporation (“CCC”) and which ended on May 31, 2003, the Company was eligible to receive nearly \$26,000 of the program total of \$40,000. For the first year of the program, approximately \$17,300 was allocated to the Company, with the remaining \$8,300 allocated in July 2002. The funds were required to be used for research, marketing, promotional and capital costs related to value-added gluten and starch products. Funds allocated on the basis of current operating costs were recognized in income as those costs were incurred. Funds allocated based on capital expenditures are being recognized in income as the capital projects are depreciated. As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, deferred credit related to the USDA Grant was \$4,498 and \$5,379, respectively.

Income Taxes. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities resulting from the effects of transactions reported in different periods for financial reporting and income tax are recorded using the liability method of accounting for income taxes. This method gives consideration to the future tax consequences of the deferred income tax items and immediately recognizes changes in income tax laws upon enactment as well as applied income tax rates when facts and circumstances warrant such changes. A valuation allowance is established to reduce deferred income tax assets when it is more likely than not that a deferred income tax asset may not be realized. Additionally, the Company follows the provisions of FASB ASC 740, *Income Taxes*, related to the accounting for uncertainty in income tax positions, which requires management judgment and the use of estimates in determining whether the impact of a tax position is “more likely than not” of being sustained. The Company considers many factors when evaluating and estimating its tax positions, which may require periodic adjustment and which may not accurately anticipate actual outcomes. It is reasonably possible that amounts reserved for potential exposure could change significantly as a result of the conclusion of tax examinations and, accordingly, materially affect the Company’s operating results.

Revenue Recognition. Revenue from the sale of the Company’s products is recognized as products are delivered to customers according to shipping terms and title has transferred. Income from various government incentive grant programs is recognized as it is earned. Sales include customer paid freight costs billed to customers of \$12,540, \$11,772 and \$15,836 for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Advertising. Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. These costs totaled \$187, \$126 and \$224 for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Research and Development. Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. These costs totaled approximately \$1,431, \$918 and \$1,416 for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Long-Lived Assets and Loss on Impairment of Assets. Management reviews long-lived assets, mainly fixed assets, whenever events or circumstances indicate that usage may be limited and carrying values may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are determined to be impaired, the impairment is measured by the amount by which the asset carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. See *Note 9. Restructuring Costs and Loss on Impairment of Assets* for further discussion.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. The Company measures financial instruments in accordance with FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (“ASC 820”), for financial assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis. ASC 820 defines the fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The Company determines the fair values of its financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy established in ASC 820, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based upon the observability of inputs. Fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company’s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

FASB ASC 825, *Financial Instruments*, requires the disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments. The Company's short term financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and a revolving credit facility. The carrying value of the short term financial instruments approximates the fair value due to their short term nature. These financial instruments have no stated maturities or the financial instruments have short term maturities that approximate market.

The fair value of the Company's debt is estimated based on current market interest rates for debt with similar maturities and credit quality. The fair value of the Company's debt was \$9,550 and \$2,755 at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The financial statement carrying value was \$9,407 and \$2,771 at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Defined Benefit Retirement Plans. The Company accounts for its defined benefit plans in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 715 *Compensation – Retirement Benefits* ("ASC 715"). ASC 715 requires the Company to recognize in its statement of financial position either an asset or a liability for a defined benefit plan's funded status. The Company's liability is included in other non current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company measures the funded status of its defined benefit plans using actuarial techniques that reflect management's assumptions for discount rate, expected long-term investment returns on plan assets, salary increases, expected retirement, mortality, and employee turnover. Assumptions regarding employee and retiree life expectancy are based upon the RP 2000 Combined Mortality Table. The discount rate is determined based on the rates of return on long-term, high-quality fixed income investments using the Citigroup Pension Liability Index as of year end. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets assumption for the pension plans is determined with the assistance of actuaries, who calculate a yield considering the current asset allocation strategy, historical investment performance, and the expected future returns of each asset class and the expected future reinvestment of earnings and maturing investments.

Other Post-retirement Benefit Plan. The Company accounts for its post-retirement benefit plan in accordance with ASC Topic 715, which requires the Company to recognize in its statement of financial position either an asset or a liability for a postretirement plan's funded status. The Company's liability is included in Accrued Retirement Health and Life Insurance Benefits on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company measures the obligation for other post-retirement benefits using actuarial techniques that reflect management's assumptions for discount rate, salary increases, expected retirement, mortality, employee turnover and future increases in healthcare costs. Assumptions regarding employee and retiree life expectancy are based upon the RP 2000 Combined Mortality Table. The discount rate is determined based on the rates of return on long-term, high-quality fixed income investments using the Citigroup Pension Liability Index as of year end.

Stock Options and Restricted Stock Awards. The Company has share-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in *Note 8. Employee Benefit Plans* (primarily in the form of restricted stock and stock options). The Company accounts for share-based compensation using FASB ASC 718 *Compensation – Stock Compensation* ("ASC 718"). Under the provisions of ASC 718, the cost of Share-Based Payments is recognized over the service period based on the grant date fair value of the award. The grant date fair value for stock options is estimated using the Black - Scholes option-pricing model adjusted for the unique characteristics of the awards.

Out-of-period Adjustments.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2010, management performed a detailed analysis of the accounts payable balance. The analysis indicated certain transactions recorded in the prior fiscal year had been either duplicated or otherwise erroneously recorded. After analysis, the Company determined certain recorded amounts were not owed and adjusted the accounts payable balance in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 to correct the accounting records.

The impact of the correcting adjustment increased reported pretax income for the second quarter of fiscal 2010 by approximately \$1,351. Cost of sales was favorably impacted by \$733, and other income was improved by \$618 in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Management does not believe the impact of this out-of-period adjustment materially impacts the fair presentation of the Company's operating results or financial condition for the periods impacted.

Change in Presentation to Prior Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2011, we identified an immaterial error in our classification of restricted stock awards on the balance sheet. For further discussion, see *Note 1.* to the Company's *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - Accounting Policies and Basis of Presentation-Change in Presentation to Prior Consolidated Financial Statements* set forth at page 9 in Part I, Item 1 of the Company's December 31, 2011 Form 10-Q filed on February 9, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.

In addition, certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The consolidated financial statements reflect immaterial adjustments to the Company's June 30, 2010 consolidated balance sheet. These reclassification adjustments had no impact upon the Company's previously reported earnings. For the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010, the Company reclassified \$242 from other assets to property, plant, and equipment, net.

NOTE 2: OTHER BALANCE SHEET CAPTIONS

Inventory. Inventory consists of the following:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Raw materials	\$ 2,248	\$ 1,743
Finished goods	8,407	7,528
Work in process	1,626	535
Maintenance materials	3,120	2,944
Derivative instrument asset (liability)	(2,254)	14
Other	1,678	1,760
Total	<u>\$ 14,825</u>	<u>\$ 14,524</u>

Property and equipment. Property and equipment consist of the following:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Land, buildings and improvements	\$ 29,962	\$ 31,397
Transportation equipment	2,074	2,095
Machinery and equipment	117,346	129,141
Construction in progress	15,983	2,966
Property and equipment, at cost	165,365	165,599
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(102,115)	(107,994)
Property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 63,250</u>	<u>\$ 57,605</u>

Property and equipment includes construction in progress assets under a capital lease of \$7,335 and \$0 as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Property and equipment includes machinery and equipment assets under capital leases totaling \$1,040 and \$1,040 at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Accumulated depreciation for these assets totaled \$943 and \$798 at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Accrued expenses. Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Employee benefit plans (Note 8)	\$ 920	\$ 1,179
Salaries and wages	1,065	3,997
Restructuring charges – current portion	1,867	1,795
Property taxes	338	503
Other accrued expenses	209	36
Total	<u>\$ 4,399</u>	<u>\$ 7,510</u>

NOTE 3: INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURES

The Company's investments accounted for on the equity method of accounting consist of the following: (1) 50 percent interest in Illinois Corn Processing, LLC ("ICP"), which operates a distillery, and (2) 50 percent interest in D.M. Ingredients, GmbH, ("DMI"), which produces certain specialty starch and protein ingredients.

Formation of ICP Joint Venture

MGPI completed a series of related transactions on November 20, 2009 pursuant to which MGPI contributed its Pekin plant and certain maintenance and repair materials to a newly-formed company, ICP, and then sold 50 percent of the membership interest in ICP to Illinois Corn Processing Holdings, LLC ("ICP Holdings"), an affiliate of SEACOR Energy Inc., for proceeds of \$15,000, less closing costs of \$1,049. ICP reactivated distillery operations at the Pekin facility during the third quarter of fiscal 2010. MGPI purchases food grade alcohol products manufactured by ICP, and SEACOR Energy Inc. purchases fuel grade alcohol products manufactured by ICP.

In connection with these transactions, MGPI entered into various agreements with ICP and ICP Holdings, including a Contribution Agreement, an LLC Interest Purchase Agreement, a Limited Liability Company Agreement and a Marketing Agreement.

- Pursuant to the Contribution Agreement, MGPI contributed the Pekin plant to ICP at an agreed value of \$30,000, consisting of land and fixed assets valued at \$29,063 and materials and supply inventory valued at \$937.
- Under the LLC Interest Purchase Agreement, MGPI sold ICP Holdings 50 percent of the membership interest in ICP for a purchase price of \$15,000. This agreement gives ICP Holdings the option to purchase up to an additional 20 percent of the membership interest in ICP at any time between the second and fifth anniversary of the closing date for a price determined in accordance with the agreement.
- Pursuant to the Limited Liability Company Agreement, each joint venture party initially has 50 percent of the voting and equity interests in ICP. Control of day to day operations generally is retained by the members, acting by a majority in interest. However, if either MGPI or SEACOR Energy is in default under its marketing agreement, referred to below, the other party (or ICP Holdings, in the case of a default by the Company) may assume sole control of ICP's daily operations until the default is cured. If ICP defaults for two consecutive months on its obligation to pay principal or interest on its loan from SEACOR Energy's affiliate, ICP Holdings may assume control of ICP's daily operations until it has positive EBITDA and is current on principal and interest payments.

The Limited Liability Company Agreement also provides for the creation of an advisory board consisting of three advisors appointed by MGPI and three advisors appointed by ICP Holdings. If ICP Holdings exercises its purchase option described above, it will be entitled to appoint four advisors and MGPI will be entitled to appoint two.

The Limited Liability Company Agreement generally provides for distributions to members to the extent of net cash flow, as defined, to provide for taxes attributable to allocations to them of tax items from ICP. Any distributions of net cash flow in excess of taxes may be distributed at such time as the Board of Advisors determines.

The Limited Liability Company Agreement gives either member certain rights to shut down the plant if it operates at a loss. Such rights are conditional in certain instances but absolute if EBITDA losses aggregate \$1,500 over any three consecutive quarters or if ICP's net working capital is less than \$2,500. ICP Holdings also has the right to shut down the plant if ICP is in default under its loan agreement for failure to pay principal or interest for two months. Both partners have agreed to waive EBITDA losses through June 30, 2011.

The Limited Liability Company Agreement contains various buy/sell provisions and restrictions on transfer of membership interests. These include buy/sell provisions relating to a member's entire interest that may apply if the members are unable to agree on a material decision about ICP or that may be exercised by any member at any time after

November 20, 2010; another provision would entitle MGPI to a disproportionate distribution of the excess of the sales price over specified amounts if ICP is sold before November 20, 2012.

Under the Marketing Agreement, ICP manufactures and supplies food-grade and industrial-use alcohol products for MGPI and MGPI purchases, markets and sells such products for a marketing fee. The Marketing Agreement provides that MGPI will share margin realized from the sale of the products under the agreement with ICP.

The Marketing Agreement has an initial term of one year but automatically renews for one year terms thereafter, subject to specified exceptions, including the following: (i) there is an uncured breach by one of the parties, (ii) MGPI gives timely notice of termination, (iii) MGPI ceases to be a member of the joint venture, or (iv) the parties are unable to mutually agree to modifications to the Marketing Agreement that are proposed in good faith by one of the parties as necessary or desirable to further the purposes of the parties' respective expectations of economic benefits to be derived under the Marketing Agreement and their interests in ICP. For six months following expiration or termination of the Marketing Agreement, ICP will provide MGPI with reasonable assistance to transition production of the products it makes for the Company to another producer that MGPI designates. SEACOR Energy Inc. has entered into a similar agreement with ICP with respect to the marketing of fuel grade alcohol.

An affiliate (sister company) of SEACOR Energy, Inc. has provided funding to ICP through two loans secured by all of the assets of ICP, including the Pekin Plant. Among other matters, losses or working capital deficiencies that would entitle a member of ICP to shut down the plant are events of default under these loan agreements which, upon any requisite notice and/or lapse of time, would entitle the lender to exercise its remedies, including foreclosing on ICP's assets and, in the case of the working capital deficiency or successive losses, enforcing the plant closure provisions in the Limited Liability Company Agreement referred to above. The loans are non-recourse to MGPI. During fiscal year 2011, ICP experienced EBITDA losses in the quarters ended December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2011. An affiliate of SEACOR Energy, Inc. waived these EBITDA losses through June 30, 2011.

The LLC Agreement permits MGPI to pledge its interest in ICP to secure the Company's obligations under its credit facility with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and MGPI has done so as of November 20, 2009.

The Contribution Agreement and the LLC Interest Purchase Agreement require MGPI to indemnify ICP and ICP Holdings from and against any damages or liabilities arising from a breach of the Company's representations and warranties in the Contribution Agreement and the IPA and also with respect to certain environmental damages or liabilities related to the recommencement of production at the Pekin plant or to operations at the Pekin plant prior to the closing. The amount of damages, with the exception of taxes and environmental matters, is limited to a maximum of \$30,000.

MGPI recognized a pre-tax charge of \$2,294 related to the completion of these transactions that has been included in MGPI's Consolidated Statements of Operations as "Loss on joint venture formation". The charge consists of \$1,245 to adjust the book value of the Pekin plant balance sheet assets contributed to the joint venture to the implied value and \$1,049 for professional fees associated with the transaction.

The Company does not have the power to direct or control the activities of ICP that most significantly determine the economic performance of this investment. These responsibilities are shared equally with the Company's joint venture partner. In addition, Management has determined that MGPI does not have the power to direct the activities of ICP that most significantly impact ICP's economic

performance, or have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from ICP that could be significant to ICP, and accordingly MGPI should not consolidate ICP. The significant judgments and assumptions made by Management in reaching this conclusion include consideration of 1) the economics to MGPI and SEACOR Energy, Inc. related to the marketing agreements, 2) the buy-out provisions by MGPI and SEACOR Energy, Inc. and 3) the financing provided by SEACOR Energy, Inc.'s affiliate. The Company has not provided other financial explicit or implicit support to ICP during the year ended June 30, 2011 and does not intend to provide financial or other support at this time, other than as discussed below.

On January 29, 2010, ICP acquired the steam facility that services the Pekin plant for \$5,000. The Company and ICP Holdings each contributed \$1,000 and each committed to fund \$1,500 of the balance of the purchase price over the next three years. Based on ICP's working capital position, it was determined that ICP would fund a portion of this commitment. On January 19, 2011 ICP funded \$1,000 of the purchase price. The Company and ICP Holdings each remain committed to fund the remaining balance of \$2,000. The Company's portion of the remaining commitment plus the Company's investment balance at June 30, 2011 is the maximum exposure to losses. A reconciliation from the Company's investment in ICP to the entity's maximum exposure to loss is as follows:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
MGPI's investment balance in ICP	\$ 12,233	\$ 13,974
Plus:		
Funding commitment for capital improvements	1,000	1,500
MGPI's maximum exposure to loss related to ICP	<u>\$ 13,233</u>	<u>\$ 15,474</u>

Related Party Transactions

See Note 17. *Related Party Transaction* for discussion related to related party transactions with ICP.

Summary Financial Information

Condensed financial information of the Company's non-consolidated equity method investment in ICP is shown below. Fiscal 2010 operating results include ICP's results for the period from inception (November 21, 2009) to June 30, 2010.

	Year Ended June 30, 2011	Inception to June 30, 2010
<i>ICP's Operating results:</i>		
Net sales (a)	\$ 193,825	\$ 36,092
Cost of sales and expenses	191,861	(37,186)
Depreciation and amortization	(5,103)	(2,958)
Net loss	<u>\$ (3,139)</u>	<u>\$ (4,052)</u>
<i>ICP's Balance Sheet:</i>		
Current assets	\$ 30,729	\$ 20,567
Noncurrent assets	27,474	30,898
Total assets	<u>\$ 58,203</u>	<u>\$ 51,465</u>
Current liabilities	\$ 7,105	\$ 12,729
Noncurrent liabilities	25,602	10,788
Equity	25,496	27,948
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 58,203</u>	<u>\$ 51,465</u>

(a) Includes related party sales of \$57,482 and \$17,342 for the year ended June 30, 2011 and the period from inception to June 30, 2010, respectively.

The Company's equity in earnings (loss) of joint ventures is as follows:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
ICP (50% interest)	\$ (1,570)	\$ (2,026)	\$ n/a
DMI (50% interest)	30	(147)	(114)
	<u>\$ (1,540)</u>	<u>\$ (2,173)</u>	<u>\$ (114)</u>

The Company's investment in the unconsolidated subsidiary is as follows:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
ICP (50% interest)	\$ 12,233	\$ 13,974
DMI (50% interest)	342	292
	<u>\$ 12,575</u>	<u>\$ 14,266</u>

NOTE 4: CORPORATE BORROWINGS AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS

Indebtedness Outstanding. Debt consists of the following:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Credit Agreement	\$ 4,658	\$ -
Secured Promissory Note, 7.14% (variable interest rate), due monthly to July, 2016.	1,516	1,783
Water Cooling System Capital Lease Obligation, 2.61%, due monthly to May, 2017	7,335	-
Other Capital Lease Obligations, 0.61% - 7.91%, due monthly to October, 2013.	556	988
Total	14,065	2,771
Less credit agreement	(4,658)	-
Less current maturities of long term debt	(1,705)	(689)
Long-term debt	<u>\$ 7,702</u>	<u>\$ 2,082</u>

Credit Agreement. On July 21, 2009, the Company entered a new revolving Credit and Security Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. The Credit and Security Agreement has been amended by consents dated August 19, 2009, December 21, 2009, December 31, 2009 and February 2, 2010 as well as by a First Amendment ("First Amendment") dated June 30, 2010 and a Second Amendment "Second Amendment" dated January 20, 2011 (as so amended, the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement, which matures in July 2012, generally provides for a Maximum Line of Credit of \$25,000, subject to borrowing base limitations. As of June 30, 2011, after giving effect to the Second Amendment, outstanding borrowings under this facility were \$4,658, leaving \$20,342 available for additional borrowings (before giving effect to the \$5,000 availability maintenance requirements, which would result in a net of \$15,342 available for additional borrowings). Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest, payable

monthly, at a variable rate equal to Daily Three Month LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.75% to 3%, based on the Debt Coverage Ratio. During a default period, the interest rate may be increased to the Daily Three Month LIBOR plus 6 percent at the lender's discretion. The Credit Agreement provides for minimum interest of \$75 annually, an unused line fee of .25 percent per annum (which will apply against minimum interest charges) and origination fees, letter of credit fees and other administrative fees. If the Company terminates the facility prior to the maturity date or the lender terminates during a default period, there is a prepayment fee of 3 percent if the termination occurs prior to the first anniversary date, declining to 1 percent if the termination occurs after the second anniversary of the initial funding. The Credit Agreement is secured by a security interest in substantially all of the Company's personal property and by mortgages or leasehold mortgages on our facilities in Atchison and Onaga. The Credit Agreement also includes provisions that limit or restrict our ability to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- pay dividends to stockholders or purchase stock;
- make investments or acquisitions in excess of \$1,000 (\$5,000 in aggregate)
- dispose of assets;
- make capital expenditures;
- create liens on our assets; or merge or consolidate; and
- increase certain salaries and bonuses.

Under the Credit Agreement, the Company must meet a stop loss provision, which restricts to \$2,000 the amount of net loss that the Company may incur in any one month and to \$4,000 in any consecutive three month period (for this purpose, "net loss" includes extraordinary losses but excludes extraordinary gains, unrealized gains and losses from hedging activities and non cash income or losses from joint ventures). The Company's fourth quarter results included significant unrealized losses from hedging activities, as well as losses from joint venture, which when excluded, resulted in the Company meeting its stop loss provision.

Also under the Credit Agreement, the Company must maintain an average availability of not less than \$5,000 (measured over the then trailing 30-day period), is limited in the amount of capital expenditures it may make annually (\$8,000) (excluding capital expenditures made for the replacement and or upgrade of the Company's existing water cooling facility), and must meet as of fiscal year end a minimum debt service coverage ratio of not less than 1.25 to 1.0. The ratio is calculated as:

(a) the sum of:

- (i) funds from operations (net income plus depreciation and amortization, plus or minus increases or decreases in deferred income taxes and LIFO reserves, plus other non-cash items)
- (ii) plus interest expense
- (iii) minus non-cash income from investments in our joint ventures
- (iv) plus non-cash losses from investments in our joint ventures
- (v) minus unfinanced capital expenditures
- (vi) minus dividends and distributions paid by us during the current test period
- (vii) minus cash contributions into joint ventures by us during the current test period

divided by

(b) the sum of:

- (i) current maturities of long term debt and
- (ii) interest expense.

The lender has significant lending discretion under the Credit Agreement; it may modify the Company's borrowing base and various components thereof in its reasonable discretion, thereby affecting the amount of credit available to the Company. The lender may terminate or accelerate our obligations under the Credit Agreement upon the occurrence of various events in addition to payment defaults and other

breaches, including such matters as over advances arising from reductions in the borrowing base, certain changes in the Board, failure to pay taxes when due, defaults under other material debt, lease or other contracts and the Company's CEO ceasing to be actively engaged in our day to day business activities if the Company fails to hire a successor acceptable to the lender within 90 days. The Company was in compliance with the covenants in the Credit Agreement at June 30, 2011.

7.14% (variable interest rate) Secured Promissory Note, due monthly to July 2016. On July 20, 2009, Union State Bank – Bank of Atchison ("Bank of Atchison"), which previously had loaned the Company \$1,500, agreed to loan the Company an additional \$2,000. The note for this loan is secured by a mortgage and security interest on the Company's Atchison plant and equipment. The note bears interest at 6.00% over the three year treasury index, adjustable quarterly, and is payable in 84 monthly installments of \$32, with any balance due on the final installment. See Note 17 for further discussion on this related party transaction.

Leases

Capital Lease Obligations. Our capital lease obligations consist of a water cooling system capital lease obligation and other capital lease obligations as described below:

Water Cooling System Capital Lease Obligation. On June 28, 2011, the Company sold a major portion of the new process water cooling towers and related equipment being installed at its Atchison facility to U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc. for \$7,335 and leased them from U.S. Bancorp pursuant to a Master Lease Agreement and related Schedule. Monthly rentals under the lease are \$110 (plus applicable sales/use taxes, if any) and continue for 72 months with a rate of 2.61%. The Company may purchase the leased property after 60 months for approximately \$1,328 and at the end of the term for fair market value. Given this continuing involvement, the Company treated this as a financing transaction. The lessor may, at its option, extend the lease for specified periods after the end of the term if the Company fails to exercise its purchase option. Under the terms of the Master Lease, the Company is responsible for property taxes and assumes responsibility for insuring and all risk of loss or damage to the property.

Obligations under the Master Lease may be accelerated if an event of default occurs and continues for 10 days. In addition to payment defaults and breaches of representations and covenants, events of default include defaults under any other agreement with lessor or payment default under any obligation. In such event, among other matters, lessor may cancel the Master Lease, take possession of the property and seek to recover the present value of future rentals, the residual value of the property and the value of lost tax benefits.

Lenders having liens on the Atchison facility, including its revolving credit lender, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, entered into mortgagee's waivers with respect to the leased property. As described in *Note 2. Other Balance Sheet Captions*, this equipment is included in property, plant and equipment.

Other Capital Lease Obligations. These were entered in connection with implementation of numerous information technology initiatives and other equipment purchases which have been funded under various capital lease agreements with rates ranging from 0.61% to 7.91%. These agreements have final maturities ranging from September 2011 to October 2013. Certain of these leases are secured. The assets are included in Other Assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

4.90% Industrial Revenue Bond Obligation. On December 28, 2006, the Company engaged in an industrial revenue bond transaction with the City of Atchison, Kansas pursuant to which the City (i) under a trust indenture, ("the Indenture"), issued \$7,000 principal amount of its industrial revenue bonds ("the Bonds") to the Company and used the proceeds thereof to acquire from the Company its newly constructed office building and technical innovations center in Atchison, Kansas, ("the Facilities") and (ii) leased the

Facilities back to the Company under a capital lease (“the Lease”). The assets related to this transaction are included in property and equipment.

The bonds mature on December 1, 2016 and bear interest, payable annually on December 1 of each year commencing December, 2007 at the rate of 4.90% per annum. Basic rent under the lease is payable annually on December 1 in an amount sufficient to pay principal and interest on the bonds. The Indenture and Lease contain certain provisions, covenants and restrictions customary for this type of transaction. In connection with the transaction, the Company agreed to pay the city an administrative fee of \$50 payable over 10 years.

The purpose of the transaction was to facilitate certain property tax abatement opportunities available to the Company related to the newly constructed facilities. The facilities acquired with bond proceeds will receive property tax abatements which terminate upon maturity of the Bonds on December 1, 2016. The issuance of the Bonds was integral to the tax abatement process. Financing for the Facilities was provided internally from the Company’s operating cash flow. Accordingly, upon consummation of the transaction and issuance of the Bonds, the Company acquired all bonds issued for \$7,000, excluding transaction fees. As a result, the Company owns all of the outstanding Bonds. Because the Company owns all outstanding bonds, management considers the debt de-facto cancelled and, accordingly, no amount for these Bonds is reflected as debt outstanding on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 or 2010.

Leases and Debt Maturities. The Company leases railcars and other assets under various operating leases. For railcar leases, the Company is generally required to pay all service costs associated with the railcars. Rental payments include minimum rentals plus contingent amounts based on mileage. Rental expenses under operating leases with terms longer than one month were \$2,128, \$2,940 and \$3,431 for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Minimum annual payments and present values thereof under existing debt maturities, capital leases and minimum annual rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases are as follows:

12-month period ending June 30,*	Long-Term Debt	Capital Leases			Total Debt	Operating Leases
		Minimum Lease Payments	Less Interest	Net Present Value		
2012	\$ 283	\$ 1,589	\$ 167	\$ 1,422	\$ 1,705	\$ 2,571
2013	305	1,535	151	1,384	1,689	2,035
2014	327	1,386	120	1,266	1,593	1,165
2015	352	1,316	88	1,228	1,580	470
2016	249	1,316	55	1,261	1,510	470
Thereafter	-	1,352	22	1,330	1,330	156
Total	\$ 1,516	\$ 8,494	\$ 603	\$ 7,891	\$ 9,407	\$ 6,867

*As described in Note 20. *Subsequent Events*, the Company changed its year end to December 31. The above obligations are shown for the 12-month periods ending June 30.

NOTE 5: INCOME TAXES

The provision (benefit) for income taxes is comprised of the following:

Years ended,	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Current:			
Federal	\$ -	\$ (4,825)	\$ (6,800)
State	68	57	(133)
	<u>68</u>	<u>(4,768)</u>	<u>(6,933)</u>
Deferred:			
Federal	-	-	(8,815)
State	-	-	2,960
	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(5,855)</u>
Total	<u>\$ 68</u>	<u>\$ (4,768)</u>	<u>\$ (12,788)</u>

A reconciliation of the provision for income taxes at the normal statutory federal rate to the provision included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations is shown below:

Years ended,	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
"Expected" provision at federal statutory rate	\$ (463)	\$ 1,387	\$ (28,598)
State income taxes	(45)	156	(3,801)
State tax credits	-	-	(107)
Change in valuation allowance	204	(6,311)	19,818
Change due to state rate change	320	-	-
Other	52	-	(100)
Provision for income taxes	<u>\$ 68</u>	<u>\$ (4,768)</u>	<u>\$ (12,788)</u>
Effective tax rate	<u>(5.5%)</u>	<u>(120.1%)</u>	<u>15.6%</u>

The tax effects of temporary differences related to deferred income taxes shown on the consolidated balance sheets are as follows:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Deferred income tax assets:		
Post-retirement liability	\$ 2,595	\$ 3,181
Deferred income	1,796	2,094
Stock based compensation	1,558	1,396
Federal operating loss carry-forwards	11,214	12,099
State tax credits	3,022	3,020
State operating loss carry-forwards	6,858	6,907
Other	3,947	4,271
Less: valuation allowance	(13,675)	(14,600)
Gross deferred income tax assets	<u>17,315</u>	<u>18,368</u>
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Fixed assets	(10,878)	(11,686)
Joint venture investment	(1,939)	(1,736)
Other	(4,498)	(4,946)
Gross deferred income tax liabilities	<u>(17,315)</u>	<u>(18,368)</u>
Net deferred income tax liability	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The amount of income taxes that the Company pays is subject to ongoing audits by federal and state taxing authorities. The Company was under joint committee review by the IRS for its tax year ended June 30, 2009, which was completed during the current fiscal year. The Company believes that it has adequately provided for any reasonably foreseeable outcome related to this matter. However, future results may include favorable or unfavorable adjustments to estimated tax liabilities in the period the assessment is made or resolved.

The Company establishes a valuation allowance against certain deferred income tax assets if management believes, based on its assessment of historical and projected operating results and other available facts and circumstances, that it is more-likely-than-not that all or a portion of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. Management reassessed the need for a valuation allowance for its deferred income tax assets. It was determined that a full valuation allowance was appropriate on its net deferred income tax assets of \$13,675, and \$14,600 at June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company had approximately \$32,041 and \$87,489 of federal and state net operating loss carry-forwards, respectively. The federal net operating loss will expire before the end of fiscal year 2029. Due to varying state carry-forward periods, the state net operating losses will expire between fiscal years 2013 and 2029. The Company also has state tax credit carry-forwards of approximately \$3,022. The state tax credits will expire in varying periods through fiscal year 2015.

As of June 30, 2011, the total gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits (excluding interest and penalties) was \$414, of which \$29 would impact the effective tax rate, if recognized. As of June 30, 2010, the total gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits (excluding interest and penalties) was \$365, of which \$29 would impact the effective rate, if recognized. As of June 30, 2009, the total gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits (excluding interest and penalties) was \$365, all of which would impact the effective rate, if recognized.

The Company has elected to treat interest and penalties related to tax liabilities as a component of income tax expense. During the year ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded a net increase in interest and penalties accrued related to uncertain tax positions of approximately \$3 and \$0, respectively, as a component of income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties were \$39 and \$13, respectively, as of June 30, 2011. During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company recorded a net decrease in interest and penalties accrued related to uncertain tax positions of approximately \$13 and \$0, respectively, as a component of income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties were \$36 and \$13, respectively, as of June 30, 2010. During the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company recorded a net decrease in interest and penalties accrued related to uncertain tax positions of approximately \$177 and \$3, respectively, as a component of income tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties were \$49 and \$13, respectively, as of June 30, 2009.

The following is a reconciliation of the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits (excluding interest and penalties) for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Beginning of year balance	\$ 365	\$ 124	\$ 1,053
Additions for tax positions of prior years	13	228	-
Decreases for tax positions of prior years	-	-	(647)
Additions for tax positions of the current year	36	13	92
Settlements with taxing authorities	-	-	-
Lapse of applicable statute of limitations	-	-	(374)
End of year balance	\$ 414	\$ 365	\$ 124

The Company does not expect the change in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits in the next year to have a significant impact on its results of operations or financial position.

The Company's federal returns for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2004 through June 30, 2011 are open to examination as a result of the 5-year net operating loss carry-back claim filed for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The Company's state income tax returns for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 through June 30, 2011 are open to examination.

NOTE 6: EQUITY

Capital Stock

Common Stock shareholders are entitled to elect four of the nine members of the Board of Directors, while Preferred Stock shareholders are entitled to elect the remaining five members. Common Stock shareholders are not entitled to vote with respect to a merger, dissolution, lease, exchange or sale of substantially all of the Company's assets, or on an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation, unless such action would increase or decrease the authorized shares or par value of the Common or Preferred Stock, or change the powers, preferences or special rights of the Common or Preferred Stock so as to affect the Common Stock shareholders adversely. Generally, Common Stock shareholders and Preferred Stock shareholders vote as separate classes on all other matters requiring shareholder approval. A majority of the outstanding shares of the company's preferred stock is held by the MGP Ingredients Voting Trust. The beneficial interests in the voting trust are held by the Cray Family Trust. The trustees of the MGP Ingredients Voting Trust are Karen Seaberg, Richard B. Cray and Laidacker M. Seaberg. Karen Seaberg and Richard B. Cray are also trustees of the Cray Family Trust.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The computations of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations are as follows:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to shareholders	\$ (1,313)	\$ 8,738	\$ (69,123)
Amounts allocated to participating securities (non-vested shares)	(77)	(i)	(i)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$ (1,236)	\$ 8,738	\$ (69,123)
Basic weighted average common shares ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾	16,726	16,655	16,585
Additional weighted average shares attributable to:			
Stock options	(iii)	8	(iii)
Restricted shares	(i)	419	(iv)
Diluted weighted average common shares ^(iv)	16,726	17,082	16,585
Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders			
Basic	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.52	\$ (4.17)
Diluted	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.51	\$ (4.17)

- (i) The Company adopted ASC 260 10 Earnings Per Share (formerly FSP-EITF 03-6-1) – *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* effective July 1, 2009. The impacts for the non-vested restricted shares, which constitute a separate class of stock for accounting purposes, did not have a material impact and the Company did not apply the two class method in fiscal 2010 and 2009. In conjunction with the declaration of the dividend in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company reassessed its earnings per share calculation policy and determined to present the two-class method. Amounts allocated to participating securities prior to fiscal 2011 were immaterial.

- (ii) All non-vested shares of restricted stock are reflected as outstanding. The Company had non-vested participating securities of 1,088,644 and 843,870 at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (iii) The stock options have not been included in the earnings (loss) per share computation due to the loss experienced this year.
- (iv) The restricted stock awards have not been included in the earnings (loss) per share computation due to the loss experienced during this year.
- (v) Anti-dilutive share units totaled 63,100, 18,000 and 1,043,109 for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

NOTE 7: COMMITMENTS

The Company purchases its corn requirements for its Atchison plant through a single elevator company. If the Company fails to purchase at least 13 million bushels each 12 months, it must pay the elevator company \$0.03 per bushel for each bushel less than 13 million purchased. The elevator company may terminate if the Company fails to purchase the specified minimums, in which case the Company would be obligated to pay the elevator company \$260 plus the costs incurred by the elevator company in contracting with a different customer for the delivery of corn purchased for the Company pursuant to previously issued Company delivery orders. The Company has complied with its commitment under this agreement. The agreement automatically renews each year on August 31. At June 30, 2011, the Company had commitments to purchase corn to be used in operations during the first four weeks of July 2011 totaling \$1,070.

The Company has commitments to purchase approximately 1,384 mmbtu of natural gas at fixed prices for the months of July 2011 through July 2012. The commitment at June 30, 2011 totaled \$6,406.

Beginning in the quarter ended December 31, 2008, the Company entered into supply contract for flour for use in the production of protein and starch ingredients. As a result, the Company no longer purchases wheat directly. The initial term of the agreement, as amended, expires October 23, 2015.

The Company budgeted capital expenditures of approximately \$5,935 for the next twelve months. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had contracts to acquire capital assets of approximately \$549, of which \$170 relates to the water cooling system project.

NOTE 8: EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Employee Stock Ownership Plan. The Company has an employee stock ownership plan covering all eligible employees after certain eligibility requirements are met. There were no contributions to the plan for the years ended June 30, 2011, June 30, 2010 or June 30, 2009 and the Company intends to terminate the plan. Prior contributions had been made in the form of cash and/or additional shares of common stock.

401(k) Plans. The Company has established 401(k) profit sharing plans covering all employees after certain eligibility requirements are met. Amounts charged to operations related to the plans totaled \$710, \$1,061 and \$389 for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and June 30, 2009, respectively.

Defined Benefit Retirement Plans. The Company sponsors two partially funded, noncontributory qualified defined benefit pension plans, which covers substantially all union employees at Atchison and former employees at the Pekin facility. The benefits under these pension plans are based upon years of qualified credited service; however benefit accruals under the Atchison plan were frozen as of October 15, 2009 and benefit accruals under the Pekin plan were frozen as of December 10, 2009. The Company's funding policy is to contribute annually not less than the regulatory minimum and not more than the maximum amount deductible for income tax purposes. The measurement and valuation date of the plans is June 30. The Company accrued \$23 and \$100 related to the plans during fiscal 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plan. The Company sponsors an unfunded, contributory qualified plan that provides life insurance coverage as well as certain health care and medical benefits, including prescription drug coverage, to certain retired employees. This post-retirement benefit plan is contributory and provides benefits to retirees and their spouses. Contributions are adjusted annually. The plan contains fixed deductibles, coinsurance and out-of-pocket limitations. The life insurance segment of the plan is noncontributory and is available to retirees only. During fiscal 2010, the plan experienced a partial settlement and a curtailment related to the Pekin facility and its subsequent inclusion in a joint venture.

The liability for such benefits is unfunded as it is the Company's policy to fund benefits payable as they come due. The Company's measurement date is June 30. The Company expects to contribute approximately \$698 to the plan in fiscal year 2012.

The amount in accumulated other comprehensive income expected to be recognized as components of net period benefit cost during fiscal year 2012 is approximately \$17. The status of the Company's plans at June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively, was as follows:

	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans		Post-Retirement Benefit Plan	
	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Change in benefit obligation:				
Beginning of year	\$ 4,587	\$ 3,689	\$ 8,170	\$ 8,799
Service cost	-	138	224	197
Interest cost	238	231	408	482
Actuarial loss (gain)	(667)	556	(1,634)	715
Curtailment gain	-	-	-	(501)
Settlement gain	-	-	-	(873)
Benefits paid	(134)	(27)	(670)	(649)
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 4,024	\$ 4,587	\$ 6,498	\$ 8,170

The following table shows the change in plan assets based on the Fiscal 2011 and 2010 measurement dates, respectively:

	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans		Post-Retirement Benefit Plan	
	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$ 2,823	\$ 2,228	\$ -	\$ -
Actual return on plan assets	651	216	-	-
Employer contributions	100	405	-	-
Benefits paid	(134)	(27)	-	-
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$ 3,440	\$ 2,822	\$ -	\$ -

Assumptions used to determine accumulated benefit obligations as of the year-end were:

	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans		Post-Retirement Benefit Plan	
	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Discount rate	5.42%	5.25%	4.71%	5.11%
Average compensation increase	n/a	n/a	4.50%	4.50%
Measurement date	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010

Assumptions used to determine net benefit cost for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were:

	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans			Post-Retirement Benefit Plan		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Expected return on assets	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%	-	-	-
Discount rate	5.25%	6.29%	6.41%	5.11%	6.23%	6.41%
Average compensation increase	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.50%	4.50%	4.50%
Measurement date	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009

The discount rate refers to the interest rate used to discount the estimated future benefit payments to their present value, referred to as the benefit obligation. The discount rate allows the Company to estimate what it would cost to settle the pension obligations as of the measurement date. The Company determines the discount rate using a yield curve of high-quality fixed-income investments whose cash flows match the timing and amount of the Company's expected benefit payments.

In determining the expected rate of return on assets, the Company considers its historical experience in the plans' investment portfolio, historical market data and long-term historical relationships as well as a review of other objective indices including current market factors such as inflation and interest rates.

Components of net periodic benefit cost are as follows:

Years ended,	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans			Post-Retirement Benefit Plan		
	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Service cost	\$ -	\$ 138	\$ 564	\$ 224	\$ 197	\$ 301
Interest cost	238	231	194	409	482	498
Expected return on assets	(197)	(169)	(175)	-	-	-
Amortization of unrecorded prior service cost	-	13	25	(17)	(24)	(37)
Curtailment loss	-	120	-	-	-	-
Other amortization	136	86	16	88	32	20
Total	\$ 177	\$ 419	\$ 624	\$ 704	\$ 687	\$ 782

Changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

Years ended,	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans			Post-Retirement Benefit Plan		
	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Net actuarial (loss) gain	\$ 1,121	\$ (509)	\$ (819)	\$ 1,634	\$ (715)	\$ (855)
Recognized net actuarial gain (loss)	136	85	16	88	32	20
Prior service cost recognized due to curtailment	-	-	-	-	(124)	-
Reduction in unrecognized loss due to curtailments and settlements	-	-	-	-	621	-
Amortization of prior service cost	-	133	25	(17)	(24)	(37)
Total income (loss)	\$ 1,257	\$ (291)	\$ (778)	\$ 1,705	\$ (210)	\$ (872)

A reconciliation of the funded status of the plans with amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets is as follows:

	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans		Post-Retirement Benefit Plan	
	As of June 30, 2011	As of June 30, 2010	As of June 30, 2011	As of June 30, 2010
Accrued expenses	\$ 24	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ -
Other non-current liabilities	560	1,665	-	-
Accrued retirement benefits	-	-	6,498	8,170
Net amount recognized	\$ 584	\$ 1,765	\$ 6,498	\$ 8,170

The following amounts have been recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income:

	Defined Benefit Retirement Plans			Post-Retirement Benefit Plan		
	As of June 30, 2011	As of June 30, 2010	As of June 30, 2009	As of June 30, 2011	As of June 30, 2010	As of June 30, 2009
Actuarial net loss (gain)	\$ (240)	\$ (1,497)	\$ (1,073)	\$ (231)	\$ (1,954)	\$ (1,891)
Net prior service cost	-	-	(133)	186	203	350
Net amount recognized	\$ (240)	\$ (1,497)	\$ (1,206)	\$ (45)	\$ (1,751)	\$ (1,541)

The assumed average annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered benefits (health care cost trend rate) is as follows:

Years ended,	Post-Retirement Benefit Plan		
	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Health care cost trend rate	8.50%	8.50%	8.50%
Ultimate trend rate	5.00%	5.50%	6.00%
Year rate reaches ultimate trend rate	2021	2017	2020

A one percentage point increase (decrease) in the assumed health care cost trend rate would have increased (decreased) the accumulated benefit obligation by \$376 (\$338) at June 30, 2011, and the service and interest cost would have increased (decreased) by \$47 (\$41) for the year then ended.

As of June 30, 2011, the following expected benefit payments (net of Medicare Part D subsidiary for Post-Retirement Benefit Plan Payments), and the related expected subsidy receipts which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid to plan participants:

	Defined Benefit Retirement Plan	Post-Retirement Benefit Plan	
	Expected Benefit Payments	Expected Benefit Payments	Expected Subsidy Receipts
2012	\$ 154	\$ 698	\$ 32
2013	131	643	31
2014	208	556	31
2015	232	474	30
2016	186	479	28
2017-2021	1,342	3,147	117
Total	\$ 2,253	\$ 5,997	\$ 269

The weighted average asset allocation by asset category is as follows:

Asset Category	Defined Benefit Retirement Plan		
	As of June 30, 2011	As of June 30, 2010	Target Allocation
Equity Securities	71%	68%	62%
Debt Securities	23%	29%	26%
Other	6%	3%	12%
Total	100%	100%	100%

The Company's investment strategy is based on an expectation that equity securities will outperform debt securities over the long term. Accordingly, the composition of the Company's plan assets is broadly characterized as a 62%/26%/12% allocation between equity, debt, and other securities. The strategy utilizes a diversified equity approach using multiple asset classes. The fixed income portion is actively managed investment grade debt securities (which constitute 80% or more of debt securities) with a lesser allocation to high-yield, international, inflation-protected, and rising rate debt securities. Of the lesser allocation, any one debt category will be no greater than 10% of the total debt portfolio. The portfolio may also utilize alternative assets to mitigate risk in the portfolio.

The Company further mitigates investment risk by rebalancing between equity and debt classes to maintain allocation parameters to be within approximately +/- 10% of established targets. This is done to handle changes in asset allocation caused by Company contributions, monthly benefit payments, and general market volatility. The following table sets forth the Company's defined benefit retirement plan assets as of June 30, 2011, by level within the fair value hierarchy.

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 17
Equity Securities:				
Domestic equity securities	1,899	-	-	1,899
International equity securities	656	-	-	656
Fixed income securities:				
Investment grade domestic bonds	621	-	-	621
International bonds	170	-	-	170
Other	77	-	-	77
Total	\$ 3,440	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,440

The following table sets forth the Company's defined benefit retirement plan assets as of June 30, 2010, by level within the fair value hierarchy.

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2010			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 28	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28
Equity Securities:				
Domestic equity securities	1,432	-	-	1,432
International equity securities	497	-	-	497
Fixed income securities:				
Investment grade domestic bonds	649	-	-	649
International bonds	156	-	-	156
Other	60	-	-	60
Total	\$ 2,822	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,822

Level 1 assets are valued based on quoted prices in active markets for identical securities. The majority of Level 1 assets listed above include exchange traded index funds, bond funds and mutual funds.

Equity-Based Compensation Plans. The Company has five equity-based compensation plans, the Stock Incentive Plan of 2004 (the "2004 Plan"), the Stock Incentive Plan of 1996 (the "1996 Plan"), the Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors (the "Directors' Option Plan"), the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan for Salaried Employees (the "Salaried Plan") and the Non-Employee Directors' Restricted Stock Plan (the "Directors' Stock Plan"). The Company's equity based compensation plans provide for the awarding of stock options, stock appreciation rights and shares of restricted common stock ("restricted stock") for senior executives and salaried employees as well as outside directors. Compensation expense related to restricted stock awards is based on the market price of the stock on the date the Board of Directors communicates the approved award and is amortized over the vesting period of the restricted stock award.

The consolidated statement of operations for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009 reflects share-based compensation cost of \$1,164, \$491 and \$14, respectively related to these plans. Following is a description of these plans:

1996 Plan

Under the 1996 Plan, the Company was authorized to grant incentives for up to 1,200,000 shares of the Company's common stock to key employees. The term of each award was determined by the committee of the Board of Directors charged with administering the 1996 Plan. Under the terms of the 1996 Plan, options granted could be either nonqualified or incentive stock options and the exercise price could not be less than the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant. On January 31, 2006, the period in which the Company could grant incentives expired and no further options may be granted. At June 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding incentive stock options to purchase 37,500 shares under the 1996 plan, all of which were exercisable. The options originally had ten-year terms and have exercise prices equal to fair market value on the date of grant.

2004 Plan

Under the 2004 Plan, as amended, the Company may grant incentives (including stock options and restricted stock awards) for up to 2,680,000 shares of the Company's common stock to salaried, full time employees, including executive officers. The term of each award generally is determined by the committee of the Board of Directors charged with administering the 2004 Plan. Under the terms of the 2004 Plan, any options granted will be nonqualified stock options, must be exercisable within ten years and must have an exercise price which is not less than the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant. As of June 30, 2011, no stock options and 1,088,644 restricted stock awards (net of forfeitures) had been granted under the 2004 Plan.

Under programs approved by the Company's Board of Directors annually in fiscal years 2004 through 2007, shares of restricted stock were awarded to senior executives and other employees under plans in which they were eligible. These annual programs provided for the accelerated vesting of restricted stock after three fiscal years if the Company achieved certain specific operating and financial objectives over such period. If the objectives were not met, the program provided for the vesting of the restricted stock at the end of the seventh fiscal year of the restricted stock award. Accelerated or partial vesting may be permitted upon a change of control or if employment is terminated as a result of death, disability, retirement or termination without cause.

Under the annual restricted stock program which has been administered under the Company's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan since fiscal 2008, amounts awarded are conditioned in part on improvements to MEP (as defined below under Annual Cash Incentive plan). Under the program, subject to the availability of shares under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, restricted stock awards are made each year and generally are based on a percentage (approximately 85.7 percent) of the increase in MEP over the prior year. However, subject to the discretion of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee, the maximum grant date market value of the awards made for any year to all participants is \$4,500 and the minimum grant date market value made in any year to all participants, including years in which the change in MEP is negative, is \$1,500. Shares awarded vest in 5 years and are eligible for dividends during the vesting period. Provisions for forfeiture and accelerated and pro rata vesting generally are similar to those under the guidelines for the Company's outstanding performance accelerated restricted stock awards.

Directors' Option Plan

Under the Directors Option Plan, each non-employee or "outside" director of the Company received on the day after each annual meeting of stockholders an option to purchase 2,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on such date. Options became exercisable on the 184th day following the date of grant and expired no later than ten years after the date of grant. Subject to certain adjustments, a total of 180,000 shares were reserved

for annual grants under the Plan. The Plan expired in 2006 and no further options may be granted under it. At June 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding options to purchase 24,000 shares under the Directors' Option Plan, all of which were exercisable as of June 30, 2011.

Salaried Plan

Under the Salaried Plan, the Company was authorized to grant stock incentives for up to 600,000 shares of the Company's common stock to full-time salaried employees. The Salaried Plan provides that the amount, recipients, timing and terms of each award be determined by the Committee of the Board of Directors charged with administering the Salaried Plan. Under the terms of the Salaried Plan, options granted could be either nonqualified or incentive stock options and the exercise price could not be less than the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant. At June 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding incentive stock options on 1,600 shares under the Salaried Plan, all of which were exercisable. These options originally had ten-year terms and have exercise prices equal to fair market value of the Company's common stock as of the date of grant. On March 5, 2008 the period in which the Company could make awards under the Plan expired and no further awards may be made under the Plan.

Directors' Stock Plan

In addition to annual awards, under the Directors' Stock Plan, which was approved by stockholders at the 2006 Annual Meeting, as amended, the Company may grant incentives for up to 175,000 shares of the Company's common stock to outside directors. The plan allows for grants to be made on the first business day following the date of each annual meeting of stockholders, whereby each non-employee director is awarded shares of restricted stock with a fair market value of \$12,500, as determined on such first business day following the annual meeting. The shares awarded become fully vested upon the occurrence of one of the following events (1) the third anniversary of the award date, (2) the death of the director, or (3) a change in control, as defined in the Plan. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee may allow accelerated vesting in the event of specified terminations. As of June 30, 2011, 87,411 shares of restricted common stock have been awarded from shares available under the plan.

Stock Options. The fair value of each option is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. For the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, no options have been granted.

A summary of the status of stock options awarded under the Company's stock option plans as of June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and changes during the years then ended is presented below:

	2011		2010		2009	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of Year	168,350	\$ 5.91	276,600	\$ 5.28	421,795	\$ 5.30
Granted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cancelled/Forfeited	(30,000)	4.75	(53,000)	4.02	(145,195)	5.32
Exercised	(75,250)	6.01	(55,250)	4.57	-	-
Outstanding at end of year	63,100	\$ 6.35	168,350	\$ 5.91	276,600	\$ 5.28

During the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the aggregate intrinsic value of stock options outstanding and exercisable was \$146, \$265 and \$0, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's average closing stock price on the last ten trading days of the related fiscal year and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of related in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had they exercised their options at the end of the fiscal year. This amount changes based on the market value of the Company's

common stock. Total intrinsic value of options exercised for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (based on the difference between the Company's stock price on the exercise date and the respective exercise price, multiplied by the number of options determined to be in the money) was \$187, \$156 and \$0, respectively. Cash received from stock option exercises for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009 aggregated \$452, \$221 and \$0, respectively.

Outstanding options are comprised as follows:

	Shares	Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Lives (Years)	Shares Exercisable at June 30, 2011
The 1996 Plan	17,500	\$ 3.63	2.00	17,500
	10,000	6.45	1.00	10,000
	10,000	5.95	.50	10,000
Salaried Plan	1,600	5.95	.50	1,600
Directors Option Plan	10,000	10.45	4.25	10,000
	8,000	9.09	3.25	8,000
	2,000	4.38	2.25	2,000
	2,000	3.25	1.25	2,000
	2,000	5.58	.25	2,000
Total	63,100			63,100

Restricted Common Stock. A summary of the status of restricted stock awarded under the Company's restricted stock plans at June 30, 2011, 2010 and June 30, 2009 and changes during the years then ended is presented below:

	2011		2010		2009	
	Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Non vested balance at beginning of year	843,870	\$ 5.99	932,901	\$ 6.45	235,855	\$ 13.62
Granted	323,629	6.93	53,893	4.32	869,941	4.62
Forfeited	(60,726)	5.99	(116,417)	8.64	(115,736)	8.57
Vested	(18,129)	8.65	(31,507)	6.70	(57,159)	3.76
Non vested balance at end of year	1,088,644	\$ 6.23	843,870	\$ 5.99	932,901	\$ 6.45

During the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the total fair value of restricted stock awards vested was \$157, \$185 and \$215, respectively. As of June 30, 2011 there was \$3,328 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock awards. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 3 years.

Annual Cash Incentive Plan. For fiscal years 2009 through 2011, the Company had annual cash incentive plans based upon varying applications of modified economic profit ("MEP"). The program for fiscal 2011 and 2010 ("Current MEP Program") varied from that used in fiscal 2009. Under the Current MEP Program, annual target awards are a percentage of base pay set by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee. The actual amount of awards that may be paid depend on the percentage of base pay set by the Committee as a target award and the extent to which the improvement in MEP over the base period meets or exceeds targeted growth in MEP as approved by the Committee. The Human Resources and Compensation Committee has discretion under the annual incentive plan to adjust factors used in determining incentive compensation and to include or exclude unusual items. No incentive compensation is payable if growth is less than 80% of target. Not more than 125% of the targeted bonus award may be paid to a participant, which amount is payable if MEP growth exceeds 110% of target.

For the year ended June 30, 2011, the growth in MEP was measured against fiscal 2010. The Company did not exceed its targeted growth in MEP of \$3,000 in fiscal 2011, and no annual incentive was paid for fiscal 2011. For the year ended June 30, 2010, growth in MEP was measured against the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, annualized, and adjusted to eliminate assets then held for sale. After giving effect to adjustments approved by the Committee for unusual items, the Company surpassed its targeted growth in MEP of \$2,250 over the base period and accrued aggregate annual bonuses of \$3,018 for fiscal 2010 under the plan. No amount was accrued under the program in fiscal 2009 since the targets were not achieved.

NOTE 9: RESTRUCTURING COSTS AND LOSS ON IMPAIRMENT OF ASSETS

The Company incurred a significant operating loss in fiscal year 2009. This loss caused the Company to be in violation of covenants under the former credit facility used during this period and seriously impacted the Company's liquidity. In response to these conditions, actions were taken in an effort to return the Company to profitability. These actions included significant changes to operations in the Company's Atchison and Pekin facilities. As a result of these actions, restructuring costs and loss on impairment of assets were recognized during the year ended June 30, 2009. Amounts for such charges included in results for the year ended June 30, 2009 were as follows:

	Total
Impairment of long lived assets	\$ 10,282
Severance and early retirement costs	3,288
Other restructuring costs	5,241
Total	<u>\$ 18,811</u>

On October 20, 2008 the Company announced that it had signed a non-binding letter of intent to acquire its flour requirements from a third party, was ceasing operations at its flour mill in Atchison, Kansas and was reducing its workforce. The Company's decision to close its flour mill was due to the fact that it could no longer produce flour for its own use at costs that were competitive with those of third party producers. As a result of this action by the Company, the Company performed an impairment analysis and recorded a \$2,831 non-cash impairment charge in the Consolidated Statements of Operations related to the flour mill assets.

On November 5, 2008, the Company announced plans to significantly reduce production of commodity wheat proteins and starches by ceasing protein and starch production operations at its Pekin, Illinois plant, effective November 12, 2008. The majority of the Pekin facility's protein and starch production consisted of gluten and commodity starches. As a result of the shutdown, the Company performed an impairment analysis and recorded a \$4,960 non-cash impairment charge in the Consolidated Statements of Operations related to the Pekin protein and starch assets.

As a result of the closure of the Company's Atchison flour mill and the protein and starch operations at its Pekin plant, the Company also incurred \$3,288 in severance and early retirement costs. Activity related to the restructuring costs was as follows:

	Year Ended		
	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 1,123	\$ 1,791	\$ 3,288
Provisions for severance and early retirement costs	-	186	74
Payments and adjustments	(611)	(854)	(1,571)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 512</u>	<u>\$ 1,123</u>	<u>\$ 1,791</u>

On January 29, 2009, the Company determined that it would cease the manufacture and sale of personal care ingredients products. The Company concluded all its contractual obligations with respect to

its personal care customers, completed all production and liquidated all remaining inventory. As a result of this action, the Company performed an impairment analysis and recorded a \$329 non-cash impairment charge in the Consolidated Statements of Operations related to the write-down of equipment used in the production of personal care products.

At the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2008 the Company concluded that its pet business assets in the other segment and certain of its ingredient solutions segment assets in a mixed use facility in Kansas City, Kansas at which the Company's pet treat resins were made were impaired. At that time, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$8,100. For the quarter ended December 31, 2008, the Company performed another test for impairment of these assets as a result of an appraisal, resulting in a further charge of \$811. As part of its closing process for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, management performed an additional impairment test of these assets and recorded an additional impairment charge of \$1,351. On August 21, 2009, the Company completed the sale of its Kansas City, Kansas facility for \$3,585.

Other restructuring costs of \$5,241 recognized in fiscal 2009 include \$2,925 related to lease termination costs which the Company expected to incur as a result of the flour mill closure with respect to railcars which it formerly used to transport flour and whose leases expire through 2013. The Company recognized this expense because it no longer utilized these cars in its business. Expected payments accrued reflected the net present value of the remaining obligation net of units which were estimated to be returned to the lessor sooner than the lease termination date. The discount rate used was 6.4 percent, which is consistent with the rate provided by the Company's actuary.

The Company estimated that the remaining railcars would either be returned to the lessor or assigned to other third parties over the course of four years. Other restructuring costs in fiscal 2009 also include a \$2,185 net loss resulting from sales of excess wheat no longer needed for milling operations. The charge is net of approximately \$1,109 in realized gains previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income.

During fiscal 2010, 53 railcars were returned to the lessor. During fiscal 2010, no activities occurred that required an update to the underlying assumptions for this liability. No railcars were returned during fiscal 2011, and the Company increased the restructuring accrual during fiscal 2011 because the date of assignment for certain railcars to other third parties had been delayed. The Company expects the remaining 68 railcars will be returned during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2013. Activity related to the lease termination restructuring accrual and related costs was as follows:

	Year-Ended		
	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 1,562	\$ 2,379	\$ 5,241
Provision for additional expense	249	-	-
Payments and adjustments	(668)	(817)	(2,862)
Balance at end of year	\$ 1,143	\$ 1,562	\$ 2,379

With the changes effected at the Company's Pekin plant, commitments for the purchase of natural gas through the remainder of the year ended June 30, 2009 under a single contract for the Pekin plant were in excess of projected consumption. Accordingly, the Company settled such commitments for the difference between the prices to which it committed to and the market price of natural gas upon settlement. The Company recorded a charge of \$7,642 for the year ended June 30, 2009 to cost of sales for losses realized upon settlement of this contract.

On January 29, 2009, the Company temporarily shut down its Pekin, Illinois plant. On March 31, 2009, the Company announced that it was considering its strategic options. Management performed an impairment analysis of the Pekin plant as of June 30, 2009 and determined that no further impairment charge related to the Pekin plant was warranted at that time. See Note 3 related to formation of ICP joint venture during fiscal 2010.

NOTE 10: ASSETS HELD FOR SALE

On August 21, 2009, the Company sold its Kansas City, Kansas, facility for proceeds of \$3,585, less closing costs, with potential additional payments based on the buyer's income from sales of the Company's existing products to the Company's existing customers over the next three years ending July 31, 2012, with the final potential amount payable November 1, 2010. The sale included all equipment used for the production and packaging of pet-related products, which principally include extruded plant-based resins and finished pet treats. The Company retained ownership of equipment that is used for the production of its Wheatex® textured wheat proteins, which are sold for use in meat extension and vegetarian product applications. This equipment is located in a separate section of the facility that has been leased to the Company for a period of three years ending August 20, 2012 and is operated by a subsidiary of the buyer under a toll manufacturing arrangement. In connection with the sale of the Kansas City, Kansas facility, liabilities related to these assets held for sale as of June 30, 2009, totaling \$2,725, were paid with the sale proceeds.

On November 20, 2009, the Company completed a series of transaction pursuant to which the Company contributed its Pekin plant and certain maintenance and repair materials to a newly formed company, Illinois Corn Processing, ("ICP") and then sold a 50% interest in ICP. See *Note 3 Investment in Joint Ventures*.

NOTE 11: SIGNIFICANT ESTIMATES AND CONCENTRATIONS

Defined benefit pension and post-retirement benefit obligations. The Company accrues amounts for defined benefit pension and post-retirement benefit obligations as discussed in *Note 8. Employee Benefit Plans*. An accrual of \$4,025 for defined benefit pension obligations and \$6,498 for post-retirement benefit obligations is included in the accompanying 2011 financial statements. Claim payments and pension obligations based upon actual experience could ultimately differ materially from these estimates.

Inventory valuation. The Company has recorded the carrying value of its inventories at the lower of cost or market based upon management estimates. Actual results could differ significantly in the near term.

Impairment. The Company reviews long-lived assets, mainly equipment, for impairment at year end or if events or circumstances indicate that usage may be limited and carrying values may not be recoverable. Should events indicate the assets cannot be used as planned, the realization from alternative uses or disposal is compared to the carrying value. If an impairment loss is measured, this estimate is recognized. Considerable judgment is used in these measurements, and a change in the assumptions could result in a different determination of impairment loss and/or the amount of any impairment. The Company recognized a non-cash impairment loss of \$10,282 during the year ended June 30, 2009. While no further impairment losses were recorded during fiscal 2011 and 2010, the Company may incur further impairment losses with respect to these assets if the assumptions that it made when it performed its analysis prove to be incorrect or if it determines that it needs to change its assumptions. See *Note 1 Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* and *Note 9. Restructuring Costs and Loss on Impairment of Assets*.

Liability for other restructuring costs. The Company recorded a liability for other restructuring costs related to expected railcar returns. During fiscal 2011, \$249 of other restructuring costs were charged to the statement of operations due to a delay in the timing by which certain railcars are expected to be assigned to other third parties. During fiscal 2010, no activities occurred that required an update to the underlying assumptions for this liability. The Company expects the remaining 68 railcars will be returned during fiscal 2014. The timing of the returns could ultimately differ materially from this estimate and prove the estimate to be incorrect. See *Note 9. Restructuring Costs and Loss on Impairment of Assets*.

Significant customers. For the year ended June 30, 2011, the Company did not have sales to any individual customer that accounted for more than 10 percent of consolidated net sales. During the fiscal

year end June 30, 2011, the Company's ten largest customers accounted for approximately 45 percent of consolidated net sales.

For the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company did not have sales to any individual customer that accounted for more than 10 percent of consolidated net sales. During the fiscal year end June 30, 2010, the Company's ten largest customers accounted for approximately 42 percent of consolidated net sales.

For the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company had sales to one customer accounting for approximately 10 percent of consolidated net sales. In addition, during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 the Company's ten largest customers accounted for approximately 40 percent of consolidated net sales.

Significant suppliers. For the year ended June 30, 2011, the Company had purchases from one grain supplier that approximated 38 percent of consolidated purchases and from another flour supplier that accounted for 26 percent of consolidated purchases. In addition, the Company's 10 largest suppliers accounted for approximately 90 percent of consolidated purchases.

For the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company had purchases from one grain supplier that approximated 33 percent of consolidated purchases and from another flour supplier that accounted for 12 percent of consolidated purchases. In addition, the Company's 10 largest suppliers accounted for approximately 75 percent of consolidated purchases.

For the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company had purchases from 1 grain supplier that approximated 20 percent of consolidated purchases and from another for the purchase of flour that accounted for 17 percent of consolidated purchases. In addition, the Company's 10 largest suppliers accounted for approximately 68 percent of consolidated purchases.

Tax Valuation Allowance. The Company establishes a valuation allowance against certain deferred income tax assets if management believes, based on its assessment of historical and projected operating results and other available facts and circumstances, that it is more-likely-than-not that all or a portion of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. Management reassessed the need for a valuation allowance for its deferred income tax assets. It was determined that a valuation allowance was appropriate on its net deferred income tax assets of \$13,675 and \$14,600 at June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively.

NOTE 12: OPERATING SEGMENTS

The Company's operations are classified into three reportable segments: distillery products, ingredient solutions and other. The distillery products segment consists of food grade alcohol, along with fuel grade alcohol commonly known as ethanol, and distillers feed, which are co-products of our distillery operations. Ingredient solutions consist of specialty starches and proteins, commodity starch and vital wheat gluten (commodity protein). Mill by-products, consisting primarily of mill feeds or "midds," have also been included in this segment but have been discontinued with the shutdown of our wheat flour milling operations at the Atchison, Kansas plant in the second quarter of fiscal 2009. The other segment products are comprised of resins and plant-based polymers and composites manufactured through the further processing of certain of our proteins and starches and wood.

Operating profit (loss) for each segment is based on net sales less identifiable operating expenses. Non-direct selling, general and administrative, interest expense, investment income and other general miscellaneous expenses have been excluded from segment operations and classified as Corporate. Receivables, inventories and equipment have been identified with the segments to which they relate. All other assets are considered as Corporate.

Years Ended,	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Sales to Customers			
Distillery products	\$ 188,993	\$ 139,990	\$ 204,704
Ingredient solutions	57,765	59,715	82,127
Other	1,157	2,266	4,981
Total	<u>\$ 247,915</u>	<u>\$ 201,971</u>	<u>\$ 291,812</u>
Depreciation and amortization			
Distillery products	\$ 4,720	\$ 4,363	\$ 7,095
Ingredient solutions	2,148	2,272	3,022
Other	245	245	246
Corporate	1,730	1,751	1,583
Total	<u>\$ 8,843</u>	<u>\$ 8,631</u>	<u>\$ 11,946</u>
Income (loss) before Income Taxes			
Distillery products	\$ 19,720	\$ 16,713	\$ (24,367)
Ingredient solutions	1,828	9,731	(6,720)
Other	(521)	145	40
Corporate	(21,701)	(22,056)	(24,411)
Impairment of long-lived assets ⁽ⁱ⁾	-	-	(10,282)
Severance and early retirement costs ⁽ⁱ⁾	-	-	(3,288)
Loss on joint venture formation ⁽ⁱ⁾	-	(2,294)	-
Gain (loss) on sale of assets ⁽ⁱ⁾	(322)	1,731	-
Other restructuring costs ⁽ⁱ⁾	(249)	-	(5,241)
Loss on natural gas contract ⁽ⁱ⁾	-	-	(7,642)
Total	<u>\$ (1,245)</u>	<u>\$ 3,970</u>	<u>\$ (81,911)</u>
	<u>June 30, 2011</u>	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	
Identifiable Assets			
Distillery products	\$ 54,051	\$ 47,511	
Ingredient solutions	34,059	30,221	
Other	1,415	1,777	
Corporate	44,106	41,628	
Total	<u>\$ 133,631</u>	<u>\$ 121,137</u>	

Information about the Company's revenues and assets by geographic area is as follows:

Revenues for the year ended,	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
United States	\$ 225,996	\$ 183,194	\$ 267,031
Japan ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾	13,502	10,176	16,379
Canada	2,848	2,876	2,979
Europe	688	886	1,222
Other	4,881	4,839	4,201
Total	<u>\$ 247,915</u>	<u>\$ 201,971</u>	<u>\$ 291,812</u>
Assets,	<u>June 30, 2011</u>	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	
United States	\$ 133,289	\$ 120,845	
Europe	342	292	
Total	<u>\$ 133,631</u>	<u>\$ 121,137</u>	

- (i) MGPI's management reporting does not assign or allocate special charges to the Company's operating segments. For purposes of comparative analysis, loss on impairment of long-lived assets, severance and early retirement costs, other restructuring costs, loss on natural gas contract, loss on joint venture formations recognized, gain (loss) on sale of assets and the out-of-period adjustment related to accounts payable for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 have been excluded from our segments.
- (ii) Substantially all of the Company's sales in Japan are to one customer.

NOTE 13: SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Years Ended,	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment in Accounts Payable	\$ 1,806	\$ 352	\$ 430
Transfer of assets held for sale to investment in joint ventures	-	29,063	-
Transfer of inventory to investment in joint ventures	-	2,924	-
Transfer of accounts payable to long-term debt	-	11,614	-
Purchase of property and equipment and other assets in capital leases	-	-	1,436
Reclassification of assets held for sale from Property and equipment	-	-	27,979
Stock plan shares issued from treasury	1,350	295	2,936
Additional cash payment information:			
Interest paid	515	1,808	2,733
Income tax (paid)/ refunds received	(234)	10,390	-

NOTE 14: CONTINGENCIES

There are various legal proceedings involving the Company and its subsidiaries. Except for the following matter, management considers that the aggregate liabilities, if any, arising from such actions would not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or operations of the Company.

In January 2006 the Company entered a consent agreement with the KDHE resolving past allegations relating to permits, emissions levels and compliance with pollution regulations. Prior to fiscal 2010 the Company made approximately \$14,238 in capital expenditures to comply with the consent agreement and paid \$66 in civil penalties for instances of non compliance. During the second half of fiscal 2010, due to increased production activity the Company anticipated that it would exceed the emissions cap imposed by the KDHE in the 2006 consent and began negotiating an amendment to the consent agreement with the KDHE. This amendment, which was approved by the KDHE in May 2010, required us to complete a closed-loop, process water cooling system project, resulting in significant VOC reduction, in accordance with a scheduled timeline extending over an approximate seventeen month period ending on September 30, 2011. The Company agreed to pay a \$5 per month penalty for any month that it exceeded the rolling 12-month emissions cap imposed in the consent agreement, as well as a \$1 per day penalty for each day we might have failed to file monthly progress reports or exceeded established completion dates for various stages of the project. The Company completed the project during July 2011. Based upon information available to management, no additional penalties were incurred that would otherwise have required accrual at June 30, 2011.

NOTE 15: DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Derivative Instruments. Certain commodities the Company uses in its production process are exposed to market price risks due to volatility in the prices for those commodities. The Company has historically used derivative instruments to reduce the risk related to price volatility for corn, flour and natural gas and has managed its exposure through a combination of forward purchases, long-term contracts

with suppliers and exchange traded commodity futures and option contracts. Derivative instruments are recorded as either assets or liabilities, and are measured at fair market value with any changes in fair value being marked to market as a component of cost of sales in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Since these derivatives are not accounted for as hedges, fluctuations in the related commodity prices could have a material impact on earnings in any given period. Changes in fair value of open derivative instruments are recorded as inventory and cost of sales.

The Company's production process involves the use of natural gas, which it purchases under contracts that require it to commit to the purchase of certain quantities on a monthly basis and allow the Company to lock in prices on such purchase quantities. Because the quantities involved have always been for amounts to be consumed within the normal production process, the Company has determined that these contracts meet the normal purchases and sales exception as defined under ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, and have excluded the fair value to these commitments from recognition within its financial statements until the actual contracts are physically settled.

Fair Value Measurements. In accordance with ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, the fair value of an asset is considered the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Statement also establishes a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted market prices (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

- Level 1—quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities accessible by the reporting entity.
- Level 2—observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3—unobservable inputs for an asset or liability. Unobservable inputs should only be used to the extent observable inputs are not available.

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy the Company's financial assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, \$1,827 of corn derivative liabilities related to futures contracts were included in Level 2. On June 30, 2011, the futures contracts market experienced significant volatility and had reached the maximum daily price allowed by the Chicago Board of Trade ("CBOT") and was closed prior to the normal closing of the market. Accordingly, the closing price was not considered to be indicative of the fair value of these futures contracts on June 30, 2011 and the Company used the CBOT's July 1, 2011 opening trading price for these futures contracts as the best indicator of fair value at June 30, 2011. Given that the fair value of the futures contracts held as of June 30, 2011 were based upon an observable proxy, the Company has classified these contracts as level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. Management believes that under specific circumstances the opening value on July 1 for these contracts, which increased the unrealized loss recognized by approximately \$1,447, was a better estimate of fair value at the end of the fiscal year.

The following table show the fair value of our derivatives, where the derivatives are classified on our Consolidated Balance Sheets and the level, within the fair value hierarchy at both June 30, 2011 and 2010.

	Classified	Total	Fair Value Measurements		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
June 30, 2011					
Liabilities					
Commodity Derivatives (a)	Inventory	\$ 2,254	\$ 427	\$ 1,827	\$ -
June 30, 2010					
Assets					
Commodity Derivatives	Inventory	\$ 14	\$ 14	\$ -	\$ -

(a) At June 30, 2011, the Company had net derivative contracts to purchase 3,850,000 bushels of corn through March 2012.

The following table provides the gain or (loss) for the Company's commodity derivatives and where it was recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	Classified	2011	2010
Commodity derivatives	Cost of sales	\$ 11,299	\$ 71

Counterparty credit risk. The Company enters into commodity derivatives through a broker with a diversified group of counterparties. As these commodity derivatives currently represent a liability, there is no risk of counterparty credit risk. Under the terms of the Company's account with its broker, it is required to maintain a cash margin account as collateral to cover any shortfall in the market value of derivatives, which has been accounted for as restricted cash in the consolidated balance sheets.

NOTE 16: RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Credit Agreement. The Company entered into its Credit Agreement (amended in January 2011), as more fully discussed in *Note 4. Corporate Borrowings and Capital Lease Obligations*. The Credit Agreement permits the lender to modify or reduce the borrowing base at the lender's reasonable discretion and to accelerate our debt if an over-advance results. Any modification to reduce our borrowing base or terminate the Credit Agreement would negatively impact our overall liquidity and may require us to take other actions to preserve any remaining liquidity. Acceleration of debt under our Credit Agreement could result in acceleration of our other debt obligations discussed in *Note 4. Corporate Borrowings and Capital Lease Obligations*.

ICP. The Limited Liability Company Agreement gives either member certain rights to shut down the plant if it operates at a loss. Such rights are conditional in certain instances but absolute if EBITDA losses aggregate \$1,500 over any three consecutive quarters or if ICP's net working capital is less than \$2,500. ICP Holdings also has the right to shut down the plant if ICP is in default under its loan agreement for failure to pay principal or interest for two months. Both partners have agreed to waive this condition for all periods through June 30, 2011.

An affiliate (sister company) of SEACOR Energy, Inc. has provided funding to ICP through two loans secured by all of the assets of ICP, including the Pekin Plant. Among other matters, losses or working capital deficiencies that would entitle a member of ICP to shut down the plant are events of default under these loan agreements which, upon any requisite notice and/or lapse of time, would entitle the lender to exercise its remedies, including foreclosing on ICP's assets and, in the case of the working capital deficiency or

successive losses, enforcing the plant closure provisions in the Limited Liability Company Agreement referred to above. These provisions relate to ICP having quarterly EBITDA losses that exceed \$500, EBITDA losses in three consecutive fiscal quarters equaling or exceeding \$1,500 in the aggregate or net working capital of less than \$2,500. During fiscal 2011, ICP experienced EBITDA losses in the quarters ended December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2011. An affiliate (sister company) of SEACOR Energy, Inc. waived these EBITDA losses through June 30, 2011.

Commodities risk. Commodity prices for certain raw materials used by the Company and prices for natural gas are subject to significant volatility. Grain and flour costs are a significant portion of the Company's costs of goods sold, and historically the cost of such raw materials is subject to substantial fluctuations depending upon a number of factors and over which the Company has no control, including crop conditions, weather, government programs and purchases by foreign governments. Such variations in costs have had and may continue to have, from time to time, significant effects on the results of the Company's operations. The Company expects to only purchase derivatives and enter contracts for future delivery only to protect margins on contracted, and a portion of spot market alcohol sales and expected ingredients sales. Management attempts to recover higher commodity costs experienced through higher sales prices, but market considerations may not always permit this, and even where prices can be adjusted, there would likely be a lag between when the Company incurred higher commodity and natural gas costs and when the Company might be able to increase prices. To the extent the Company does not enter such derivative contracts or contracts for future delivery and is also unable to timely pass increases in the costs of raw materials to customers under sales contracts, the Company may be adversely impacted by market fluctuations in the cost of grain and natural gas, particularly when such fluctuations are volatile.

Workforce subject to collective bargaining. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had 192 employees, 97 of whom are covered by collective bargaining agreements with one labor union. The agreement, which expires on August 31, 2014, covers employees at the Atchison Plant. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had 193 employees.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company's joint venture, ICP, had 64 employees, of which 37 were covered by a collective bargaining agreement with one labor union. This agreement expires on October 31, 2016. As of June 30, 2010, ICP had 61 employees.

NOTE 17: RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Information related to the Company's related party transactions is as follows:

Transactions with ICP and ICP Holdings

The Company has entered into various agreements with ICP and ICP Holdings including a Contribution Agreement, an LLC Interest Purchase Agreement, a Limited Liability Company Agreement and a Marketing Agreement. These agreements are further described in Note 3.

As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded \$6,166 and \$4,951, respectively of amounts due to ICP that is included in the *Accounts Payable to affiliate, net* caption on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet and purchased approximately \$57,482 and \$17,342 of product from ICP during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which is included in the *Cost of Sales* caption of the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Randy M. Schrick serves as the Vice President of Engineering of the Company and President of ICP.

Long term debt

At June 30, 2009, the Company had \$2,000 outstanding on a *7.00% Secured Promissory Note due March 2011 (as amended)*. The note was due to the Cloud L. Cray, Jr. Trust ("Cray Trust"). Mr. Cray, who is settlor and trustee of the Cray Trust, is a director of the Company and its principal stockholder with

an approximate 20 percent beneficial ownership interest in the common stock of the Company. At the time the loan to the Company was made, Mr. Cray was also a trustee of the voting trust which owned a controlling interest in the Company's preferred stock. On December 21, 2009, the Company paid \$2,101 to the Cray Trust in full payment of all amounts due under the note and obtained release of remaining liens.

On July 20, 2009, Union State Bank – Bank of Atchison (“Bank of Atchison”), which previously had loaned the Company \$1,500, agreed to loan the Company an additional \$2,000. The Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Newkirk, is a director of the Bank. At June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company had \$1,516 and \$1,783 outstanding, respectively on a 6.00% Secured Promissory Note, due monthly to July 2016.

On April 15, 2009, the Company borrowed \$2,800 from Exchange National Bank & Trust Co. of Atchison. At June 30, 2009, the Company had \$2,768 outstanding on 7% Secured Promissory Note due July 2010 (as amended). Ladd Seaberg, the Company's former Chairman of the Board, son-in-law of Mr. Cloud L. Cray, Jr., spouse to a member of the Board of Directors and a voting trustee of the voting trust, is a director on the Exchange National Bank & Trust Co. of Atchison's board. On November 20, 2009, the Company repaid the remaining balance of \$2,811 from proceeds of the sale of a 50% interest in ICP in full satisfaction of its obligations under this loan and obtained release of the related liens.

Consulting contract

The Company had a consulting contract with Ladd Seaberg, its former Chairman of the Board, who is also the son-in-law of Mr. Cloud L. Cray, Jr., spouse of a member of the Board of Directors and a voting trustee of the voting trust. Under the contract, \$250 was payable annually in exchange for consulting services. The contract expired June 14, 2011.

NOTE 18: RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Adopted by the Company in fiscal 2011

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

June 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-17, *Consolidations (ASC 810) – Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities* (“ASU 2009-17”). ASU 2009-17 provides new guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities (“VIE”) in response to concerns about the application of certain key provisions of pre-existing guidance, including those regarding the transparency of the involvement with a VIE. Specifically, ASU 2009-17 requires a qualitative approach to identifying a controlling financial interest in a VIE and requires ongoing assessment of whether an entity is a VIE and whether an interest in a VIE makes the holder the primary beneficiary of the VIE. In addition, ASU 2009-17 requires additional disclosures about the involvement with a VIE and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. ASU 2009-17 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company adopted the new guidance effective July 1, 2010 and it did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Not yet adopted by the Company

Proposed Amendments to Current Accounting Standards

The FASB is currently working on amendments to existing accounting standards governing a number of areas including, but not limited to, accounting for leases. In August 2010, the FASB issued an exposure draft, “Leases” (the “Exposure Draft”), which would replace the existing guidance in ASC 840 – *Leases*. Under the Exposure Draft, among other changes in practice, a lessee's rights and obligations under all leases, including existing and new arrangements, would be recognized as assets and liabilities, respectively, on the balance sheet. Subsequent to the end of the related comment period, the FASB made several amendments to the exposure draft, including revising the definition of the “lease term” to include the non-cancelable lease term plus only those option periods for which there is significant economic incentive for

the lessee to extend or not terminate the lease. The FASB also redefined the initial lease liability to be recorded on the Company's balance sheet to contemplate only those variable lease payments that are in substance "fixed". The final standard is expected to be issued in the second half of 2011. When and if effective, this proposed standard will likely have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. However, as the standard-setting process is still ongoing, the Company is unable to determine the impact this proposed change in accounting will have on its consolidated financial statements at this time.

Presentation of Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income* ("ASU 2011-05"), which was issued to enhance comparability between entities that report under U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards, and to provide a more consistent method of presenting non-owner transactions that affect an entity's equity. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of changes in stockholders' equity and requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This pronouncement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, which corresponds to the Company's first quarter of fiscal 2012. Early adoption of the new guidance is permitted and full retrospective application is required. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the provisions of this pronouncement will have on its financial statements.

NOTE 19: QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

	2011			
	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter	First Quarter
	(In thousands, except per share data amounts)			
Net sales	\$ 68,798	\$ 64,188	\$ 57,951	\$ 56,978
Cost of sales	71,586	57,669	49,159	46,624
Gross profit	(2,788)	6,519	8,792	10,354
Selling, general and administrative	4,880	5,690	4,360	6,227
Other operating costs	176	-	55	273
Loss (gain) on sale of assets	-	-	33	289
Other restructuring costs	249	-	-	-
Income (loss) from operations	(8,093)	829	4,344	3,565
Other income (expense), net	2	3	-	3
Interest expense	-	(92)	(141)	(125)
Equity in earnings (loss) of joint ventures	(2,296)	124	(957)	1,589
Income (loss) before income taxes	(10,387)	864	3,246	5,032
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(129)	163	4	30
Net income (loss)	\$ (10,258)	\$ 701	\$ 3,242	\$ 5,002
Per Share Data(i)(ii)				
Total basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (0.58)	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.28
Total diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (0.58)	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.28
Dividends per Common Share	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 0.05
Stock price ranges:				
Common				
-High	\$ 9.00	\$ 11.06	\$ 11.90	\$ 8.15
-Low	\$ 7.75	\$ 7.90	\$ 8.14	\$ 6.46

- (i) The Company adopted ASC 260 Earnings Per Share (formerly FSP-EITF 03-6-1) – *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* effective July 1, 2009. The impacts for the non-vested restricted shares, which constitute a separate class of stock for accounting purposes, did not have a material impact and the Company did not apply the two class method in fiscal 2010. In conjunction with the declaration of the dividend in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company reassessed its earnings per share calculation policy and determined to present the two-class method prospectively.
- (ii) Total basic and diluted losses per common share do not equal the annual amounts of (\$0.07) and (\$0.07), respectively, due to rounding.

	2010(i)(ii)			
	Fourth	Third	Second	First
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
	(In thousands, except per share data amounts)			
Net sales	\$ 54,359	\$ 49,269	\$ 48,094	\$ 50,249
Cost of sales	47,129	44,302	39,584	40,412
Gross profit	7,230	4,967	8,510	9,837
Selling, general and administrative	6,033	5,075	5,004	4,596
Other operating costs (iii)	245	521	455	797
Loss (gain) on joint venture formation	(753)		3,047	-
Gain on sale of assets (iii)	(1,031)	-	(500)	(200)
Income (loss) from operations	2,736	(629)	504	4,644
Other income, net	621	1	2	21
Interest expense	(151)	(280)	(537)	(789)
Equity in earnings (loss) of joint ventures	(734)	(1,541)	150	(48)
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,472	(2,449)	119	3,828
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(4)	(195)	(4,659)	90
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,476	\$ (2,254)	\$ 4,778	\$ 3,738
Per Share Data (iv)(v)				
Total basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.23
Total diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ 0.14	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.22
Dividends per Common Share	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Stock price ranges:				
Common				
-High	\$ 8.62	\$ 7.78	\$ 9.62	\$ 4.39
-Low	\$ 5.75	\$ 6.36	\$ 3.91	\$ 2.29

(i) Refer to Note 1 for discussion of out-of-period adjustments.

(ii) Net income for the fourth quarter includes a \$753 out-of-period adjustment related to a partial settlement and a curtailment of the other post-retirement plan which was a favorable impact to pretax income. Had this adjustment been recorded in the proper quarter, pretax income would have been favorably impacted by \$753 for the second quarter of fiscal 2010. This adjustment reduced the loss on joint venture formation recorded during the second quarter of fiscal 2010 from \$3,047 to \$2,294.

(iii) The first quarter results include a reclassification of \$200 from other operating costs to gain on sale of assets.

(iv) Total basic and diluted losses per common share do not equal the annual amounts of \$0.52 and \$0.51, respectively, due to rounding.

(v) The Company adopted ASC 260 Earnings Per Share (formerly FSP-EITF 03-6-1) – *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* effective July 1, 2009. The impacts for the non-vested restricted shares, which constitute a separate class of stock for accounting purposes, did not have a material impact and the Company did not apply the two class method in fiscal 2010.

NOTE 20: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Effective July 1, 2011, the Company elected to restart hedge accounting for qualifying commodity futures and options derivative contracts entered into after this date.

On August 25, 2011 the Company elected to change its fiscal year end from June 30 to December 31. The change will be effective at the start of calendar 2012. The Company will file a transition report for the period beginning July 1, 2011 and ending December 31, 2011 on Form 10-K.

On August 25, 2011, the Company made an award of 256,000 shares of restricted stock (non-vested shares) with a fair value of \$5.85 per share under the Company's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. The value of those shares at the grant date aggregated \$1,498.

On August 25, 2011 the Board of Directors approved a dividend of \$0.05 per common share. The dividend will be paid on October 13, 2011 to common stockholder of record on September 15, 2011.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the fiscal year, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have each reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have each concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in such reports is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROLS

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and our registered public accounting firm's attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting can be found under Item 8.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15 that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect MGP Ingredients, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Incorporated by reference to the information under *Election of Directors* at pages 3 to 6 of the Proxy Statement, the information relating to the Audit Committee in the first paragraph of *Certain Information Concerning The Board And Its Committees – Standing Committees; Meetings; Independence* at page 8 of the Proxy Statement and in the second paragraph of *Certain Information Concerning The Board And Its Committees – Audit Committee* at page 9 of the Proxy Statement, and *Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance* at page 29 of the Proxy Statement.

The Company has adopted a code of ethics that applies to all its employees, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller or persons performing similar functions. A copy is filed as an exhibit to this report.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Incorporated by reference to the information in *Executive Compensation and Other Information*, at pages 12-27 of the Proxy Statement, the information relating to the Human Resources and Compensation Committee in the first paragraph of *Certain Information Concerning The Board And Its Committees – Standing Committees; Meetings; Independence* at page 8 of the Proxy Statement and *Certain Information concerning the Board and its Committees – Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation* and *Human Resources and Compensation Committee Report* at page 12 of the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Incorporated by reference to the information under *Principal Stockholders* on pages 27 to 29 of the Proxy Statement.

The following is a summary of securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans as of June 30, 2011:

	(A) Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(B) Weighted-average of exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(C) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (*))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	63,100	\$ 6.35	1,688,168
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	63,100	\$ 6.35	1,688,168

(*) Of these securities, as of June 30, 2011, 1,600,579 shares may also be issued as performance or restricted stock awards under the terms of the Stock Incentive Plan of 2004 and 87,589 may be issued as restricted stock awards under the terms of the Directors' Stock Plan.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Incorporated by reference to the information in the third paragraph under *Certain Information Concerning the Board and its Committees – Standing Committees; Meetings; Independence* on page 9 of the Proxy Statement and to the information under *Related Transactions* on pages 29 to 30 of the Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Incorporated by reference to the information under *Audit and Certain Other Fees Paid Accountants* on pages 30 to 31 of the Proxy Statement.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- (a) The following financial statements are filed as part of this report:

KPMG LLPs Report on Financial Statements.
Consolidated Statements of Operations – for the Three Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009.
Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) – for the Three Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and 2009.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow – for the Three Years Ended June 30, 2011, 2010 and June 30, 2009.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- (b) Financial Statement Schedules:

II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts.

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the information is contained in the Consolidated Financial Statement or notes thereto.

- (c) Separate Financial Statements of Subsidiaries Not Consolidated

The following financial statements of Illinois Corn Processing, LLC are as follows:

Independent Auditors' Report

Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009

Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period November 20, 2009 (Inception) to December 31, 2009

Statements of Changes in Members' Equity for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period November 20, 2009 (Inception) to December 31, 2009

Statements of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period November 20, 2009 (Inception) to December 31, 2009

Independent Auditors' Report

The Board of Advisors

Illinois Corn Processing LLC:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Illinois Corn Processing LLC as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of operations, changes in members' equity and comprehensive loss, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2010, and for the period November 20, 2009 (Inception) through December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Illinois Corn Processing LLC as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2010, and for the period November 20, 2009 (Inception) through December 31, 2009 in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

KPMG LLP

June 24, 2011

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Balance Sheets

Assets	December 31,	
	2010	2009
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 2,607	\$ 2,000
Margin deposits	1,631	—
Trade receivables:		
Due from affiliates	4,454	58
Due from nonaffiliates	1,289	36
Deposits	3,473	—
Inventories	14,373	2,300
Derivative assets	1,241	—
Prepaid expenses	718	337
Total current assets	29,786	4,731
Property and equipment	34,239	29,064
Accumulated depreciation	(5,478)	(199)
Net property and equipment	28,761	28,865
	<u>\$ 58,547</u>	<u>\$ 33,596</u>
Liabilities and Members' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt:		
Due to SEACOR	\$ 1,053	\$ 789
Due to nonaffiliates	1,205	—
Accounts payable:		
Due to affiliates	754	1,693
Due to nonaffiliates	4,963	669
Accrued wages and benefits	461	72
Accrued interest:		
Due to SEACOR	76	7
Due to nonaffiliates	142	—
Accrued property taxes	192	21
Derivative liabilities	2,486	—
Total current liabilities	11,332	3,251
Long-term debt:		
Due to SEACOR	16,024	1,211
Due to nonaffiliates	1,840	—
Accumulated post-retirement benefits	416	—
Total liabilities	29,612	4,462
Members' equity:		
Contributed capital	32,000	30,000
Accumulated deficit	(2,723)	(866)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(342)	—
Total members' equity	28,935	29,134
	<u>\$ 58,547</u>	<u>\$ 33,596</u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC
Statements of Operations

	Year ended December 31, 2010	November 20, 2009 (inception) to December 31, 2009
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Net sales	\$ 120,380	\$ 33
Cost of sales:		
Finished goods	110,686	588
Derivative losses, net	3,977	—
Gross profit (loss)	5,717	(555)
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	1,400	105
Depreciation	5,279	199
Gains on asset dispositions	40	—
Operating loss	(922)	(859)
Interest expense:		
SEACOR	(783)	(7)
Nonaffiliates	(152)	—
Net loss	<u>\$ (1,857)</u>	<u>\$ (866)</u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC
Statements of Changes in Members' Equity
(in thousands)

	Contributed Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total	Comprehensive Loss
November 20, 2009 (inception)	\$ 30,000	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 30,000	
Net loss	—	(866)	—	(866)	\$ (866)
December 31, 2009	30,000	(866)	—	29,134	<u>\$ (866)</u>
Contribution of capital	2,000	—	—	2,000	
Net loss	—	(1,857)	—	(1,857)	\$ (1,857)
Postretirement benefit obligation	—	—	(342)	(342)	(342)
December 31, 2010	<u>\$ 32,000</u>	<u>\$ (2,723)</u>	<u>\$ (342)</u>	<u>\$ 28,935</u>	<u>\$ (2,199)</u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC
Statements of Cash Flows

	Year ended December 31, 2010	November 20, 2009 (inception) to December 31, 2009
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (1,857)	\$ (866)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation	5,279	199
Postretirement benefit expense	74	—
Gains on disposition of assets	(40)	—
Derivative losses, net	3,977	—
Cash settlements on derivative transactions, net	(2,732)	—
Increase in margin deposits	(1,631)	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(5,649)	(94)
Inventories	(12,085)	(2,300)
Prepaid expenses and deposits	(3,854)	(337)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	4,126	2,461
Net cash used in operating activities	(14,392)	(937)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(5,163)	(29,063)
Proceeds from disposition of property and equipment	40	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,123)	(29,063)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Capital contributions from members	2,000	30,000
Proceeds from equipment financing and other long-term debt	3,308	—
Principal payments on equipment financing and other long-term debt	(263)	—
Proceeds from SEACOR term loan	8,000	2,000
Principal payments on SEACOR term loan	(2,223)	—
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	28,700	—
Principal payments on revolving credit facility	(19,400)	—
Net cash provided by financing activities	20,122	32,000
Increase in Cash	607	2,000
Cash, Beginning of Year	2,000	—
Cash, End of Year	\$ 2,607	\$ 2,000
Supplemental information:		
Interest paid	\$ 734	\$ —
See accompanying notes to financial statements.		

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(1) Nature of Operations and Accounting Policies

(a) *Nature of Operations*

Illinois Corn Processing LLC (the Company) consists of two members, Illinois Corn Processing Holdings Inc. and MGP Ingredients, Inc. (MGP). Illinois Corn Processing Holdings Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of SEACOR Energy Group Inc. (along with its other majority-owned subsidiaries and SEACOR Holdings Inc. and its majority-owned subsidiaries, collectively referred to as SEACOR). The Company was formed on November 20, 2009 (Inception) through MGP's contribution of a previously idled manufacturing plant and the sale of a 50% interest to SEACOR for \$15.0 million in cash. Capital contributions, distributions, and allocations of net income or loss are made based on each member's proportionate share of ownership, and the liability of the members is limited to their investment in the Company.

Upon formation of the Company, SEACOR provided funding to the Company through a \$10.0 million term loan with a maturity in November 2014 and a \$20.0 million revolving credit facility with a maturity in November 2012, subject to certain borrowing restrictions, both of which are secured by all of the assets of the Company (see Note 4).

The Company is in the business of manufacturing alcohol for beverage, industrial, and fuel applications. The finished goods are sold exclusively to MGP and SEACOR in accordance with marketing agreements between the Company, MGP, and SEACOR. Certain coproducts and by-products of the manufacturing process are sold to other unrelated third parties.

(b) *Use of Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Such estimates include those related to the allowance for doubtful accounts, impairments, certain accrued liabilities, and postretirement benefit obligations. Actual results could differ from those estimates and those differences may be material.

(c) *Subsequent Events*

The Company has performed an evaluation of subsequent events through June 24, 2011, the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

(d) *Revenue Recognition*

The Company recognizes revenue when it is realized or realizable and earned. Revenue is realized or realizable and earned when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenue that does not meet these criteria is deferred until the criteria are met.

The Company earns revenues from the sale of alcohol, coproducts, and by-products. Revenues and related costs from these sales are recorded when title transfers to the buyer.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(1) Nature of Operations and Accounting Policies *(continued)*

(e) Trade Receivables

The Company's primary customers are its two members. Customers are granted credit on a short-term basis and credit risks are considered minimal. The Company routinely reviews its trade receivables and makes provisions for doubtful accounts based on existing customer and economic conditions; however, those provisions are estimates and actual results could differ from those estimates and those differences may be material. Trade receivables are deemed uncollectible and removed from accounts receivable and the allowance for doubtful accounts when collection efforts have been exhausted. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had no allowance for doubtful accounts.

(f) Margin Deposits

The Company's margin deposits consist of cash on deposit with its futures commission merchant in support of its open derivative contracts (see Note 3). The amount of margin deposit required to be maintained varies and is based on the number of open derivative contracts and the fair value of those contracts. As of December 31, 2010, the Company's margin deposits exceeded its net derivative liability by \$0.4 million.

(g) Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (using the first-in, first-out method) or market. Inventories consist of finished goods (alcohol), raw materials in the form of agricultural commodities used in the production process, and certain spares for the manufacturing and production facility.

As of December 31, the Company's inventories consisted of the following (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Raw materials	\$ 3,831	\$ 318
Finished goods	8,981	981
Work in process	1,405	77
Maintenance materials	980	924
Lower of cost or market reserve	(824)	—
	<u>\$ 14,373</u>	<u>\$ 2,300</u>

(h) Derivative Instruments

The Company accounts for derivatives through the use of a fair value concept whereby all of the Company's derivative positions are stated at fair value in the accompanying balance sheets. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives are reported in the accompanying statements of operations as derivative gains (losses), net. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had not designated any of its derivative instruments as fair value or cash flow hedges.

(i) Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Company is exposed to concentrations of credit risk associated with its cash and cash equivalents, raw material purchase commitments, and derivative instruments. The Company minimizes its credit risk relating to these positions by monitoring the financial condition of the financial institutions and counterparties involved.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(1) Nature of Operations and Accounting Policies *(continued)*

and by primarily conducting business with large, well-established financial institutions and diversifying its counterparties. The Company does not currently anticipate nonperformance by any of its significant counterparties. The Company is also exposed to concentrations of credit risk relating to its receivables due from customers as described above. The Company does not generally require collateral or other security to support its outstanding receivables. The Company minimizes its credit risk relating to receivables by performing ongoing credit evaluations and, to date, credit losses have not been material.

(j) *Property and Equipment*

Equipment, stated at cost, is depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset to an estimated salvage value.

As of December 31, 2010, the estimated useful life (in years) of each of the Company's newly constructed major asset classes was as follows:

Warehouse, buildings, and improvements	25
Machinery and equipment	5 – 20

The Company's major classes of property and equipment as of December 31 were as follows (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Land	\$ 1,100	\$ 2,500
Warehouses, buildings, and improvements	3,533	4,065
Machinery and equipment	29,315	22,499
Construction in progress	291	—
	<u>\$ 34,239</u>	<u>\$ 29,064</u>

Depreciation expense totaled \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and \$0.2 million for the period Inception through December 31, 2009.

Equipment maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(1) Nature of Operations and Accounting Policies *(continued)*

(k) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company performs an impairment analysis of long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present. If the carrying values of the assets are not recoverable, as determined by the estimated undiscounted cash flows, the carrying values of the assets are reduced to fair value. Generally, fair value is determined using valuation techniques, such as expected discounted cash flows or appraisals, as appropriate. During the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period Inception through December 31, 2009, the Company recognized no impairment charges related to long-lived assets held for use.

(l) Income Taxes

The income or loss of the Company is included in the taxable income or loss of its individual members, and therefore, no provision for income taxes is included in the accompanying financial statements.

(m) Postretirement Benefit Plan

The Company sponsors a postretirement benefit plan that provides life insurance and medical benefits to certain retired employees (see Note 5). The Company periodically measures the obligation for this plan using actuarial techniques that reflect management's assumptions for certain factors that impact the determination of the obligation and recognizes an asset or liability in the accompanying balance sheets based on the funded status of the plan. The Company's obligation under this plan was unfunded as of December 31, 2010.

(n) Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss is the total of net loss and all other changes in equity of an enterprise that result from transactions and other economic events of a reporting period other than transactions with owners. The Company has chosen to disclose comprehensive loss in the accompanying statements of changes in equity. The Company's other comprehensive loss comprises changes in postretirement benefit obligations.

(2) Fair Value Measurements

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell an asset or transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The Company utilizes a fair value hierarchy that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value and defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value. *Level 1* inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. *Level 2* inputs are observable inputs other than quoted prices included in *Level 1* that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, or inputs derived from observable market data. *Level 3* inputs are unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(2) Fair Value Measurements *(continued)*

The Company's financial assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2010 that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:			
Derivative instruments	\$ 1,241	\$ —	\$ —
Liabilities:			
Derivative instruments	\$ 2,486	\$ —	\$ —

The estimated fair value of the Company's other financial assets and liabilities as of December 31 was as follows (in thousands).

	2010 Carrying amount	Estimated fair value	2009 Carrying amount	Estimated fair value
Assets:				
Cash	\$ 2,607	2,607	\$ 2,000	2,000
Margin Deposits	1,631	1,631	—	—
Liabilities:				
Long-term debt, including current portion	20,122	20,258	2,000	2,000

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents and margin deposits approximates fair value. For certain of the Company's long-term debt carrying value approximates fair value as the interest rate is variable. The fair value of the Company's other long-term debt was estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on estimated current rates for similar types of arrangements. Considerable judgment was required in developing certain of the estimates of fair value, and accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(3) **Derivative Instruments and Hedging Strategies**

Derivative instruments are classified as either assets or liabilities based on their individual fair values. Derivative assets and liabilities are included in derivative assets and derivative liabilities, respectively, in the accompanying balance sheets. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company had not designated any of its derivative activities as hedging instruments. The fair values of the Company's derivative instruments as of December 31 were as follows (in thousands):

	2010		2009	
	Derivative asset	Derivative liability	Derivative asset	Derivative liability
Exchange-traded commodity swap and future contracts:				
Corn	\$ 617	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Natural gas	68	—	—	—
Ethanol	556	2,486	—	—
	<u>\$ 1,241</u>	<u>\$ 2,486</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The Company recognized gains (losses) on derivative instruments for the periods ended December 31 as follows (in thousands):

	Derivative gains (losses), net	
	2010	2009
Exchange-traded commodity swap and future contracts:		
Corn	\$ 4,704	\$ —
Natural gas	(1,343)	—
Ethanol	(7,338)	—
	<u>\$ (3,977)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The Company has entered into and settled positions in various exchange-traded commodity swap and future contracts (primarily corn, natural gas, and ethanol) to protect its inventories from market changes and to provide value to the Company should there be a sustained decline in the price of commodities that could lead to a reduction in the cash flows of the Company.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(4) Long-Term Debt

The Company's borrowings as of December 31 were as follows (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Term loan (due to SEACOR)	\$ 7,777	\$ 2,000
Revolving credit facility (due to SEACOR)	9,300	—
Equipment financing and other (due to nonaffiliates)	3,045	—
	20,122	2,000
Portion due within one year	(2,258)	(789)
	<u>\$ 17,864</u>	<u>\$ 1,211</u>

The Company's long-term debt maturities for the years ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ 2,258
2012	11,248
2013	1,998
2014	4,618
	<u>\$ 20,122</u>

(a) Term Loan

On November 20, 2009, upon formation of the Company, SEACOR provided funding to the Company through a \$10.0 million term loan (the Term Loan) with a maturity in November 2014 secured by all of the Company's assets. Interest on the Term Loan is equal to the 30-day LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 550 basis points and resets monthly. Beginning June 30, 2010, minimum principal payments are due quarterly as defined. Additional principal payments are required to be made quarterly, also beginning June 30, 2010, based on minimum earnings requirements, as defined. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company made scheduled minimum principal payments of \$0.8 million and additional principal payments of \$1.4 million. For the quarters ended December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2010, the Company was not in compliance with a loan covenant requiring the Company's quarterly EBITDA, as defined, not to exceed a \$0.5 million loss. The Company did not meet the quarterly EBITDA covenant for the periods indicated due to a longer than anticipated start-up period for the idled manufacturing facility. The Company received a waiver from SEACOR for the two quarters it was not in compliance and has been in compliance with that covenant for each quarter ended subsequent to March 31, 2010.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(4) Long-Term Debt *(continued)*

(b) Revolving Credit Facility

On November 20, 2009, upon formation of the Company, SEACOR also provided funding to the Company through a \$20.0 million revolving credit facility (the Revolver) with a maturity in November 2012, subject to certain borrowing restrictions and secured by all of the Company's assets. The amount available for borrowing at any given time is determined by formula based on the current outstanding balance, the amount of the Company's outstanding accounts receivable balance, and the carrying value of its inventories. Interest on the Revolver is equal to the 30-day LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 550 basis points and resets monthly. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company made net borrowings on the Revolver of \$9.3 million, and as of December 31, 2010, \$3.1 million of availability remained under the Revolver.

(c) Equipment Financing

On January 29, 2010, the Company secured \$2.7 million in equipment financing (the Equipment Financing), with a maturity in January 2013, for the acquisition of certain equipment used in the Company's manufacturing process. The Equipment Financing requires three annual principal and interest payments of \$1.0 million each beginning in January 2011 through 2013. The imputed interest rate on the Equipment Financing is 5.73% per annum. As of December 31, 2010, the outstanding balance on the Equipment Financing was \$2.7 million.

(d) Covenants

The term loan and revolver also have covenants including among other matters provisions that specify (i) ICP shall not suffer three consecutive fiscal quarters of EBITDA losses (as defined) equaling or exceeding \$1.5 million in the aggregate; or (ii) at any time have net working capital less than \$2.5 million. In the event EBITDA losses were incurred in three consecutive quarters either MGP or Seacor would have the right to shut down the plant and amounts due under the term loan and revolver immediately become due and payable.

(e) Other

The Company's other obligation consists of insurance financing and is payable through 2011. As of December 31, 2010, the outstanding balance on the insurance financing was \$0.4 million.

(5) Benefit Plans

(a) Savings Plan

The Company provides a defined contribution plan to its employees (the Savings Plan). The Company's contribution to the Savings Plan is limited to 7% of certain employee's salary, regardless of their contribution to the plan while other employees are not eligible for a matching contribution. The Company's Savings Plan costs were \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and not material for the period Inception through December 31, 2009.

(b) Postretirement Benefit Plan

During 2010, the Company established a contributory qualified postretirement benefit plan (the Benefit Plan) that provides life insurance and certain medical benefits, including prescription drug coverage, to certain eligible retired employees. Contributions are adjusted annually and the plan contains fixed deductibles, coinsurance, and out-of-pocket limitations.

The Benefit Plan's liabilities are unfunded as it is the Company's policy to fund benefits payable as they are due. No employees are currently in the Benefit Plan, no employees are expected to become eligible during 2011, and the Company does not expect to contribute to the Benefit Plan during 2011. The Company's measurement date for the Benefit Plan is December 31.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(5) **Benefit Plans (continued)**

The change in the accumulated benefit obligation for the Company's Benefit Plan for the year ended December 31, 2010 was as follows (in thousands):

	Accumulated benefit obligation
Beginning of year	\$ —
Service cost	19
Interest cost	23
Actuarial loss	16
Unrecognized prior service cost	358
End of year	<u>\$ 416</u>

In order to estimate the Company's accumulated benefit obligation, it makes certain assumptions. The accumulated benefit obligation as of December 31, 2010 assumed a discount rate of 5.41%.

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the Company's Benefit Plan for the year ended December 31, 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

	Net periodic benefit cost
Service cost	\$ 19
Interest cost	23
Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost	32
	<u>\$ 74</u>

In order to estimate the Company's net periodic benefit cost, it makes certain assumptions. The net periodic benefit cost for the year ended December 31, 2010 assumed a discount rate of 6.23%, a healthcare cost trend rate of 9.0%, and an ultimate healthcare cost trend rate of 5.0%. The Company further assumed the healthcare cost trend rate would be achieved in 2018. A one-percentage-point increase (decrease) in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate as of December 31, 2010 would not have had a material impact on the accumulated benefit obligation or the service and interest cost.

The Company further assumed the average service time to full eligibility was approximately eleven years and is amortizing its unrecognized prior service cost over that period.

During 2011, the Company estimates the amount of accumulated other comprehensive loss expected to be recognized as net periodic benefit cost to be approximately \$33,000 and the total net periodic benefit cost to be approximately \$78,000, in the aggregate.

ILLINOIS CORN PROCESSING LLC

Notes to Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009

(5) Benefit Plans (continued)

The amount of expected benefits to be paid, net of retiree contributions, as of December 31, 2010 was as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ —
2012	—
2013	9
2014	22
2015	45
2016 – 2020	230
	<u>\$ 306</u>

(6) Related-Party Transactions

Certain of the Company's personnel are employees of SEACOR or MGP. The costs and expenses for these personnel are included in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the statements of operations and consist of direct wage and benefit costs. For the year ended December 31, 2010 and the period Inception through December 31, 2009, these costs totaled \$0.6 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

In addition, the Company has entered into various debt agreements with SEACOR (see Note 4) and 81% of the Company's net sales are to the Company's two members. During the year ended December 31, 2010, net sales to SEACOR and MGP were \$40.6 million and \$56.5 million, respectively. No sales were made to the Company's two members during the period Inception through December 31, 2009. The loss of one of the Company's members as a customer would have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

(7) Commitments and Contingencies

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had purchase commitments of \$6.7 million for raw materials, primarily corn, for use in its manufacturing process and capital commitments of \$0.3 million for various capital improvements to its manufacturing facility.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had 62 employees, 39 of whom are covered by a collective bargaining agreement with one labor union. Subsequent to December 31, 2010, the Company and the labor union agreed to a new labor contract that will expire October 31, 2016. The labor contract addresses predetermined wage escalation over the life of the agreement, changes to the Company's contribution to its 401(k) plan in 2012, and other general work rule provisions and covers substantially all of the employees at the Company's manufacturing plant.

(d) The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are set forth in the Exhibit Index below.

EXHIBIT LIST

- 2 Asset Purchase Agreement between the Company and Sergeants Pet Care Products, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of the Company, as amended (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004 (File number 0-17196))
- *3.2 Bylaws of the Company
- 4.1 Credit and Security Agreement dated July 21, 2009 between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and Revolving Note (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.1.1 Patent and Trademark Security Agreement dated as of July 21, 2009 between the Company. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.1 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.1.2 Assignment of Membership Interests dated as of July 21, 2009 between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, relating to Firebird Acquisitions, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.1.3 Stock Pledge Agreement dated as of July 21, 2009 between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, relating to stock of Midwest Grain Pipeline, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.3 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.1.4 Control Agreement and Assignment of Hedging Account among Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, the Company and ADM Investor Services, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.4 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.1.5 Form of Mortgage relating to the Company's Onaga plant in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.6 below, which was filed in the same form in Pottawatomie County, Kansas)

- 4.1.6 Amended and Restated Mortgage, Assignment of Rents and Leases, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing dated as of August 31, 2009 relating to the Company's Atchison facility in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.6 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.1.7 Form of Mortgage relating to a tract of land owned by the Company in Wyandotte County, Kansas in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, national Association (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.6 above, which was filed in the same form in Wyandotte County, Kansas)
- 4.1.8 Consent and Release dated August 19, 2009 between Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and the Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.9 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.1.9 Consent and Release dated December 21, 2009, between Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and the Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.9 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended December 31, 2009).
- 4.1.10 Consent dated December 31, 2009 from Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.10 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended December 31, 2009).
- 4.1.11 Assignment of Membership Interest to Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.11 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended December 31, 2009).
- 4.1.12 Consent dated February 2, 2010 from Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.12 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 31, 2010).
- 4.1.13 Leasehold Mortgage, Assignment of Leases and Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing dated February 15, 2010 to Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, relating to the Company's Executive Office Building & Technical Center in Atchison, Kansas (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.13 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 31, 2010).
- 4.1.14 Bond Pledge and Security Agreement dated February 15, 2010 by and among the Company, Commerce Bank, as Trustee and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association relating to City of Atchison, Kansas, \$7,000,000 original principal amount of Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 (MGP Ingredients, Inc. Project) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1.14 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 31, 2010).
- 4.1.15 First Amendment to Credit and Security Agreement dated June 30, 2010 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 7, 2010 (File No. 0-07196))

- 4.1.16 Second Amendment to Credit and Security Agreement, dated January 20, 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2010 (File number 0-17196)).
- 4.2 Commercial Security Agreement from the Company to Union State Bank of Everest dated March 31, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5.2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.2.1 Amendment to Commercial Security Agreement dated as of July 20, 2009 between the Company and Union State Bank of Everest (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5.3 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.3 Promissory Note dated July 20, 2009 from the Company to Union State Bank of Everest in the initial principal amount of \$2,000,000 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.3.1 Commercial Security Agreement dated July 20, 2009 from the Company to Union State Bank of Everest of Everest relating to equipment at Atchison Plant and Onaga plant (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6.1 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.3.2 Mortgage dated July 20, 2009 from the Company to Union State Bank of Everest relating to the Atchison plant (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6.2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.4 Intercreditor Agreement between Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and Union State Bank of Everest (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.5 Trust Indenture Dated as of December 28, 2006 relating to \$7,000,000 Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds Series 2006 (MGP Ingredients Project (Incorporated by Reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended December 31, 2006 (File number 0-17196))
- 4.6 Lease dated as of December 28, 2006 between the City of Atchison, as Issuer and MGP Ingredients, Inc., as tenant relating to \$7,000,000 Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds Series 2006 (MGP Ingredients Project (Incorporated by Reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended December 31, 2006 (File number 0-17196))
- *4.7 Master Lease Agreement dated as of June 28, 2011 between U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc. and the Company and related bill of sale and Schedules #001-0018787-001 and 1166954-001-0018787-001
- *4.7.1 Mortgagee's Waiver executed by Union State Bank of Everest

*4.7.2	Mortgagee's Waiver and lien release executed by Wells Fargo Bank National Association
4.8	In accordance with Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K, certain instruments respecting long-term debt of the Registrant have been omitted but will be furnished to the Commission upon request.
9.1	Copy of Cray Family Trust (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 of Amendment No. 1 to Schedule 13D of Cloud L. Cray, Jr. dated November 18, 1994))
9.2	First Amendment to Cray Family Trust dated November 13, 1980 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 9.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2005 (File number 0-17196))
9.3	Voting Trust Agreement dated as of November 16, 2005 among Cloud L. Cray, Jr., Richard B. Cray and Laidacker M. Seaberg, as trustees of the Cray Family Trust and Cloud L. Cray, Jr., Richard B. Cray and Laidacker M. Seaberg, as trustees (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 9.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2005 (File number 0-17196))
9.4	First Amendment to Voting Trust Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 9.4 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 20, 2010 (File number 0-17196))
10.1	Summary of informal cash bonus plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 20, 2004 (File number 0-17196))
10.2	Copy of MGP Ingredients, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan of 1996, as amended as of August 26, 1996 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company's Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement filed September 17, 1996))
10.3	Copy of amendment to MGP Ingredients, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan of 1996 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (File number 0-17196))
10.4	Form of Stock Option with respect to stock options granted under the MGP Ingredients, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan of 1996 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(e) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 1996 (File number 0-17196))
10.5	Copy of MGP Ingredients, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors, as amended as of August 26, 1996 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit B to the Company's Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement filed September 17, 1996))
10.6	Copy of amendment to MGP Ingredients, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (File number 0-17196))

- 10.7 Copy of MGP Ingredients, Inc. 1998 Stock Incentive Plan for Salaried Employees (Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement dated September 17, 1998, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 15, 1998))
- 10.8 Form of Stock Option with respect to stock options granted under the MGP Ingredients, Inc. 1998 Stock Incentive Plan for Salaried Employees (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(e) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 1996 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.9 Copy of amendments to Options granted under MGP Ingredients, Inc. Stock Option Plans (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.10 Form of Option Agreement for the grant of Options under the MGP Ingredients, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors, as amended (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.11 Form of Amended Option Agreements for the grant of Options under the MGP Ingredients, Inc. 1998 Stock Incentive Plan for Salaried Employees (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.12 Form of Option Agreement for the grant of Options under the MGP Ingredients, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan of 1996, as amended (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.13 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement approved on December 7, 2000, for use thereafter under the Stock Incentive Plan of 1996 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.14 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement approved on December 7, 2000 for use thereafter under the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan for Salaried Employees (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.15 Form of Memorandum of Agreement Concerning Options approved on December 7, 2000 between the Company and certain members of senior management, including the following named executive officer: Randall M. Schrick (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2000 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.16 Form of Memorandum of Agreement Concerning Options approved on December 10, 2001 between the Company and certain members of senior management, including the following named executive officer: Randall M. Schrick (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Company's form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2001 (File number 0-17196))

- 10.17 Stock Incentive Plan of 2004, as amended (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 20, 2010 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.18 Guidelines for Issuance of Fiscal 2005 Restricted Share Awards (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended December 31, 2004 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.19 Agreement with Ladd M. Seaberg as to Award of Restricted Shares Granted under the Stock Incentive Plan of 2004 (A similar agreement has been made with the following named executive officer as to the number of shares indicated: Randy M. Schrick – 7,000 shares (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended December 31, 2004 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.20 Guidelines for Issuance of Fiscal 2006 Restricted Share Awards (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.21 Agreement with Ladd M. Seaberg as to Award of Restricted Shares Granted under the Stock Incentive Plan of 2004 (A similar agreement has been made with the following named executive officer as to the number of shares indicated: Randy M. Schrick – 13, 500 shares) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.22 Consent Agreement between the Registrant and the Kansas Department of Health and Environment dated January 11, 2006 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.23 Amendment 1 of Consent Agreement and Final Order of the Secretary (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 26, 2010 (File number 0-07196).
- 10.24 Amendment 2 of Consent Agreement and Final Order of the Secretary (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 26, 2010 (File number 0-07196))
- 10.25 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and Directors and Executive Officers (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2006. (File number 0-17196))
- 10.26 Guidelines for Issuance of Fiscal 2007 Restricted Share Awards (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2006 (File number 0-17196))

- 10.27 Agreement with Ladd M. Seaberg as to Award of Restricted Shares Granted under the Stock Incentive Plan of 2004 with respect to Fiscal 2007 (Similar agreements have been made with the following named executive officers as to the number of shares indicated following their respective names: Timothy W. Newkirk – 9,200 shares; Randy M. Schrick – 9,300 shares; (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2006 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.28 Lease dated as of December 28, 2006 between the City of Atchison, as Issuer and MGP Ingredients, Inc., as tenant relating to \$7,000,000 Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds Series 2006 (MGP Ingredients Project (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2006 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.29 Non-Employee Directors Restricted Share Award Agreement for fiscal 2007 of Cloud L. Cray. Similar agreements were made for the same number of shares with Michael Braude, John Byom, Gary Gradinger, Linda Miller, Daryl Schaller and John Speirs. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 19, 2007 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.30 Non-Employee Directors' Restricted Stock Plan, as amended (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 20, 2010 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.31 Guidelines for Issuance of Fiscal 2008 Restricted Share Awards (Incorporated by reference from Ex. 10(ss) of the Registrants Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended July 1, 2007)
- 10.32 Agreement with Brian Cahill as to Award of Restricted Shares Granted Under the Stock Incentive Plan of 2004 with respect to Fiscal 2008 (Similar agreements have been made with the following named executive officers as to the number of shares indicated following their respective names Timothy W. Newkirk – 17,695; Randy M. Schrick - 13,530; and Donald Coffey – 10,834.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 21, 2008 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.33 Guidelines on issuance of Fiscal 2009 Restricted Share Awards (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 20, 2010 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.34 Agreement with Timothy Newkirk as to Award of Restricted Shares Granted Under the Stock Incentive Plan of 2004 with respect to Fiscal 2009 (Similar agreements have been made with the following named executive officers as to the number of shares indicated following their respective names –Randy M. Schrick - 24,500 and Donald Coffey – 21,000.) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))

- 10.35 Interim Services Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2009, by and between Tatum, LLC and MGP Ingredients, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 20, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.36 Consultation Agreement with Ladd Seaberg (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.37 Non-Employee Directors Restricted Share Award Agreement for fiscal 2008 of John Speirs. Similar agreements were made for the same number of shares with Michael Braude, John Byom, Cloud L. Cray, Gary Grading, Linda Miller and Daryl Schaller (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.38 Non-Employee Directors Restricted Share Award Agreement for fiscal 2009 of John Speirs. Similar agreements were made for the same number of shares with Michael Braude, John Byom, Cloud L. Cray, Gary Grading, Linda Miller, Karen Seaberg and Daryl Schaller (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended June 20, 2010 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.39 Contribution Agreement dated November 20, 2009 between MGP Ingredients, Inc. and Illinois Corn Processing, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 27, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.40 LLC Interest Purchase Agreement dated November 20, 2009 between MGP Ingredients, Inc. and Illinois Corn Processing Holdings LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 27, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.41 Limited Liability Company Agreement dated November 20, 2009 between MGP Ingredients, Inc. and Illinois Corn Processing Holdings LLC. . (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 27, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.42 Marketing Agreement between the Company and Illinois Corn Processing, LLC (portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended December 31, 2009)).
- 10.43 MGP Ingredients, Inc. Short Term Incentive Plan for Fiscal Year 2010 and subsequent years (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 15, 2009 (File number 0-17196))
- 10.44 Letter agreement with Randy Schrick (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 15, 2009 (File number 0-17196))

*32.1 CEO Certification furnished pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. 1350
*32.2 CFO Certification furnished pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b)
*99.1 *Note 1.* to the Company's *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - Accounting Policies and Basis of Presentation-Change in Presentation to Prior Consolidated Financial Statements* set forth at page 9 in Part I, Item 1 of the Company's December 31, 2011 Form 10-Q filed on February 9.

* Filed herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to requirements of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the city of Atchison, State of Kansas, on this 2nd day of September, 2011.

MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.

By /s/Timothy W. Newkirk
Timothy W. Newkirk, President and Chief
Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Timothy W. Newkirk and Don Tracy and each of them, his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and re-substitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all reports of the Registrant on Form 10-K and to sign any and all amendments to such reports and to file the same with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities & Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite or necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents or any of them, or their or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities indicated on the dates indicated

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/Timothy W. Newkirk</u> Timothy W. Newkirk	President and Chief Executive Officer	September 2, 2011
<u>/s/Don Tracy</u> Don Tracy	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	September 2, 2011
<u>/s/Michael Braude</u> Michael Braude	Director	September 2, 2011
<u>/s/John E. Byom</u> John E. Byom	Director	September 2, 2011
<u>/s/Cloud L. Cray, Jr.</u> Cloud L. Cray, Jr.	Director	September 2, 2011
<u>/s/Gary Gradinger</u> Gary Gradinger	Director	September 2, 2011
<u>/s/Linda E. Miller</u> Linda E. Miller	Director	September 2, 2011
<u>/s/Daryl R. Schaller</u> Daryl R. Schaller	Director	September 2, 2011
<u>/s/ Karen Seaberg</u> Karen Seaberg	Director	September 2, 2011
<u>/s/John R. Speirs</u> John R. Speirs	Director; Chairman of the Board	September 2, 2011

MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.

II. CONSOLIDATED VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

	Balance, Beginning of Period	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Write-offs	Balance, End of Period
Year Ended June 30, 2011:	\$ 155	\$ -	\$ (37)	\$ 118
Allowance for doubtful accounts				
Year Ended June 30, 2010:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 388	\$ 43	\$ (276)	\$ 155
Year Ended June 30, 2009:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 264	\$ 124	-	\$ 388

BYLAWS
OF
MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.

Adopted June 15, 1989
Amended March 3, 2005, March 16, 2006, June 8, 2006,
June 14, 2007, March 8, 2008, October 22, 2009,
July 23, 2010 and August 25, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Article/SectionPage

ARTICLE I Offices	1
Section 1.1. Principal Office	1
Section 1.2. Registered Office	1
Section 1.3. Other Offices	1
ARTICLE II Meeting of Stockholders	1
Section 2.1. Annual Meetings	1
Section 2.2. Special Meetings	1
Section 2.3. Place and Time of Special Meetings	1
Section 2.4. Notice of Meetings	1
Section 2.5. Adjourned Meetings and Notice Thereof	2
Section 2.6. Quorum and Vote Required	2
Section 2.7. Chairman and Minutes	2
Section 2.8. Order of Business	2
Section 2.9. Voting and Ballots	3
Section 2.10. Proxies	3
Section 2.11. Inspection of Stock List	3
Section 2.12. Inspectors of Votes.	3
Section 2.13. Action Without Meeting	4
ARTICLE III Board of Directors	5
Section 3.1. Powers	5
Section 3.2. Number, Election Term, Qualification and Removal	5
Section 3.3. Chairman of the Board	5
Section 3.4. Meetings	5
Section 3.5. Adjourned Meetings and Notice Thereof	6
Section 3.6. Quorum and Manner of Acting	6
Section 3.7. Action by Consent	6
Section 3.8. Vacancies	6
Section 3.9. Inspection of Books and Records	6
ARTICLE IV Committees	7
ARTICLE V Officers	7
Section 5.1. Number	7
Section 5.2. Election and Term	7
Section 5.3. Absence or Disability	8
Section 5.4. Removal and Resignation	8
Section 5.5. Vacancies	8
Section 5.6. Compensation of Officers	8
Section 5.7. Bond	

ARTICLE VI Duties of Officers	8
Section 6.1. The President	8
Section 6.2. Vice Presidents	9
Section 6.3. The Secretary	9
Section 6.4. Assistant Secretary	10
Section 6.5. The Treasurer	10
Section 6.6. Assistant Officers	10
ARTICLE VII Signature Authority and Representation	10
Section 7.1. Contracts, Checks, etc	10
Section 7.2. Proxies in Respect of Securities of Other Corporations	11
ARTICLE VIII Certificates of Stock, Bonds, and Records	11
Section 8.1. Form & Signature	11
Section 8.2. Transfers	11
Section 8.3. Record Owner	11
Section 8.4. Lost Certificates	12
Section 8.5. Books and Records	12
Section 8.6. Record Dates	12
Section 8.7. Closing Stock Books	13
ARTICLE IX Dividends	13
ARTICLE X Indemnification	13
Section 10.1. Right to Indemnification	13
Section 10.2. Certain Limits on Indemnity	14
Section 10.3. Rights to Indemnity Shall be Contractual and Continuing	14
Section 10.4. Certain Procedural Matters	15
Section 10.5. Non-Exclusivity of Rights	15
Section 10.6. Insurance	15
ARTICLE XI Miscellaneous	16
Section 11.1. Seal	16
Section 11.2. Fiscal Year	16
Section 11.3. Amendments	16
Section 11.4. Waiver of Notice	16
Section 11.5. Interpretation	16
Section 11.6. Inoperative Portion	16
Section 11.7. Inapplicability of Control Share Acquisition Act	17

BYLAWS
OF
MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.
(A KANSAS CORPORATION)

(Restated for Filing Purposes in Accordance with Rule 102(c) of Regulation S-T)

ARTICLE I

Offices

Section 1.1. Principal Office. - The principal office for the transaction of business by MGP Ingredients, Inc. (formerly Midwest Grain Products, Inc.) (hereinafter called the "Corporation") shall be at 100 Commercial Street, Atchison, Atchison County, Kansas 66044.[As amended effective March 3, 2005 and June 14, 2007.]

Section 1.2. Registered Office. - The Corporation, by resolution of the Board of Directors, may change the location of the registered office that it has designated in the Articles of Incorporation to any other place in Kansas. By similar resolution, the Corporation may change its resident agent to any other person or corporation, including itself.

Section 1.3. Other Offices. - The Corporation may have offices at any other place or places, within or without the state of Kansas, as from time to time the Board of Directors may decide necessary or the business of the Corporation may require.

ARTICLE II

Meeting of Stockholders

Section 2.1. Annual Meetings. - The annual meeting of the stockholders for the election of Directors and for the transaction of such other business as may be properly brought before the meeting, shall be held on October 20 in 2011 and thereafter on the fourth Thursday of May of each year, commencing in 2012, or on such other day as shall be determined in advance by the Board of Directors The hour and place of the meeting, within or without the State of Kansas, shall be fixed by the Board of Directors. [As amended August 25, 2011]

Section 2.2. Special Meetings. - Special meetings of the stockholders may be called at any time by the Chairman of the Board, the President or the Board of Directors

Section 2.3. Place and Time of Special Meetings. - The stockholders of the Corporation shall hold each special meeting at the place and at the hour, within or without the state of Kansas, that the person or persons calling the meeting have fixed.

Section 2.4. Notice of Meetings. - Written notice of the date, time and place (and, in the case of a special meeting, the general nature of the business to be transacted) of each annual or special stockholders' meeting shall be given to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at that meeting (except as provided by Kansas Statutes Annotated ("K.S.A.") § 17-6520 and any and all

amendments thereto), not less than ten (10) nor more than sixty (60) days before the date of the meeting. Such notice shall be deemed delivered to a stockholder when personally delivered to the stockholder or when deposited in the United States mail, postage paid, addressed to the stockholder at such person's address as it appears on the Corporation's records, or, if there is no record of a stockholder's address, at the stockholder's last address known to the Secretary of the Corporation, or when transmitted to the stockholder at such address by telegraph, telecopier, cable, facsimile, wireless or other form of recorded communication. Except as the law expressly requires, notice of a meeting of stockholders need not be published. [As amended effective March 16, 2006]

Section 2.5. Adjourned Meetings and Notice Thereof. - Any stockholders' meeting, annual or special, whether or not a quorum is present, may be adjourned from time to time by the vote of a majority of the shares, the holders of which are either present in person or represented by proxy, but in the absence of a quorum, no other business may be transacted at such meeting. When any stockholders' meeting, either annual or special, is adjourned for thirty (30) days or more, notice of the adjourned meeting shall be given as in the case of an original meeting. Except as aforesaid, it shall not be necessary to give any notice of an adjournment or of the business to be transacted at an adjourned meeting, if the time and place are announced at the meeting at which such adjournment is taken.

Section 2.6. Quorum and Vote Required. - The presence in person or by proxy of persons entitled to vote a majority of the issued and outstanding stock of each class of stock entitled to vote shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. The stockholders present at a meeting at which a quorum is present may continue to do business until adjournment, despite the withdrawal of enough stockholders to leave less than a quorum. When a quorum is present at a meeting, any question brought before such meeting shall be decided by the vote of the holders of a majority of each class of stock entitled to vote on the question present in person or represented by proxy shall decide any question brought before such meeting, unless the question is one upon which by express provision of statute or of the Articles of Incorporation, a different vote is required in which case such express provision shall govern and control the decision of such question.

Section 2.7. Chairman and Minutes. - At each meeting of the stockholders, the Chairman of the Board, or in the Chairman's absence or if requested by the Chairman of the Board, the President, or in the President's absence the chief financial officer, or in the chief financial officer's absence, another officer of the Corporation chosen by the vote of a majority in voting interest of the stockholders present in person or by proxy, or if all the officers of the Corporation are absent, a stockholder so chosen, shall act as chairman of the meeting and preside at the meeting. The Secretary of the Corporation, or if the Secretary is absent or required under this section to act as chairman of the meeting, the person (who shall be an Assistant Secretary of the Corporation, if an Assistant Secretary is present) whom the chairman of the meeting shall appoint shall act as Secretary of the meeting and keep the minutes. [As amended effective March 16, 2006 and October 22, 2009.]

Section 2.8. Order of Business. - The Chairman of each meeting of the stockholders shall determine the order of business, provided that the order of business may be changed by the vote of a majority in voting interest of the stockholders present in person or by proxy.

Section 2.9. Voting and Ballots. - Except where otherwise provided by law, or by the Articles of Incorporation of the Corporation, the exercise of voting rights by stockholders shall be governed by the following provisions: Each stockholder (whether a holder of Common Stock or Preferred Stock) entitled to vote shall, at each meeting of the stockholders, be entitled to one vote for each share of capital stock held by such stockholder as of the record date. No cumulative voting shall be permitted. All elections of directors shall be by written ballot; unless demanded by a stockholder of the Corporation present in person or by proxy at any meeting of the stockholders and entitled to vote thereat, or so directed by the chairman of the meeting, the vote on any other question at such meeting need not be by written ballot. Upon a demand of any such stockholder for a vote by written ballot on any question, or at the direction of the chairman of the meeting that a vote by ballot be taken on any question, such vote shall be so taken. On a vote by written ballot, each ballot shall be signed by the stockholder voting, or by such person's proxy, if there be such a proxy, and shall state the number of shares voted. [As amended effective March 16, 2006 and October 22, 2009]

Section 2.10. Proxies. - Every person entitled to vote or execute consents shall have the right to do so either in person or by one or more agents authorized by a written proxy executed by such person or such person's duly authorized agent and filed with the Secretary of the Corporation. Provided, however, that no such proxy shall be valid after the expiration of three (3) years from the date of its execution, unless the proxy instrument provides for a longer period. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 2.11. Inspection of Stock List. - The Secretary of the Corporation, or the other officer of the Corporation who shall have charge of the stock ledger, either directly, through another officer of the Corporation that the Secretary designates, or through a transfer agent that the Board of Directors appoints shall prepare, at least ten (10) days before every meeting of the stockholders, a complete list of the stockholders entitled to vote at such meeting. The officer responsible for the list will arrange it in alphabetical order, showing the address of each stockholder and the number of shares registered in the name of each. The list shall be open to inspection by any stockholder, for any purpose germane to the meeting, during ordinary business hours for a period of at least ten (10) days prior to the meeting, at the Corporation's principal place of business. The list shall also be produced and kept at the time and place of the meeting during the whole time thereof, and may be inspected by any stockholder who is present. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 2.12. Inspectors of Votes.

(a) Prior to each meeting of the stockholders, the Corporation shall appoint one or more inspectors to act at the meeting and make a written report thereof. If no inspector is able to act at a meeting, the person presiding at the meeting shall appoint one or more inspectors to act at the meeting. Before entering upon the discharge of the duties of inspector, each inspector shall subscribe an oath faithfully to execute the duties of an inspector with strict impartiality and according to the best of the inspector's ability. The inspectors shall take charge of the ballots at the meeting. After the balloting on any question, they shall count the ballots cast and make a report in writing to the Secretary of the meeting of the results of that vote. An inspector need not be a stockholder of the Corporation, and any officer of the Corporation may be an inspector on any question other than a vote for or against such officer's election to any position with the

Corporation or on any other question in which such officer may be directly interested. The inspectors may appoint or retain other persons or entities to assist the inspectors in the performance of their duties.

(b) The inspectors shall

- (1) ascertain the number of shares outstanding and the voting power of each;
- (2) determine the shares represented at the meeting and the validity of proxies and ballots;
- (3) count all votes and ballots;
- (4) determine and retain for a reasonable period a record of the disposition of any challenges made to any determination by the inspectors; and
- (5) certify their determination of the number of shares represented at the meeting, and their count of all votes and ballots.

(c) The date and time of the opening and the closing of the polls for each matter upon which the stockholder will vote at a meeting shall be announced at the meeting. No ballot, proxies or votes, nor any revocations thereof or changes thereto, shall be accepted by the inspectors after the closing of the polls unless the district court upon application by a stockholder determines otherwise.

(d) In determining the validity and counting of proxies and ballots, except as may otherwise be permitted by law the inspectors shall be limited to an examination of the proxies, any envelopes submitted with those proxies, any information provided in accordance with subsection (f) of K.S.A. 17-6501 or subsection (c)(2) of 17-6502, and amendments thereto, or any information provided pursuant to subsection (a)(2)(B)(i) or (iii) of K.S.A. 17-6501, and amendments thereto, ballots and the regular books and records of the Corporation, except that the inspectors may consider other reliable information for the limited purpose of reconciling proxies and ballots submitted by or on behalf of banks, brokers, their nominees or similar persons which represent more votes than the holder of a proxy is authorized by the record owner to cast or more votes than the stockholder holds of record. If the inspectors consider other reliable information for the limited purpose permitted herein, the inspectors at the time they make their certification pursuant to subsection (c) (5) above shall specify the precise information considered by them, including the persons or persons from whom they obtained the information, when the information was obtained, the means by which the information was obtained and the basis for the inspectors' belief that such information is accurate and reliable. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 2.13. Action Without Meeting. - Any action required or permitted to be taken at any meeting of the stockholders may be taken without a meeting if a consent or consents in writing, setting forth the action so taken, are signed (personally or by duly authorized attorney) by all persons who would be entitled to vote upon such action at a meeting, and filed with the minutes of the meetings of the stockholders. Such consent or consents shall be delivered in a manner prescribed by law to the Corporation by delivery to its registered office in Kansas, its

principal place of business or an officer or agent of the Corporation having custody of the books in which proceedings of meetings of stockholders are recorded. [As amended effective March 3, 2005.]

ARTICLE III

Board of Directors

Section 3.1. Powers. - The property, business, and affairs of the Corporation shall be managed by or under the direction of a Board of Directors.

Section 3.2. Number, Election Term, Qualification and Removal. - There shall be nine (9) directors, of which four (4) shall be Group A directors, and five (5) shall be Group B directors. The nine (9) directors shall also be divided into three classes consisting of three (3) directors each (Class A, B and C). One class of directors shall be elected to office at each annual meeting of the stockholders. The term of office of each director shall be for three (3) years and until such person's successor is elected and qualified, or until such person's earlier resignation or removal. Class A and Class B shall each consist of two (2) Group B directors and one (1) Group A director, and Class C shall consist of two (2) Group A directors and one (1) Group B director. Directors need not be stockholders. Directors may be removed in such manner as may be provided by the Kansas General Corporation Code (the "Code") or by the Articles of Incorporation. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 3.3. Chairman of the Board. A Chairman of the Board shall be elected annually by the Board of Directors at its first meeting following the annual meeting of the stockholders and shall hold office until such Chairman of the Board's successor is elected and qualified or until such Chairman of the Board's earlier resignation or removal. The Chairman of the Board shall preside at all meetings of the Board of Directors and shall also have such further authority and duties as the Board of Directors may from time to time direct and as may be provided in these bylaws. The Chairman of the Board shall be subject to the control of, and shall hold office at the pleasure of, the Board of Directors. [As amended effective October 22, 2009.]

Section 3.4. Meetings. - Meetings of the Board of Directors of the Corporation may be held within or without the state of Kansas. The Board of Directors shall hold an annual meeting without notice immediately after the final adjournment of and at the same place as each annual meeting of the stockholders. The Board of Directors may hold other regular meetings with or without notice at such times and places as the Board may provide. The Board may hold special meetings at any time upon the call of any member of the Board or the President. Notice of any special meeting, including the time and place of the meeting, shall be given to each director by any of the following means: (a) by a writing deposited in the United States mail, postage paid, addressed to the director at the director's residence or principal business office, at least five (5) days prior to the date of the meeting; (b) by telegraph, cable, wireless, telecopier, facsimile or other form of recorded communication sent not later than the day before the date of the meeting; or (c) by oral communication, personally or by telephone, not later than the day before the date of the meeting. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 3.5. Adjourned Meetings and Notice Thereof. - Any meeting of the Board of Directors may be adjourned from time to time, whether or not a quorum is present, by the vote of a majority of directors present. Notice of any adjourned meeting need not be given if the Board fixed the time and place at the meeting from which adjournment was taken.

Section 3.6. Quorum and Manner of Acting - Five (5) of the nine directors shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at any meeting, and the act of a majority of the directors present at any meeting at which a quorum shall be present shall be the act of the Board of Directors. The directors present at a duly called or held meeting at which a quorum is present may continue to do business until adjournment, despite the withdrawal of enough directors to leave less than a quorum. Members of the Board, or of any committee the Board designates, may participate in a meeting of the Board or of that committee by means of conference telephone or similar communications equipment through which all persons participating in the meeting can hear one another. Such participation shall constitute presence in person at the meeting.

Section 3.7. Action by Consent. - Any action required or permitted to be taken at a meeting of the Board of Directors or any committee thereof may be taken without a meeting if all members of the Board or the committee consent to such action in writing and the writing or writings are filed with the minutes of proceedings of the Board or the committee.

Section 3.8. Vacancies. - A majority of the directors then in office, although less than a quorum, or a sole remaining director may fill vacancies on the Board. If at any time the Corporation should have no directors in office, then any officer, stockholder, executor, administrator, trustee, or guardian of a stockholder, or other fiduciary entrusted with responsibility for the person or estate of a stockholder may call a special meeting of the stockholders in accordance with the provisions of these bylaws for the purpose of electing directors.

A vacancy on the Board shall exist in case of the death, resignation, or removal of any director, if the stockholders increase the number of directors, if the stockholders fail at any meeting at which they elect directors to elect the full number of directors for which they are voting at that meeting, or if a director refuses to serve. If a director resigns, effective at a future date, the Board, including any directors whose resignations are not yet effective, shall have the power to fill that vacancy, the successor to take office when the resignation becomes effective.

Each director chosen as this section provides shall hold office until the next regular election of directors or of the class of which such director is a part and until the election and qualification of such person's successor. No reduction in the authorized number of directors shall have the effect of removing any director prior to the expiration of such person's term of office. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 3.9. Inspection of Books and Records. - Any director shall have the right to examine the Corporation's stock ledger, a list of its stockholders entitled to vote and its other books and records for a purpose reasonably related to such director's position as a director. When there is any doubt concerning the inspection rights of a director, the parties may petition

the District Court which may, in its discretion, determine whether an inspection may be made and whether any limitations or conditions should be imposed upon the same.

ARTICLE IV

Committees

Executive and Other Committees. - The Board of Directors may, by resolution or resolutions passed by a majority of the whole Board, designate an Executive Committee and one or more other committees, each to consist of one (1) or more directors. The Executive Committee shall not have authority to make, alter, or amend bylaws, or to fill vacancies in its own membership or that of the Board, but it shall exercise all other powers of the Board between meetings of that body. Other committees of the Board shall have the powers of the Board to the extent their authorizing resolutions provide. The Executive and such other committees shall meet at stated times or on notice to all committee members by any one of them. The committees shall fix their own rules of procedure. A majority shall constitute a quorum, but the affirmative vote of a majority of the whole committee shall be necessary for any action. The Executive and other committees shall keep regular minutes of their proceedings and report these to the Board of Directors.

ARTICLE V

Officers

Section 5.1. **Number.** - The Officers of the Corporation shall be a President, Secretary, Treasurer and such other officers, including one or more Vice Presidents, Assistant Secretaries, Assistant Treasurers and other assistant officers, as the Board of Directors may from time to time elect. The Board shall designate an Officer as chief executive officer and an Officer as chief financial officer, and may provide such other designations, such as chief operating officer or chief accounting officer, as it may deem appropriate. If more than one Vice President be elected, the Board may designate one or more of them as Executive Vice President or Senior Vice President. Additionally, the chief executive officer may appoint one or more divisional or segment vice presidents. Any two or more offices may be held by the same individual. [As amended effective March 16, 2006 and October 22, 2009.]

Section 5.2. **Election and Term.** - The Officers of the Corporation shall be elected annually by the Board of Directors at its first meeting following the annual meeting of the stockholders and shall hold office until such officer's successor is elected and qualified or until such officer's earlier resignation or removal. At any meeting, the Board of Directors may elect such other officers to hold office until such officer's successor is elected and qualified or until such officer's earlier resignation or removal. A division or segment vice president appointed by the chief executive officer may be appointed at any time, and any person so appointed shall hold such office until such person's resignation or removal. Each Officer of the Corporation and each division or segment vice president shall be subject to the control of, and shall hold office at the pleasure of, the Board of Directors. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 5.3. Absence or Disability. - In the event of the absence or disability of any officer of the Corporation and of any person authorized to act in such officer's place during such period of absence or disability, the Board of Directors may from time to time delegate the powers and duties of that officer to any other officer, or any director or any other person whom it may select. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 5.4. Removal and Resignation. - Any officer may be removed with or without cause at any time by the Board of Directors, and any segment or division vice president appointed by the chief executive officer may be removed with or without cause at any time by the chief executive officer. Any officer may resign at any time upon written notice to the Corporation. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 5.5. Vacancies. - In case any office filled by the Board of Directors pursuant to Section 5.1 shall become vacant by reason of death, resignation, removal or otherwise, the directors then in office, although less than a majority of the entire Board of Directors, may, by a majority vote of those voting, choose a successor or successors for the unexpired term. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 5.6. Compensation of Officers. - The Board of Directors, a committee of the Board of Directors or such officer as the Board or such committee may designate, may fix or provide the method for determining the compensation for officers. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 5.7. Bond. - The Board of Directors, by resolution, may require any and all of the officers to give bond to the Corporation, with sufficient surety or sureties, conditioned for the faithful performance of the duties of their respective offices, and to comply with such other conditions as may from time to time be required by the Board of Directors.

ARTICLE VI

Duties of Officers

Section 6.1. The President. - The President shall have such authority and duties as the Board of Directors may from time to time direct and as may be provided in these bylaws. Unless the Board otherwise provides, the President shall be the chief executive officer of the Corporation with such general executive powers and duties of supervision and management as are usually vested in the office of the chief executive officer of a corporation. [As amended effective March 16, 2006 and June 14, 2007.]

The President shall see that all orders and resolutions of the Board of Directors are carried into effect, subject to the right of the directors to delegate any specific powers to any other officer or officers of the Corporation. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

In the absence of the Chairman of the Board, the President shall preside at meetings of the Board of Directors, and in the absence of or if requested by the Chairman of the Board, shall preside at meetings of stockholders.

The President, alone or with the Secretary or any other proper officer of the Corporation thereunto authorized by the Board of Directors, may sign certificated shares of the Corporation, deeds, conveyances, bonds, mortgages, contracts or other instruments which the Board of Directors has authorized to be executed, and unless the Board of Directors shall order otherwise by resolution, may borrow such funds, make such contracts, and execute such agreements, financing statements, certificates, documents and other instruments as may be incident thereto, as the ordinary conduct of the Corporation's business may require.

Unless the Board otherwise provides, the President or any person designated in writing by the President may (i) attend meetings of stockholders of other corporations to represent the Corporation thereat and to vote or take action with respect to the shares of any such corporation owned by this Corporation in such manner as the President or the President's designee may determine, and (ii) execute and deliver written consents, waivers of notice and proxies for and in the name of the Corporation with respect to any such shares owned by this Corporation.

The President shall, unless the Board provides otherwise, be ex-officio a member of all standing committees. [As amended effective October 22, 2009.]

Section 6.2. Vice Presidents. - Any Vice President elected by the Board of Directors shall perform such duties as shall be assigned to such person and shall exercise such powers as may be granted to such person by the Board of Directors or by the chief executive officer. In the absence of the President, the Vice Presidents elected by the Board of Directors, in order of their seniority, may perform the duties and exercise the powers of the chief executive officer with the same force and effect as if performed by the chief executive officer. Divisional or segment vice presidents appointed by the chief executive officer shall perform such duties and exercise such powers as are approved by the Board of Directors. [As amended effective March 16, 2006 and October 22, 2009.]

Section 6.3. The Secretary. - The Secretary shall keep the minutes of the stockholders, the Board of Directors, and the Executive Committee's meetings in books provided for that purpose.

The Secretary shall be custodian of the corporate records and of the seal of the Corporation. The Secretary shall see that the seal of the Corporation is affixed to all certificated shares prior to the issue thereof and to all documents, the execution of which on behalf of the Corporation under its seal is duly authorized in accordance with the provisions of these bylaws.

The Secretary shall sign with the President, the Chairman of the Board or a Vice President, certificated shares of the Corporation, the issue of which shall have been authorized by resolution of the Board of Directors. Except to the extent delegated by the Board to an institutional stock transfer agent and registrar, the Secretary shall have general charge of the stock transfer books of the Corporation and shall keep a register of the post office address of each stockholder which shall be furnished to the Secretary by such stockholder.

The Secretary shall see that all notices are duly given in accordance with the provisions of these bylaws or as required by law and that the voting list is prepared for stockholders' meetings.

In general, the Secretary shall perform all duties incident to the office and such other duties as may from time to time be assigned to the Secretary by the chief executive officer or by the Board of Directors. [As amended effective March 16, 2006; June 14, 2007]

Section 6.4. Assistant Secretary. - At the request of the Secretary, or in the event of the Secretary's absence or disability, any Assistant Secretary appointed by the Board of Directors shall perform any of the duties of the Secretary and, when so acting, shall have all the powers of, and be subject to all the restrictions upon, the Secretary. Except where by law the signature of the Secretary is required, each of the Assistant Secretaries shall possess the same power as the Secretary to sign certificates, contracts, obligations and other instruments of the Corporation, and to affix the seal of the Corporation to such instruments, and attest the same. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 6.5. The Treasurer. - The Treasurer shall have responsibility for the funds and securities of the Corporation, shall receive and give receipts for moneys due and payable of the Corporation from any source whatsoever, and shall deposit all such moneys in the name of the Corporation in such banks, trust companies or other depositories as shall be selected by the Board of Directors or by any officer of the Corporation to whom such authority has been granted by the Board of Directors.

The Treasurer shall disburse or permit to be disbursed the funds of the Corporation as may be ordered or authorized generally by the Board.

The Treasurer shall render to the President and the directors whenever they may require it an account of all such officer's transactions as Treasurer and of those under such officer's jurisdiction and of the financial condition of the Corporation. [As amended effective October 22, 2009.]

In general, the Treasurer shall perform all the duties incident to the office of Treasurer and such other duties as from time to time may be assigned to the Treasurer by the chief executive officer or by the Board of Directors. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 6.6. Assistant Officers. - Each assistant officer that may be selected pursuant to these bylaws shall hold office at the pleasure of the Board of Directors. In the absence or nonavailability of the principal, the assistant may perform the duties and exercise the powers of the principal with the same force and effect as if performed by the principal. The assistant shall also have such lesser or greater authority and perform such other duties as the Board of Directors may prescribe.

ARTICLE VII

Signature Authority and Representation

Section 7.1. Contracts, Checks, etc. - All contracts and agreements authorized by the Board of Directors, and all checks, drafts, bills of exchange or other orders for the payment of money, notes, or other evidences of indebtedness issued in the name of the Corporation, shall be signed by such officer or officers, or agent or agents, as may from time to time be authorized by

these bylaws, designated by the Board of Directors, or as may be designated by such officer or officers as the Board of Directors may appoint, which designation or designations may be general or confined to specific instances. The Board of Directors may authorize the use of facsimile signatures on any such document.

Section 7.2. Proxies in Respect of Securities of Other Corporations - Unless the Board of Directors provides otherwise, the President or a Vice President may from time to time appoint an attorney or an agent to exercise, in the name and on behalf of the Corporation, the powers and rights which the Corporation may have as the holder of stock or other securities in any other corporation to vote or to consent in respect of that stock or those securities. The President or Vice President may instruct the person or persons such officer appoints as to the manner of exercising the powers and rights, and the President may execute or cause to be executed in the name and on behalf of the Corporation all written proxies, powers of attorney, or other written instruments that such officer deems necessary in order for the Corporation to exercise those powers and rights. [As amended effective March 16, 2006 and October 22, 2009.]

ARTICLE VIII

Certificates of Stock, Bonds, and Records

Section 8.1. Form & Signature. The shares of the Corporation shall be represented by certificates or, if and to the extent the Board of Directors determines, shall be uncertificated shares. Notwithstanding any such determination by the Board of Directors, every stockholder shall be entitled to a certificate or certificates of stock bearing the holder's name and number of shares and signed by or in the name of the Corporation by the Chairman of the Board, the President or a Vice President, and the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary; provided, however, that any or all of the signatures on the certificate may be a facsimile. In case any officer of the Corporation, transfer agent or registrar who shall have signed or whose facsimile signature shall have been placed upon a certificate ceases to be such officer, transfer agent or registrar before such certificate is issued, the Corporation may nevertheless issue the certificate with the same effect as though the person were an officer, transfer agent or registrar at the date of issuance. [As amended June 14, 200 and July 23, 2010]

Section 8.2. Transfers. - Certificated shares of stock may be transferred on the books of the Corporation by the registered holders thereof or by their attorneys legally constituted or their legal representatives by surrender of the certificates therefor for cancellation and a written assignment of the shares evidenced thereby. Uncertificated shares shall be transferred in the share register of the Corporation upon an instruction originated by the appropriate person to transfer the shares. The Board of Directors may from time to time appoint such Transfer Agents and Registrars of stock as it may deem advisable and may define their powers and duties. [As amended June 14, 2007]

Section 8.3. Record Owner. - The Corporation shall be entitled to recognize the exclusive right of a person on its books as the owners of shares to receive dividends, and to vote as such owner, and to hold liable for calls and assessments a person registered on its books as the owner of shares, and shall not be bound to recognize any equitable or other claim to or interest in such shares on the part of any other person, whether or not it shall have express or other notice

thereof, except as otherwise provided by the laws of the State of Kansas. [[As corrected June 14, 2007.]

Section 8.4. Lost Certificates. - Any person applying for a certificate of stock to be issued in lieu of one alleged to be lost or destroyed shall furnish to the Corporation such information as it may require to ascertain whether a certificate of stock has been lost or destroyed and shall furnish such bond as the Board may deem sufficient to indemnify the Corporation and its transfer agent and registrar against any claim that may be made on account of the alleged loss.

Section 8.5. Books and Records. - The Corporation may keep its books and records at any places within or without the state of Kansas that the Board of Directors may from time to time determine.

Section 8.6. Record Dates. - Record dates may be set as follows:

(1) In order for the Corporation to determine the stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at any meeting, the Board of Directors may fix, in advance, a record date which shall not precede the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted by the Board of Directors, and not be more than sixty (60) days nor less than ten (10) days before the date of a meeting. If the Board of Directors does not fix a record date, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of or to vote at a meeting shall be the close of business on the day that next precedes the day on which notice of the meeting is given or, if notice is waived, the close of business on the day that next precedes the day on which the stockholders meet.

(2) In order for the Corporation to determine the stockholders entitled to consent to corporate action in writing without a meeting, the Board of Directors may fix, in advance, a record date which shall not precede the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted by the Board of Directors and which date shall not be more than ten (10) days after the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is adopted by the Board of Directors. If the Board does not fix a record date, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to consent to corporate action in writing without a meeting, when no prior action of the Board is necessary, shall be the date on which the first written consent is delivered to the Corporation by delivery to its registered office within the state of Kansas, its principal place of business, or Secretary. Delivery made to the Corporation's registered office shall be by hand or by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested. If no record date has been fixed by the Board of Directors and prior action of the Board of Directors is required, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to consent to corporate action in writing without a meeting shall be at the close of business on the day on which the Board of Directors adopts a resolution taking such other action.

(3) In order for the Corporation to determine the stockholders entitled to receive payment of any dividend, distribution or allotment of, any rights, or to exercise any rights in respect of any change, conversion or exchange of stock, or for the purpose of any other lawful action, the Board of Directors may fix a record date, which record date shall not precede the date upon which the resolution fixing the record date is

adopted, and which record date shall be not more than sixty (60) days prior to such action. If no record date is fixed, the record date for determining stockholders for any such purpose shall be at the close of business on the day on which the Board of Directors adopts a resolution relating thereto. In connection with the declaration of dividends, the Board may specify a variable payment date which will be the earlier of the sixtieth day following the record date or the date of a future event such as the mailing of a notice or report to stockholders.

Section 8.7. Closing Stock Books. - The Board of Directors may close the books of the Corporation against transfers of shares during the whole or any part of a period not more than sixty (60) days prior to the date of a stockholders' meeting, the date when the right to any dividend, distribution, or allotment of rights vests, or the effective date of any change, conversion, or exchange of shares.

ARTICLE IX

Dividends

Subject to the Articles of Incorporation, whenever the Board of Directors decides that the affairs of the Corporation render it advisable, the Board, at any regular or special meeting, may declare and pay dividends in an amount the Board believes proper upon the shares of stock of the Corporation either (1) out of the Corporation's surplus as defined and computed in accordance with the provisions of law, or (2) in case the Corporation shall not have any such surplus, out of the net profits for the fiscal year in which the Board declares the dividend and/or the net profits of the preceding fiscal year.

Before the Corporation pays any dividend or makes any distribution of profits, the Board may set aside out of the surplus or net profits of the Corporation any sum that the directors in their absolute discretion think proper as a reserve to meet contingencies, to equalize dividends, to repair or maintain property of the Corporation, or to accomplish any other purpose the directors think is in the interests of the Corporation.

ARTICLE X

Indemnification

Section 10.1. Right to Indemnification. - Each person who was or is made a party or is threatened to be made a party to or is involved in any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative (hereinafter a "proceeding"), by reason of the fact that such person, or a person of whom such person is the legal representative, is or was a director or officer, of the Corporation, or who, while a director, officer or employee of the Corporation, is or was serving at the request of the Corporation as a director or officer of another enterprise, whether the basis of such proceeding is alleged action in an official capacity as a director or officer, or in any other capacity while serving as a director or officer, shall be indemnified and held harmless by the Corporation to the fullest extent authorized by the K.S.A., as the same exist or may hereafter be amended (but, in the case of any such amendment, only to the extent that such amendment permits the Corporation to provide

broader indemnification rights than said law permitted the Corporation to provide prior to such amendment), against all expenses, liability and loss (including attorney's fees, judgments, fines, ERISA excise taxes or penalties and amounts paid or to be paid in settlement) reasonably incurred or suffered by such person in connection therewith; provided, however, that, the Corporation shall indemnify any such person seeking indemnity in connection with a proceeding (or part thereof) initiated by such person only if such proceeding (or part thereof) was authorized by the Board of Directors of the Corporation. The right to indemnification conferred in this Section shall include the right to be paid by the Corporation the expenses, including attorneys fees, incurred in defending any such proceeding in advance of its final disposition; provided, however, that the payment of such expenses incurred by a present or former director or officer in advance of the final disposition of a proceeding, shall be made only upon delivery to the Corporation of an undertaking, by or on behalf of such present or former director or officer, to repay all amounts so advanced if it shall ultimately be determined that such present or former director or officer is not entitled to be indemnified under this Section or otherwise. For purposes of this Article X, the term "enterprise" shall include corporations, both profit and nonprofit, partnerships, joint ventures, trusts, employee plans and associations, and the term "officer" shall include with respect to partnerships, joint ventures, trusts or other enterprises, the offices of general partner, trustee or other fiduciary (as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, as amended). The Corporation may, by action of its Board of Directors, provide indemnification and expense advances to employees and agents of the Corporation with the same scope and effect as the foregoing indemnification of present and former directors and officers. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 10.2. Certain Limits on Indemnity. - Notwithstanding anything contained in this Article X to the contrary, the Corporation shall not be liable, unless otherwise provided by separate written agreement, by-law or other provision for indemnity, to make any payment in connection with any claim made against the director or officer:

- (1) for an accounting of profits made from the purchase or sale by the officer or director of securities of the Corporation within the meaning of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and amendments thereto; or
- (2) for amounts paid in settlement of any proceeding effected without the written consent of the Corporation, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.

Section 10.3. Rights to Indemnity Shall be Contractual and Continuing - The provisions of this Article X shall be deemed to be a contract between this Corporation and each person who serves as contemplated as a director or officer at any time while such provisions are in effect; they shall continue as to a person who has ceased to be a director or officer; and they shall inure to the benefit of such person's heirs, executors and administrators. Such provisions may be limited or qualified as to service occurring subsequent to such limitation or qualification by authority of the Board of Directors of this Corporation; provided, however, any such limitation or qualification, or any other repeal or amendment of this Article X shall not affect any right or obligation then existing with respect to any state of facts then or theretofore existing or any action, suit or proceeding theretofore or thereafter brought based in whole or in part upon any such state of facts. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 10.4. Certain Procedural Matters. -

(1) In the event of payment under the provisions of this Article, the Corporation shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of recovery of the director or officer.

(2) The Corporation shall be entitled to participate at its expense in any proceeding for which a director or officer may be entitled to indemnity, and it may assume the defense thereof with counsel satisfactory to the director or officer unless the officer or director reasonably concludes that there may be a conflict of interest between the Corporation and the director or officer in the conduct of such defense.

(3) If a claim under this Article is not paid in full by the Corporation within ninety (90) days after a written claim has been received by the Corporation, the claimant may at any time thereafter bring suit against the Corporation to recover the unpaid amount of the claim and, if successful in whole or in part, the claimant shall be entitled to be paid also the expense (including reasonable attorneys' fees) of prosecuting such claim. It shall be a defense to any such action (other than an action brought to enforce a claim for expenses incurred in defending any proceeding in advance of its final disposition where the required undertaking has been tendered to the Corporation) that the claimant has not met the standards of conduct which make it permissible under the K.S.A. for the Corporation to indemnify the claimant for the amount claimed, but the burden of proving such defense shall be on the Corporation. Neither the failure of the Corporation (including its Board of Directors, independent legal counsel, or its stockholders) to have made a determination prior to the commencement of such action that indemnification of the claimant is proper in the circumstances because such person has met the applicable standard of conduct set forth in the K.S.A., nor an actual determination by the Corporation (including its Board of Directors, independent legal counsel, or its stockholders) that the claimant had not met such applicable standard of conduct, shall be a defense to the action or create a presumption that the claimant has not met the applicable standard of conduct. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 10.5. Non-Exclusivity of Rights. - The right to indemnification and the payment of expenses incurred in defending a proceeding in advance of its final disposition conferred in this Section shall not be exclusive of any other right which any person may have or hereafter acquire under any statute, provision of the Articles of Incorporation, bylaw, agreement, vote of stockholders or disinterested directors or otherwise.

Section 10.6. Insurance. - The Corporation may maintain insurance, at its expense, to protect itself and any director, officer, employee or agent of the Corporation or another enterprise against any expense, liability or loss, whether or not the Corporation would have the power to indemnify such person or enterprise against such expense, liability or loss under the K.S.A.

ARTICLE XI

Miscellaneous

Section 11.1. Seal. - The seal of the Corporation shall be circular in form and shall contain the following words:

MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.
CORPORATE
SEAL
KANSAS

[As amended effective March 3, 2005.]

Section 11.2. Fiscal Year. - The Board of Directors shall have the power to fix, from time to time, the fiscal year of the Corporation by a duly adopted resolution. [As amended effective August 25, 2011.]

Section 11.3. Amendments. - All bylaws of the Corporation shall be subject to alteration or repeal, and new bylaws may be made, by the Board of Directors subject to the power of the stockholders of the Corporation to alter or repeal any bylaws made by the Board of Directors.

Section 11.4. Waiver of Notice. - Whenever notice of an annual, regular or special meeting of the stockholders, the Board of Directors or any committee of the Board is required to be delivered to a person under any of the provisions of these bylaws, a written waiver of notice signed by such person, whether signed before or after the meeting, shall be deemed equivalent to the timely delivery to such person of written notice of such meeting. Attendance of a person at a meeting also shall be deemed equivalent to the timely delivery to such person of written notice of such meeting, unless such person attends such meeting for the purpose of objecting to the transaction of any business because the meeting is not lawfully called or convened and states such to be such person's purpose at the beginning of the meeting. Neither the business to be transacted at, nor the purpose of, any annual, regular or special meeting of the stockholders, the Board of Directors or any committee of the Board need be specified in any written waiver of notice of such meeting, regardless whether such specification would be required in the notice of such meeting. [As amended effective March 16, 2006.]

Section 11.5. Interpretation. - Whenever the context indicates, the masculine gender in these bylaws shall include the feminine and neuter, and the singular shall include the plural or vice versa. The table of contents and headings are solely for organization, convenience, and clarity. They do not define, limit, or describe the scope of these bylaws or the intent in any of the provisions.

Section 11.6. Inoperative Portion. - If any portion of these bylaws shall be invalid or inoperative, then, to the extent reasonable and possible, the remainder shall be valid and operative, and effect shall be given to the intent that the portion held invalid or inoperative manifests.

Section 11.7. Inapplicability of Control Share Acquisition Act. - The provisions of Section 17-1286 to 17-1298 of the Kansas Statutes, also known as the Kansas Control Share Acquisition Act, shall not apply to this Corporation.

SECRETARY'S CERTIFICATE

The undersigned Secretary of MGP Ingredients, Inc. (the "Company") hereby certifies on August 26, 2011 that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Bylaws of the Company, as amended.

MGP Ingredients, Inc.

By: /s/ Marta Myers
Marta Myers, Secretary

EQUIPMENT FINANCE

THIS LEASE ("Lease"), dated as of June 28, 2011, is made by and between U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc., hereafter referred to as "Lessor," and MGP Ingredients, Inc. hereafter referred to as "Lessee."

LESSOR AND LESSEE COVENANT AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. PROPERTY LEASED. Lessor agrees to lease to Lessee and Lessee agrees to lease from Lessor the personal property ("Property") together with any replacements, additions, repairs, now or hereafter incorporated therein as described in any Schedule to Master Lease Agreement ("Schedule") or any related document now or hereafter executed by the parties hereto.

2. TERM. This Lease shall become effective on the execution hereof by Lessor. The Term of this Lease may consist of an "Interim Term" and a "Term" (sometimes called a "Base Term") in regard to a Schedule. The Interim Term for each Schedule shall begin on the date that Lessee executes a Delivery and Acceptance Certificate in connection with any item of Property or provides to Lessor written authorization for payment for such item of Property. Each Interim Term shall continue until the commencement date set forth in the Schedule. The Term for each Schedule shall be triggered by Lessee's execution of a Delivery and Acceptance Certificate in connection with the Property described in the Schedule and shall begin on the commencement date and shall continue for the period specified in each Schedule. During each Interim Term, if any, Lessee shall pay rental ("Interim Rental") in the amount set forth in each Schedule, plus applicable tax thereon.

3. RENT, PAYMENT AND TAXES. Rental payments are specified in each Schedule. All rents shall be payable by Lessee each month on or before the payment date shown in each Schedule at Lessor's address herein, or as otherwise directed by Lessor, without notice or demand and without abatement, set-off or deduction of any amount whatsoever. Lessee shall pay when due all taxes, fees, assessments, or other charges, however designated, now or hereafter levied or based upon the rentals, ownership, use, possession, leasing, operation, control, or maintenance of the Property, whether or not payable by Lessor, excluding Lessor's income, franchise and business and occupation taxes, and shall supply Lessor with proof of payment satisfactory to Lessor at least seven (7) days before delinquency. At its option, Lessor may pay any tax, assessment, insurance premium, expense, repair, release, confiscation expense, lien, encumbrance, or other charge or fee payable hereunder by Lessee, and any amount so paid shall be repayable by Lessee on demand.

For any payment due hereunder which is not paid within ten (10) days after the date such payment is due, Lessee agrees to pay a late charge calculated thereon at a rate of five percent (5%) of such overdue amount. The parties hereto agree that: a) the amount of such late charge represents a reasonable estimate of the cost that Lessor would incur in processing each delinquent payment by Lessee and that such late charge shall be paid as liquidated damages for each delinquent payment; and b) the payment of late charges and the payment of Default Interest are distinct and separate from one another. Acceptance of any late charge or interest shall not constitute a waiver of default with respect to the overdue amount or prevent Lessor from exercising any other available rights and remedies. Payments received shall be applied first to delinquent amounts due, including late charges, then to current installments. If any such rental payment is made by check and such check is returned to Lessor for any reason, including without limitation, insufficient funds in Lessee's account, then Lessee shall be assessed a fee of \$25.00 in addition to any other late charge or any other fee which may be applicable.

If the Property is located in a jurisdiction which imposes any "Sales," "Use," or "Rental" tax, at Lessor's option, Lessor shall collect such tax from Lessee and remit such tax to the appropriate taxing authority or Lessee shall remit such tax directly to the appropriate taxing authority. Such requirement may only be waived if Lessee is exempt from such tax under applicable laws or regulations. Lessee is responsible for ensuring that such exemption is properly documented in accordance with such laws and regulations and that such documentation is provided to Lessor at the inception of each Schedule.

Except as specifically provided in the Schedule, if the Property is subject to personal property taxes, Lessor shall report all leased Property to the proper taxing authorities unless the laws or regulations of the applicable taxing jurisdictions require that Lessee shall report such Property. If Lessor receives any invoice from the taxing authorities for applicable personal property taxes, Lessor shall pay any such taxes directly and Lessee agrees to reimburse Lessor for all such taxes paid by Lessor. If Lessee receives any such invoice, Lessee agrees to promptly remit such taxes directly to the taxing authorities and maintain proof of payment. Upon termination of each Schedule, Lessor will, if applicable, estimate Personal Property Taxes on the Property based upon the most recent tax assessment of the Property or on the tax rates and taxable value calculations as available from the appropriate taxing jurisdiction. In the event that the actual personal property tax bill is within \$500.00 of such estimate, then Lessor shall not seek reimbursement from Lessee for any underpayment, and Lessor may retain any overpayment. If the difference between such estimate and the actual tax bill exceeds \$500.00, Lessor shall refund or Lessee shall remit the entire difference.

4. LOSS OR DAMAGE. No loss or damage to the Property, or any part of it, shall impair any obligation of Lessee hereunder. Lessee assumes all risk of damage to or loss of the Property, however caused, while in transit and during the term hereof. If any Property is totally destroyed, Lessee's liability to pay rent for it may be discharged by paying Lessor the Stipulated Loss Value of the Property if such a Value is provided in the applicable Schedule or, the amount specified in Section 14(e) of this Lease, less the amount of any recovery received by Lessor from any insurance or other source.

5. OWNERSHIP, LOCATION, MAINTENANCE AND USE. Lessee transfers to Lessor all right, title and interest, including any and all ownership interest, which Lessee may have in or to the Property. Lessee represents and warrants that it has the legal right to make such transfer and that such transfer does not constitute a transfer of all or substantially all of the assets of Lessee, and that such transfer does not constitute all or a portion of a "bulk transfer" under the Uniform Commercial Code. Unless otherwise stated in any Schedule, Lessor shall be the owner of and hold legal title to the Property for all purposes. At its own risk, Lessee shall use or permit the use of the Property primarily at the location specified in the Schedule (unless the Property is mobile, in which case it may be moved in the ordinary course of business) and shall not remove the Property from such location without prompt written notice to Lessor. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Property shall not be moved outside the United States without Lessor's prior, written consent. Without Lessor's prior written consent, Lessee shall not loan, sublet, part with possession or otherwise dispose of the Property. Lessee shall at its sole expense maintain the Property in good repair, appearance and functional order and in compliance with any manufacturer's and regulatory maintenance and performance standards, shall keep complete records and documents regarding its use, maintenance and repair, shall not use or permit the use of the Property in any unintended, injurious or unlawful manner, shall not permit use or operation of the Property by any one other than Lessee's qualified employees and shall not change or alter the Property without Lessor's written consent. Lessee shall adhere to reasonable practices for Lessee's industry and the type of Property, for security against terrorism and other risks. Lessee shall not create, cause, or permit any kind of claim, levy, lien or legal process on the Property, and shall forthwith satisfy, remove and procure the release thereof. The Property is and always shall remain personal property. Lessee shall not cause or permit the Property to be used or located in such a manner that it might be deemed a fixture. Lessee shall secure from each person not a party hereto who might secure an interest, lien or other claim in the Property, a waiver thereof. At Lessor's request, Lessee shall affix and maintain, at its expense, in a prominent and visible location, all ownership notices supplied by Lessor.

6. LEASE. This is a non-cancelable contract of lease. Except as otherwise provided in any Schedule hereunder, nothing herein or in any other document executed in conjunction herewith shall be construed as conveying or granting to Lessee any right, title or interest, legal or equitable, in or to the Property, other than possession and use, subject to and upon full compliance with the provisions hereof. Lessor shall not interfere with Lessee's right of quiet enjoyment so long as there is no Event of

Default hereunder. Lessee and Lessor agree that this Lease is a "Finance Lease" as defined by the Uniform Commercial Code Article 2A, the Uniform Personal Property Leasing Act. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Lessee hereby grants to Lessor a security interest in the Property and in any of Lessee's rights in any associated software, as security for all Lessee's obligations to Lessor of every kind and nature. Lessee authorizes and ratifies Lessor's filing of financing statement(s) (and Lessee agrees to pay the cost of filing the same in all public offices where filing is deemed by Lessor to be necessary or desirable) and naming of Lessor as lienholder and/or owner on any vehicle title(s).

Lessee hereby acknowledges that all of the leased Property was selected by Lessee from supplier(s) chosen by Lessee. Lessee is familiar with all supply contract rights provided by the supplier(s) and is aware that the supplier(s) may be contacted for a full description of any rights Lessee may have under any supply contract. So long as Lessee is not in default under this Lease, Lessor hereby assigns to Lessee, without recourse, all of Lessor's rights arising under any warranties applicable to the Property provided by the manufacturer or any other person. All proceeds of any warranty claim from the manufacturer or any other person shall first be used to repair the affected Property.

7. GENERAL INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE. Lessee assumes liability for, and agrees to defend, indemnify and hold Lessor harmless from any claim, liability, loss, cost, expense, or damage of every nature (including, without limitation, fines, forfeitures, penalties, settlements, and attorneys' fees) by or to any person whomsoever and/or property whatsoever, regardless of the basis, including allegations (by third parties) of wrongful, negligent or improper act or misuse by Lessor, which results from or pertains to the leasing, manufacture, delivery, ownership, use, possession, selection, performance, operation, inspection, condition (including without limitation, latent or other defects, and whether or not discoverable), improvements, removal, return or storage of the Property, except arising while the Property is in the possession of Lessor or its agent.

Upon request of Lessor, Lessee shall assume the defense of all demands, claims, actions, suits and proceedings against Lessor for which indemnity is provided and shall allow Lessor to participate in the defense thereof. Lessor shall be subrogated to all rights of Lessee for any matter which Lessor has assumed obligation hereunder, and may settle any such demand, claim, or action without Lessee's prior consent, and without prejudice to Lessor's right to indemnification hereunder.

Lessee shall obtain insurance coverage for the Property. The expense of such insurance coverage shall be borne by Lessee and is not covered by Lessee's rental payments hereunder. Lessee shall maintain in force, at all times from shipment of the Property to Lessee until surrender thereof, property damage and risk insurance and liability insurance with such coverage and from such insurance carriers as shall be satisfactory to Lessor. The Property must be insured against all risks which are customarily insured against on the type of property leased hereunder. The amount of Lessee's liability insurance shall not be less than \$1,000,000.00. Such insurance policies must name Lessor as an additional insured and lender's loss payee, and provide for ten (10) days advance written notice to Lessor of modification or cancellation. Lessee shall, upon request, deliver to Lessor satisfactory evidence of the insurance coverage. In the event Lessee fails to maintain coverage as provided herein, Lessor may, in addition to any other rights available to Lessor, obtain coverage, and any sum paid therefor by Lessor (including any charges assessed by Lessor for such service) shall be immediately due and payable to Lessor by Lessee.

8. INCOME TAX INDEMNITY. Lessee hereby represents, warrants, and covenants to Lessor as follows:

(a) This Lease shall be a lease for federal and state income tax purposes; Lessor shall be treated as the purchaser, owner, lessor, and original user of the Property and Lessee shall be treated as the lessee of the Property for such purposes.

(b) Lessor shall be entitled to depreciation deductions with respect to each item of Property as provided by Section 167(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), determined under Section 168 of the Code by using the applicable depreciation method, the applicable recovery period, and the applicable convention, all as may be specified on the applicable Schedule for the Property, and Lessor shall also be entitled to corresponding state depreciation deductions.

(c) For purposes of determining depreciation deductions, the Property shall have an income tax basis equal to Lessor's cost for the Property specified on the applicable Schedule, plus such expenses of the transaction incurred by Lessor as may be included in basis under Section 1012 of the Code, and shall be placed in service (and certified as such by Lessee) by the last business day of the same calendar year in which the Schedule for such Property is executed.

(d) The maximum federal and state income tax rates applicable to Lessor in effect on the date of execution and delivery of a Schedule with respect to an item or items of Property will not change during the lease term applicable to such Property.

If for any reason whatsoever any of the representations, warranties, or covenants of Lessee contained in this Lease or in any other agreement relating to the Property shall prove to be incorrect and (i) Lessor shall determine that it is not entitled to claim all or any portion of the depreciation deductions in the amounts and in the taxable years determined as specified in (b) and (c), above, or (ii) such depreciation deductions are disallowed, adjusted, recomputed, reduced, or recaptured, in whole or in part, by the Internal Revenue Service or applicable state taxing authority (such determination, disallowance, adjustment, recomputation, reduction, or recapture being herein called a "Loss"), then Lessee shall pay to Lessor as an indemnity and as additional rent such amount as shall, in the reasonable opinion of Lessor, cause Lessor's after-tax economic yield (the "Net Economic Return") to equal the Net Economic Return that would have been realized by Lessor if such Loss had not occurred. The amount payable to Lessor pursuant to this section shall be payable on the next succeeding rental payment date after written demand therefor from Lessor accompanied by a written statement describing in reasonable detail such Loss and the computation of the amount so payable.

Further, in the event (i) there shall be any change, amendment, addition, or modification of any provision of applicable state law or of the Code or regulations thereunder or interpretation thereof with respect to the matters set forth in this section with respect to any Property or (ii) if at any time there shall be any change, amendment, addition, or modification of any provision of applicable state law or of the Code or regulations thereunder or interpretation thereof with respect to the maximum applicable federal and state income tax rates as set forth in (d) above, which results in a decrease in Lessor's Net Economic Return, then Lessor shall recalculate and submit to Lessee the modified rental rate required to provide Lessor with the same Net Economic Return as it would have realized absent such change and the Lease shall thereupon automatically be deemed to be amended to adopt such rental rate and values.

9. INSPECTION AND REPORTS. Lessor shall have the right, at any reasonable time, to enter on Lessee's premises or elsewhere and inspect the Property and any records and documents regarding its use, maintenance and repair. Lessee shall give Lessor immediate notice and copy of all tax notices, reports, or inquiries, and of all seizure, attachment, or judicial process affecting or relating to the use, maintenance, operation, possession, or ownership of the Property. Lessor shall obtain copies of Lessee's financial statements from the Securities and Exchange Commission. If such statements are unavailable for any reason, then within thirty (30) days after Lessor's request, Lessee shall deliver all information (including tax returns) requested by Lessor which Lessor deems reasonably necessary to determine Lessee's current financial condition and faithful performance of the terms hereof. This may include: (i) reviewed or audited annual financial statements (including, without limitation, a balance sheet, a statement of income, a statement of cash flow, a statement of changes in equity, and notes to financial statements) within 120 days after Lessee's fiscal year end, and (ii) management-prepared interim financial statements within 45 days after the requested reporting period(s). Annual statements shall set forth the corresponding figures for the prior fiscal year in comparative form, all in reasonable detail without any qualification or exception deemed material by Lessor. Unless otherwise accepted by Lessor, each financial statement submitted to Lessor shall be prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles consistently applied and shall fairly and accurately present the Lessee's financial condition and results of operations for the period to which it pertains.

10. LESSEE'S REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES. Lessee hereby represents, warrants, and covenants that:

(a) Lessee has adequate power and capacity to enter into this Lease, any Schedule, and any other documents required to be delivered in connection with this Lease (collectively, the "Documents"); the Documents have been duly authorized, executed and delivered by Lessee and constitute valid, legal and binding agreements, enforceable in accordance with their terms; there are no proceedings presently pending or, to the best knowledge of Lessee, threatened against Lessee which will impair its ability to perform under the Lease; and all information supplied to Lessor is accurate and complete.

(b) Lessee's entering into the Lease and leasing the Property does not and will not: (i) violate any judgment, order, or law applicable to the Lease, Lessee or Lessee's organizational documents; or (ii) result in the creation of any lien, security interest or other encumbrance upon the Property, other than as granted hereunder.

(c) All information and representations furnished by Lessee to Lessor concerning the Property are accurate and correct.

(d) All financial data of Lessee or of any consolidated group of companies of which Lessee is a member ("Lessee Group") delivered to Lessor have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a consistent basis with prior periods and fairly present the financial position and results from operations of Lessee, or of the Lessee Group, as of the stated date and period(s). Since the date of the most recently-delivered financial data, there has been no material adverse change in the financial, business or operating condition of Lessee or of the Lessee Group.

(e) If Lessee is a business entity, it is and shall be validly existing and in good standing under laws of the state of its organization, and Lessee shall give written notice to Lessor within 30 days of any termination or revocation of Lessee's existence by its state of organization. Lessee shall not change its state of organization, headquarters or residence without providing prior written notice to Lessor. The persons signing the Documents are acting with all necessary authority and hold the offices indicated below their signatures, which are genuine.

(f) Lessee has not received any tax or accounting advice from Lessor, and Lessor shall have no liability for Lessee's failure to secure any particular tax benefits or accounting treatment with respect to the Lease or the Property.

11. ASSIGNMENT; CHANGE IN CONTROL. LESSEE SHALL NOT ASSIGN OR IN ANY WAY DISPOSE OF ALL OR ANY OF ITS RIGHTS OR OBLIGATIONS UNDER THIS LEASE OR ENTER INTO ANY SUBLEASE OF ALL OR ANY PART OF THE LEASED PROPERTY WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT OF LESSOR. IN CONNECTION WITH THE GRANTING OF SUCH CONSENT AND THE PREPARATION OF NECESSARY DOCUMENTATION, A FEE SHALL BE ASSESSED EQUAL TO ONE PERCENT (1%) OF THE SUM OF THE REMAINING BALANCE THEN DUE HEREUNDER PLUS ANY RESIDUAL VALUE OF THE PROPERTY. In the event that Lessor has consented to any sublease of the Property, Lessee hereby assigns and grants to Lessor a security interest in any and all rights under any sublease(s), to secure all obligations to Lessor, and Lessee shall deliver to Lessor the original of such sublease(s).

Lessee shall not consolidate or merge with or into any other entity, liquidate or dissolve, distribute, sell or dispose of all of its ownership interests, properties or any substantial portion thereof other than in the ordinary course of its business, without the prior written consent of Lessor.

LESSEE AGREES THAT LESSOR MAY ASSIGN OR TRANSFER THIS LEASE OR LESSOR'S INTEREST IN THE LEASED PROPERTY WITHOUT NOTICE TO LESSEE. Any assignee of Lessor shall have all of the rights (except for any rights retained by the assignor Lessor), but none of the obligations (which arise prior to the date of the assignment), of Lessor under this Lease and Lessee shall not assert against any assignee of Lessor any defense, counterclaim or offset that Lessee may have against Lessor. Any assignee of Lessor shall have the obligations of the Lessor that arise after the assignment (except for any obligations retained by the assignor Lessor). Lessee acknowledges that any assignment or transfer by Lessor will not materially change Lessee's duties or obligations under this Lease nor materially increase the burdens or risks imposed on Lessee. Lessee shall cooperate with Lessor in executing any documentation reasonably required by Lessor or any assignee of Lessor to effectuate any such assignment.

12. SURRENDER. As long as Lessee has provided notice to Lessor in accordance with the Schedule prior to the expiration or termination of the term specified in each Schedule, unless Lessee shall exercise any purchase option granted in connection with such Schedule, Lessee shall, at its risk and expense and according to manufacturer's recommendations, assemble, prepare for delivery, and deliver the applicable Property and all manuals, records, certificates and documents regarding its use, maintenance and repair to any location specified by Lessor within the continental United States. To the extent that any such purchase option specifies that the purchase price shall be the "fair market value" of the Property, the term "fair market value" shall be defined as the value of the Property in continued use. Upon return of the Property any upgrades and improvements shall become the property of Lessor. Any upgrades, parts or improvements may only be removed from the Property if their removal shall not impair the Property's ability to operate according to any manufacturer's and regulatory performance standards and specifications. The Property shall be delivered unencumbered and free of any liens, charges, or other obligations (including delivery expense and sales or use taxes, if any, arising from such delivery) and shall be in good working order, in the same condition, appearance, and functional order as when first leased hereunder, reasonable wear and tear excepted, and in the condition specified or described in the applicable Schedule. At Lessor's request, Lessee shall at Lessee's expense provide Lessor with a written certification by an independent engineer or other recognized expert acceptable to Lessor to the effect that the Property is in the condition required hereunder. In lieu of delivery, Lessor may, at its option, direct Lessee to dispose of all or a portion of the Property in a proper and lawful manner at a recognized disposal site at Lessee's sole cost and responsibility.

13. DEFAULT. Time is of the essence under this Lease, and Lessee shall be in default in the event of any of the following ("Event of Default"): (a) any failure to pay when due the full amount of any payment required hereunder, including, without limitation, rent, taxes, liens, insurance, indemnification, repair or other charge; (b) any misstatement or false statement in connection with, or non-performance of any of Lessee's obligations, agreements, or affirmations under or emanating from, this Lease; (c) Lessee's death, dissolution, termination of existence; (d) if any of the following actions or proceedings are not dismissed within sixty (60) days after commencement: Lessee's insolvency, becoming the subject of a petition in bankruptcy, either voluntary or involuntary, or in any other proceeding under federal bankruptcy laws; making an assignment for benefit of creditors; or being named in, or the Property being subjected to a suit for the appointment of a receiver; (e) any default under any agreement between Lessee and Lessor (other than this Lease) or between Lessee and any affiliate of Lessor; (f) any failure to pay, as and when due, any obligation of Lessee, whether or not to Lessor, arising independently of this Lease; (g) any removal, sale, transfer, encumbrance, seizure or levy of or upon the Property; (h) bankruptcy, insolvency, termination, death, dissolution, or default of any guarantor for Lessee; (i) any actual or anticipated (in Lessor's reasonable discretion) unauthorized revocation, nonrenewal or termination of a letter of credit, surety bond or other instrument issued for the benefit of Lessor as additional security for the obligations of Lessee hereunder; or (j) any unauthorized filing by Lessee of a termination statement for any financing statement filed by Lessor.

14. REMEDIES. Upon the occurrence of any Event of Default which continues for more than ten (10) days and at any time thereafter, Lessor shall have all remedies provided by law; and, without limiting the generality of the foregoing and without terminating this Lease, Lessor, at its sole option, shall have the right at any time to exercise concurrently, or separately, without notice to Lessee (unless specifically stated), any one or all of the following remedies:

(a) Request Lessee to assemble the Property and make it available to Lessor at a reasonable place designated by Lessor and put Lessor in possession thereof on demand;

(b) Immediately and without legal proceedings or notice to Lessee, enter the premises, take possession of and remove the Property or render it unusable (any such taking shall not cancel or terminate this Lease);

(c) Declare the entire amount of rent and other sums payable hereunder immediately due and payable; however, in no event shall Lessor be entitled to recover any amount in excess of the maximum permitted by applicable law;

(d) Cancel this Lease as to any or all items of Property. Such cancellation shall occur only upon notice by Lessor and only as to such items of Property as Lessor specifically elects to cancel. This Lease shall continue in full force and effect as to any remaining items;

(e) Recover all of the following: (i) any accrued and unpaid rent, plus (ii) the present value of all future rentals reserved in the Lease and contracted to be paid over the unexpired term of the Lease, discounted at the rate of four percent (4%); plus, (iii) the anticipated residual value of the Property as of the expiration of this Lease or any renewal thereof, discounted at the rate of four percent (4%); (iv) any indemnity payment, if then determinable; (v) all commercially reasonable costs and expenses incurred by Lessor in any repossession, recovery, storage, repair, sale, re-lease or other disposition of the Property, including legal expenses and reasonable attorneys' fees plus costs of collection of any amounts owed hereunder, including any collection agency fee; and, (vi) the value of all tax benefits lost to Lessor as a result of Lessee's default or the enforcement by Lessor of any remedy; plus interest ("Default Interest") on each of the foregoing, from the date such amounts are due until paid, at the lesser of (i) the maximum rate per annum which Lessor is permitted by law to charge, or (ii) the greater of: (y) eighteen percent (18%) per annum, or (z) five percent (5%) per annum over the prime rate which is announced from time to time by U.S. Bank National Association to be its prime rate; and,

(f) Lessor may, but is not required to, re-lease or sell any or all of the Property at a public or private sale on such terms and notice as Lessor shall deem reasonable. The proceeds of any sale or lease shall be applied in the following order of priorities: (i) to pay all of Lessor's expenses in taking, removing, holding, repairing and disposing of Property, including legal expenses and reasonable attorneys' fees; then (ii) to pay any late charges and interest accrued; then (iii) to pay accrued but unpaid rent together with the anticipated residual value, future rent, interest and all other due but unpaid sums (including any indemnification and sums due under other Leases or agreements in default). Any remaining proceeds will reimburse Lessee for payments which it made to reduce the amounts owed to Lessor in the preceding sentence. Lessor shall keep any excess. If the proceeds of any sale or lease are not enough to pay the amounts owed to Lessor under this Section, Lessee shall pay the deficiency.

No remedy referred to in this paragraph is intended to be exclusive, but shall be cumulative and in addition to any other remedy referred to above or otherwise available to Lessor at law or in equity.

15. LESSEE'S WAIVERS. To the extent permitted by applicable law, upon Lessee's execution of a Delivery and Acceptance Certificate for each Schedule, with respect to that Schedule Lessee waives any and all rights and remedies now or hereafter conferred by statute or otherwise including but not limited to Lessee's rights to: (i) cancel or repudiate this Lease; (ii) reject or revoke acceptance of the Property; (iii) recover damages from Lessor for any breaches of warranty; (iv) claim, grant or permit a security interest in the Property in Lessee's possession or control for any reason; (v) deduct all or part of any claimed damages resulting from Lessor's default, if any, under this Lease; (vi) accept any partial delivery of the Property; (vii) "cover" by making any purchase or lease of or contract to purchase or lease property in substitution for the Property; or (viii) commence legal action against Lessor for specific performance, replevin, sequestration, claim and delivery or the like for the Property.

16. NOTICES, ATTORNEYS' FEES, GOVERNING LAW AND JURY WAIVER. All notices shall be mailed or delivered by facsimile transmission or overnight courier to the respective parties at the addresses shown on any Schedule hereto or such other address as a party may provide in writing from time to time. In any interpretation or enforcement of the Lease and any related documents or any dispute related thereto or to the relationship between the parties, Lessee shall pay Lessor's legal expenses and reasonable attorneys' fees, including any incurred before and at trial, on appeal, in any other proceeding or without any litigation being filed. This Lease, and the rights and liabilities of the parties shall be governed by applicable federal law and the laws of the State of Oregon. Any legal action or proceeding with respect to this Lease shall be brought in state court sitting in Portland, Oregon, and, by execution and delivery of this Lease, each of the parties consents to the jurisdiction of such court and waives any defense of lack of jurisdiction or inconvenient forum. Service of process by overnight courier will be sufficient to confer personal jurisdiction over the Lessee. LESSOR AND LESSEE EACH IRREVOCABLY WAIVE ALL RIGHTS TO TRIAL BY JURY IN ANY LITIGATION ARISING FROM OR RELATED TO THIS LEASE.

17. SEVERABILITY. If any of the provisions of this Lease are contrary to, prohibited by, or held invalid under applicable laws, regulations or public policy of any jurisdiction in which it is sought to be enforced, then that provision shall be considered inapplicable and omitted but shall not invalidate the remaining provisions. In no event shall this Lease be enforced in any way which permits Lessor to charge or collect interest in excess of the maximum lawful rate. Should interest collected exceed such rate, Lessor shall refund such excess interest to Lessee. In such event, Lessee agrees that Lessor shall not be subject to any penalties provided by law for contracting for or collecting interest in excess of the maximum lawful rate.

18. SURVIVAL. All of Lessor's rights, privileges and indemnities contained herein shall survive the expiration or other termination of the Lease and any Schedules, and the rights, privileges and indemnities contained herein are expressly made for the benefit of, and shall be enforceable by, Lessor, its successors and assigns.

19. LESSOR'S DISCLAIMERS; DISCLAIMERS OF WARRANTIES. Lessor has obtained the Property based on specifications furnished by the Lessee. Lessor does not deal in property of this kind or otherwise hold itself or its agents out as having knowledge or skill peculiar to the Property. Lessee acknowledges that it has relied on its own skill and experience in selecting property suitable to the Lessee's particular needs or purposes and has neither relied upon the skill or judgment of Lessor nor believes that Lessor or its agents possess any special skill or judgment in the selection of Property for Lessee's particular purposes. Further, Lessee has not notified Lessor of Lessee's particular needs in using the Property.

Lessee understands and agrees that neither the supplier(s) nor any salesman or any agent of the supplier(s) is an agent of Lessor. No salesman or agent of supplier is authorized to waive or alter any term or condition of this Lease, and no representation as to the Property or any other matter by the supplier shall in any way affect Lessee's duty to pay the rent and perform its obligations as set forth in this Lease. Lessor shall not be liable to Lessee for any incidental, consequential, or indirect damages or for any act, neglect, omission, breach or default by any third party.

LESSOR ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR AND MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE TITLE, DESIGN, COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFICATIONS, CONDITION, QUALITY, WORKMANSHIP, OR THE SUITABILITY, SAFETY, ADEQUACY, OPERATION, USE OR PERFORMANCE OF THE PROPERTY OR AS TO ITS MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR AS TO PATENT, TRADEMARK OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT. ANY DELAY IN DELIVERY SHALL NOT AFFECT THE VALIDITY OF THIS LEASE.

LESSOR SHALL NOT BE LIABLE TO LESSEE FOR ANY REPRESENTATION CLAIM, BREACH OF WARRANTY, EXPENSE OR LOSS DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY CAUSED BY ANY PERSON, INCLUDING LESSOR, OR IN ANY WAY RELATED TO THE PROPERTY.

20. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, WAIVERS, SUCCESSORS, NOTICE, ETC. This Lease and any Schedule and associated documents expressly referring hereto (each, a "Transaction") contain the entire agreement of the parties and shall not be qualified or supplemented by course of dealing. However, in any case where the Lessor takes an assignment from a vendor of its security interest in the same Property, the terms of the Transaction shall be incorporated into the assigned agreement and shall prevail over any inconsistent terms therein but shall not be construed to create a new contract. No waiver or modification by Lessor of any of the terms or conditions hereof shall be effective unless in writing signed by an officer of Lessor. No waiver or indulgence by Lessor of any default or deviation by Lessee of any

required performance shall be a waiver of Lessor's right to subsequent or other full and timely performance. This Lease shall be binding on the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns and shall inure to the benefit of such successors and assigns. Paragraph headings shall not be considered a part of this Lease. Lessor may make a profit from fees, estimated tax payments and other charges that Lessee is required to pay hereunder. If any of the executed Documents are delivered to Lessor by facsimile transmission, such Documents (and signatures thereon) shall be treated as, and have the same force and effect as, originals. Lessee shall also promptly execute and deliver to Lessor such further documents and take further action as Lessor may request to more effectively carry out the intents and purposes of this Lease.

Under Oregon law, most agreements, promises and commitments made by Lessor concerning loans and other credit extensions must be in writing, express consideration and be signed by Lessor to be enforceable.

21. POWER OF ATTORNEY. LESSEE HEREBY AUTHORIZES AND APPOINTS LESSOR AS ITS ATTORNEY-IN-FACT TO COMPLETE AND EXECUTE IN LESSEE'S NAME AND TO MAKE NON-MATERIAL AMENDMENTS (INCLUDING COMPLETING AND CONFORMING THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY (INCLUDING SERIAL NUMBERS)) ON ANY DOCUMENT IN CONNECTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT (INCLUDING ANY DOCUMENT NECESSARY FOR PROCESSING ANY VEHICLE CERTIFICATE OF TITLE) AND TO OBTAIN, ADJUST AND SETTLE ANY INSURANCE REQUIRED BY THIS AGREEMENT AND TO ENDORSE ANY DRAFTS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH INSURANCE.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Lessor and Lessee have each caused this Master Lease Agreement to be duly executed as of the day and year first above written.

U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.
(LESSOR)

MGP Ingredients, Inc.
(LESSEE)

By: /s/ [Illegible]
An Authorized Officer Thereof

By: /S/ Don Tracy
Don Tracy
Chief Financial Officer

08/10

ADDRESS FOR ALL NOTICES TO LESSOR:
PO Box 230789
Portland, OR 97281-0789



EQUIPMENT FINANCE
PO Box 230789
Portland, OR 97281-0789

June 30, 2011

Don Tracy
MGP Ingredients, Inc.
100 Commercial Street
Atchison, KS 66002

RE: 1166954-001-0018787-001
MGP Ingredients, Inc.

Dear Don Tracy:

Due to an increase in the Spot Rate (as that term is used in the Lease) from 1.77% to 1.93% on June 29, 2011, the monthly rental payments due under such Lease have been recalculated pursuant to the Payment Adjustment provision in the Lease Schedule. The recalculated monthly rental payment is \$109,707.90 per month. The Mid-Term Option purchase price is recalculated to \$1,328,234.68. Hereafter, the basic monthly rental payments shall remain fixed throughout the Term of the Lease.

Please contact customer service at (800) 225-8029 with any questions you may have in this matter.

Very truly yours,

CUSTOMER SERVICE
05/08



EQUIPMENT FINANCE
PO Box 230789
Portland, OR 97281-0789

June 29, 2011

MGP Ingredients, Inc.
100 Commercial Street
Atchison, KS 66002
Attention: Don Tracy

Re: Schedule #001-0018787-001 to Master Lease Agreement dated June 28, 2011 between U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc. ("USBEP") and MGP Ingredients, Inc. ("MGP")

Dear Mr. Tracy:

This will confirm the agreement of USBEP to fund the purchase of the equipment further described on the Schedule, including but not limited to twenty (20) modular cooling towers, 2007, Marley, NC8312G, 21,800 GPM with pressure pumps, tanks, coolers and other related equipment, as further described on Exhibit A attached to the Schedule to Master Lease Agreement dated June 28, 2011 together with accessories (the "Equipment"), on the condition that, within 60 days after USBEP pays the funds, USBEP must have received: (1) a duly-executed ground lease and a sublease agreement in form acceptable to USBEP, both of which need to be filed by the 60th day; (2) a title report on the real property located at 1300 Main Street, Atchison, KS 66002; County: Atchison and (3) any duly-executed mortgagee and/or other lien waivers deemed to be necessary after review of the title report, in order to confirm USBEP's first priority lien on the Equipment and the real property. USBEP will require that MGP pay for any associated out-of-pocket costs, including attorneys fees and title company fees.

If the above conditions are not met within 60 days after USBEP's funding of the Schedule, this will constitute an Event of Default under the Master Lease Agreement dated June 28th, 2011, Section 13. Please acknowledge your agreement to these terms by signing below and returning a copy of this signed letter to me.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (503) 797-0832.

Very truly yours,

ACKNOWLEDGED & AGREED:
MGP Ingredients, Inc.

Melissa Kaul
Vice President
cc: Scott R. Todd

By: /s/ Don Tracy
Don Tracy, Chief Financial Officer
Date: 6-29-11

THIS SCHEDULE is made as of June 28, 2011 by and between **U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.** ("Lessor"), having its principal place of business at PO Box 230789, Portland, OR 97281-0789, and **MGP Ingredients, Inc.** ("Lessee"), having its business located at 100 Commercial Street, Atchison, KS 66002, pursuant to the Master Lease Agreement dated as of June 28, 2011 between Lessee and Lessor (the "Lease"), the terms of which (including the definitions) are incorporated herein. The terms of the Lease and this Schedule together shall constitute a separate instrument. Capitalized terms used but not defined herein are used with the respective meanings specified in the Lease. If any terms hereof are inconsistent with the terms of the Lease, the terms hereof shall prevail.

LESSOR AND LESSEE HEREBY COVENANT AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The following specified equipment (the "Property") is hereby made and constituted Property for all purposes pursuant to the Lease:

See Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof;

TOGETHER WITH ALL REPLACEMENTS, PARTS, REPAIRS, ADDITIONS, ACCESSIONS AND ACCESSORIES INCORPORATED THEREIN OR AFFIXED OR ATTACHED THERETO AND ANY AND ALL PROCEEDS OF THE FOREGOING, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, INSURANCE RECOVERIES.

2. The Property will be installed or stored at the following address:

1300 Main Street, Atchison, KS 66002; County: Atchison

3. The cost of the Property ("Property Cost") is: \$7,335,213.54

Please Initial Here: _____

4. This Schedule shall commence on June 30, 2011. Lessee shall owe basic rental payments (plus applicable sales/use taxes) in Advance payable as follows: Seventy Two (72) rental payments in the amount of \$109,365.72 each. The first such payment shall be due on June 30, 2011 and shall continue on the same day of each month thereafter until the end of the term of this Schedule. In addition, Lessee shall pay daily pro-rata rental in the amount of \$3,645.52 per day (plus applicable sales/use taxes) from the date of funding for the Property through commencement. Such daily pro-rata rental shall be due and payable at commencement.

5. **PAYMENT ADJUSTMENT.** In the event that a forward rate lock agreement has not been executed, on the date of funding (the "Adjustment Date") the monthly rental payments due hereunder and the Mid-Term Option purchase price shall be recalculated based upon a change in the spot rate for Seventy Two (72)-month U.S. Bancorp's Funds Transfer Pricing Rate/Cost of Funds (the "Spot Rate") from June 24, 2011 until the Adjustment Date. If, on the Adjustment Date, the Spot Rate is greater or less than 1.77%, then the monthly rental payments due hereunder and the Mid-Term Option purchase price shall be adjusted accordingly to reflect the actual rate. Thereafter, the monthly rental payments shall remain fixed during the Term hereof.

6. **DEPRECIATION.** Lessor shall be entitled to modified accelerated cost recovery depreciation based on 100% of Property Cost using the 200% declining balance method, switching to straight line, for 7 year Property, and zero salvage value for property valued at \$220,000.00. Lessor shall be entitled to modified accelerated cost recovery depreciation based on 100% of Property Cost using the 200% declining balance method, switching to straight line, for 7 year Property, and zero salvage value for Property valued at \$7,115,213.54. In addition, Lessee represents that the Property in the amount of \$7,115,213.54 qualifies for "bonus" depreciation under the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (as such act may have been amended), which entitles Lessor to an allowance equal to 100% of the Property Cost for the first year that the Property is placed in service.

7. **MAINTENANCE, USE, AND RETURN PROVISIONS.** One hundred eighty (180) days prior to return of the Property, and at any other time in Lessor's discretion, Lessee must be able to demonstrate that the Property can perform at its performance specifications according to the manufacturer's standards. An independent certified technician chosen by Lessor shall demonstrate the performance of the Property and the Property's physical condition. If it is determined that improvements are needed in order to meet the manufacturer's performance standards, at Lessor's request Lessee shall cause such improvements to be made at Lessee's expense or remit such expense to Lessor as payment for extraordinary wear and tear. A certification letter from such technician as to the working condition and performance of the Property shall be provided to Lessor. The cost of the technical inspection, assessment and certification shall be borne by Lessee

Any special transportation devices, such as metal skids, lifting slings, brackets, etc., which were with the Property when it originally arrived must be used to assist with deinstallation and delivery. Blocking of sliding members, securing of swinging doors, pendants, and their swinging components, wrapping, boxing, bending and labeling of all components and documents must be done in a conscientious and meticulous manner to facilitate efficient reinstallation. At no time are materials which would be considered "Hazardous Waste by any regulatory authority to be shipped with the machinery. Replacement parts must be purchased from sources approved by the original manufacturer. No components, tools or attachments are to be removed from the Property.

8. If the Property is not placed in service (and certified as such by Lessee's execution of a Delivery and Acceptance Certificate) by the last business day of the same calendar year in which this Schedule is executed, Lessor shall have the right to readjust the amount of the monthly rental payment to compensate for the resulting change in Lessor's tax benefits.

9. **TITLE PASSAGE.** a. As long as no Event of Default has occurred and is continuing, Lessee shall have the options to purchase all, but not part, of the Property at the end of Sixty (60) months (hereinafter called the "Mid-Term Option Date" and "Mid-Term Option") or at the end of the Base Term or, subject to provisions of subsection (1) below, any extension thereof (hereinafter called the "End of Term Option Date" and "End of Term Option").

- b. The above Options may only be exercised by Lessee by written notice of such exercise to Lessor. At the end of the Base Term or any Extended Term, if Lessee intends to return the Property instead of exercising the End of Term Option, Lessee shall give written notice to Lessor. Notice must be received by Lessor; 1) no earlier than one hundred fifty (150) days nor later than ninety (90) days prior to the Mid-Term Option Date to exercise the Mid-Term Option; or 2) no earlier than three hundred sixty (360) days nor later than one hundred eighty (180) days prior to the End of Term Option Date to exercise the End of Term Option or to return the Property. Notice of Lessee's intent to return the Property during any Extended Term (as defined below) after the first Extended Term shall be given as provided below. Payment of the purchase price must be received by Lessor on or before the Mid-Term Option Date or the End of Term Option Date as appropriate

- c. The Mid-Term Option purchase price for the Property shall be \$1,324,642.23. The End of Term Option purchase price for the Property shall be the fair market value of the Property at the time of such exercise as mutually agreed upon by Lessor and Lessee. If such parties cannot agree thereon after good faith negotiation, the purchase price of the

Property shall be the value determined by an appraisal of the Property made by a qualified and reputable independent equipment appraiser

certified for the type of Property being appraised, assuming the Property is in as good operating condition and appearance as when initially leased hereunder, ordinary wear and tear excepted. The appraiser shall be selected by Lessor, and the cost of the appraisal shall be paid by Lessee.

d. The Mid-Term Option purchase price shall only be applicable in the event that the Mid-Term Option is exercised in accordance with its terms. Such purchase price shall not be deemed to be equal to the "anticipated residual value" as such phrase is used in the Lease.

e. Upon receipt of payment of the purchase price together with any and all applicable sales or other taxes due in connection therewith and any and all remaining sums or other amounts payable under this Schedule, Lessor shall transfer all its right, title and interest in and to the Property to Lessee. The Property shall be transferred "As Is" and "Where Is" without any express or implied representations or warranties.

f. Should Lessee fail to either exercise the End of Term Option or give notice of the return of the Property as provided herein, then Lessor, at its sole option, shall have the right to: a) declare the End of Term Option terminated and demand return of the Property; or, b) extend the Term for an additional six (6) months (the "Extended Term"). Should Lessor elect to extend the Term, Lessee shall be irrevocably obligated to remit monthly rent for the period beginning on the day immediately succeeding the last day of the expiring Term (the "Holdover Date") and ending at the end of the sixth (6th) month thereafter. During any first Extended Term after the Base Term Lessee shall have no right to return the Property. A payment of rent shall be due on the Holdover Date and on the same day of each consecutive month thereafter. Each payment of rent during any Extended Term shall be in the amount of the basic monthly rent for the last month of the Term, in accordance with the provisions of this Schedule. All Lessee's other obligations under the Lease shall remain in full force and effect for so long as Lessee shall continue to possess the Property. Upon the expiration of each Extended Term, Lessor, at its sole option, shall have the right to: a) permit Lessee to exercise the End of Term Option in accordance with its Terms, b) declare the End of Term Option terminated and demand return of the Property; or, c) extend the Term for an additional six (6) month Extended Term (an "Additional Extended Term"). During any Additional Extended Term, Lessee shall have the right to terminate the Additional Extended Term by returning the Property, but only if (i) Lessee gives Lessor notice of Lessee's intent to return the Property (a "Holdover Return Notice") and such Holdover Return Notice is received by Lessor no later than one hundred eighty (180) days prior to the date the Property is returned; and (ii) Lessee returns the Property to Lessor in accordance with the terms of the Lease. If a Holdover Return Notice is not received by Lessor at least one hundred eighty (180) days prior to the Holdover Return Date (as defined below), but the Property is returned during an Additional Extended Term in accordance with the terms of the Lease, the Term shall end on the date which is one hundred eighty (180) days after Lessor received the Holdover Return Notice, or, if no Holdover Return Notice is received by Lessor, one hundred eighty (180) days after the Holdover Return Date. As used herein, "Holdover Return Date" means the date that Lessee returns the Property to Lessor during an Additional Extended Term in accordance with the terms of the Lease. Any and all rental payments pursuant to this Paragraph shall be deemed for all intents and purposes to be payments for possession and use of the Property after the expiration of the Term, and shall not be credited to any other obligation of Lessee to Lessor. Lessor's invoicing and/or accepting any such payment shall not give rise to any right, title or interest of Lessee other than to possession and use of the Property during the period to which such rent applies in accordance with this Paragraph. The aforesaid right to charge Lessee rent for possession and use of the Property is not in limitation or derogation of any of Lessor's rights pursuant to the Lease.

g. U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc. has assigned its rights (but not its obligations) regarding the sale of the Property herein to USBEF Exchange Co. as part of an IRC Section 1031 exchange. This assignment does not affect the lease contract between Lessee and U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc. and has no effect on Lessee's ownership, rights or obligations hereunder. If Lessee decides to purchase this Property, it shall make its check payable to "USBEF Exchange Co., Account" instead of U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Lessor and the Lessee have each caused this Schedule to be duly executed as of the day and year first above written.

U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.

By: /s/[Illegible]
An Authorized Officer Thereof

MGP Ingredients, Inc.

By: /s/ Don Tracy
Don Tracy
Chief Financial Officer

ADDRESS FOR ALL NOTICES:
PO Box 230789
Portland, OR 97281-0789

This Certificate is delivered to and for the benefit of Lessor and pertains to the following personal property (the "Property") which is the subject of Schedule Number 1166954-001-0018787-001, dated as of June 28, 2011, to Master Lease Agreement, dated as of June 28, 2011, between U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc. as Lessor and MGP Ingredients, Inc. as Lessee (the "Lease"):

See Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof;

TOGETHER WITH ALL REPLACEMENTS, PARTS, REPAIRS, ADDITIONS, ACCESSIONS AND ACCESSORIES INCORPORATED THEREIN OR AFFIXED OR ATTACHED THERETO AND ANY AND ALL PROCEEDS OF THE FOREGOING, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, INSURANCE RECOVERIES.

To the extent that the above description has been altered by us or differs from the Property description set forth in the Lease (including, but not limited to, changes to mode or serial numbers), we certify that such alterations or differences are accurate and we acknowledge that, based upon this certification: 1) the Lease is hereby amended to reflect the above Property description; and 2) Lessor is hereby authorized to file amendment(s) to any Financing Statements filed under the Uniform Commercial Code in connection with the Lease, provided that all such amendments are consistent with the above Property description.

WE HEREBY CERTIFY AND ACKNOWLEDGE THAT: a) the Property has been delivered to us; b) any necessary installation of the Property has been fully and satisfactorily performed; c) after full inspection thereof, we have accepted the Property for all purposes as of the date hereof; d) any and all conditions to the effectiveness of the Lease or to our obligations thereunder have been satisfied; e) we have no defenses, set-offs or counterclaims to any such obligations; f) the Lease is in full force and effect; and g) no Event of Default has occurred under the Lease

WE HEREBY REPRESENT AND WARRANT THAT: a) any right we may have now or in the future to reject the Property or to revoke our acceptance thereof has terminated as of the date hereof; b) we hereby waive any such right by the execution hereof; c) the date of this Certificate is the earliest date upon which the certifications, acknowledgments, representations and warranties made herein could be correctly and properly made. We hereby acknowledge that Lessor is relying on this Certificate as a condition to making payment for the Property.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have executed this Certificate as of the 28th day of June, 2011.

DO NOT SIGN UNLESS PROPERTY HAS BEEN DELIVERED AND YOU ARE ACCEPTING IT AFTER DELIVERY.

After signing and dating, please return to:

MGP Ingredients, Inc.

**U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.
13010 SW 68th Parkway, Suite 100
Portland, OR 97223**

**By: /s/ Don Tracy
Don Tracy
Chief Financial Officer**

Reference is made to that certain Master Lease Agreement, dated **June 28, 2011**, and Schedule dated June 28, 2011 (the "Agreements") wherein **U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.** is the Lessor and **MGP Ingredients, Inc.** is the Lessee.

The "Property" and/or "Collateral" (as defined and used in the above Agreements and any and all related documents) includes the following:

Twenty (20) modular cooling towers, 2007, Marley, NC8312G, 21,800 GPM with pressure pumps, tanks, coolers and other related equipment, further described as follows:

<i>Vendor</i>	<i>Invoice #</i>	<i>Invoice Date</i>	<i>Equipment Description</i>
Alfa Laval Inc.	10509218	11.17.10	One (1) Alfa Laval cooling water tower Widegap 350S-FG Plate;
Alfa Laval Inc.	10509337	11.19.10	One (1) Alfa Laval cooling water tower; Widegap, 350S-FG Plate;
Alfa Laval Inc.	10509990	12.06.10	One (1) Alfa Laval cooling water tower Widegap 350S-FG Plate;
American Electric Company	8370-666405	11.23.10	One (1) 1500KVA Transformer; One (1) 2000KRA Transformer;
American Electric Company	8370-669421	01.06.11	One (1) Motor Control Center, Model 6 LVMCC; MCC5200-01;
American Electric Company	8370-669434	01.06.11	Two (2) Motor Control Centers; MCC5201-01; MCC5200-02;
American Electric Company	8370-669855	01.13.11	One (1) SQD HRG Package Co#2 for QED Switchboard;
American Electric Company	8370-670132	01.18.11	One (1) SQD HRG Package Co#3 for Existing Gear;
American Electric Company	8370-670133	01.18.11	One (1) QED 2000A Switchboard;
American Electric Company	8370-670134	01.18.11	Three (3) SQD 500HP Drives;
American Electric Company	8370-671902	03.30.11	Two (2) SQD Breaker Testing; Two (2) NC361200 1200A Breakers;
American Electric Company	8370-674563	03.24.11	One (1) SQD Field Services I;
American Electric Company	8370-876424	04.15.11	Two (2) SQD Metal Enclosed Switchgear;
Bachelor Controls, Inc.	9387	01.25.11	Drawing Submittal Milestone; Receipt of Material at BCI Milestone;
CDI	127401	09.17.10	One (1) 201NN-K125-U9-C7A-TT, Direct Mount Switch; One (1) 737A-F3C-B-A2-N7-TT-SCX, Special Displacers; One (1) 708A-F3C-B-A4-N7-TT-SCX; Two(2) 711-K1-N-P7-G-TT; Two (2) 371-N30-G3A-TT;
CDI	127827	02.11.11	One (1) 708A-F3C-B-A4-N7-TT-SC;

<i>Vendor</i>	<i>Invoice #</i>	<i>Invoice Date</i>	<i>Equipment Description</i>
Emerson	3375517	09.15.10	One (1) Magnetic Flowmeter Transmitter-Remote, s/n 0304957; One (1) Magnetic Flowmeter Flowtube, s/n 0162654; One (1) Magnetic Flowmeter Transmitter-Remote, s/n 0304958; One (1) Magnetic Flowmeter Flowtube, s/n 0162653
Emerson	3385612	09.29.10	Three (3) Temperature Transmitters, Unit s/n's: 0671207; 0671208; 0671209; Three (3) Thin-Film Platinum Rtd Sensors, Unit s/n's: 1360184; 1360105; 1380188; One (1) Temperature Transmitter; s/n 0671210; One (1) Thin-Film Platinum Rtd Sensor, s/n 1360187; Five (5) Temperature Transmitters, Unit s/n's: 0671211; 0671212; 0671213; 0671214; 0671215; One (1) Orifice Plat, s/n 0093155; One (1) Scalable Classic Level Differential Pressure Transmitter. s/n 2432331;
Emerson	3388902	10.07.10	One (1) Magnetic Flowmeter Flowtube, s/n 0194966; One (1) Magnetic Flowmeter Transmitter-Remote s/n 0306658;
Enpro Inc.	F-25124-0	08.16.10	Two (2) Level Sensors with SS Tags, Model LT-5200-12 & LT-5200- 14;
Experitec, Inc.	604800A	11.02.10	One (1) NPS 12 (300MM) WCC Single Flange Body, 316 SST Spacer, Steel Seal Retainer, Tag/Serial #: FCV-5200-04/19552282; type 1052 Diaphragm Rotary Actuator Size 60, Spring No. 1K162827082, 90 Deg Rotation, Trav DVC6020-303 with Mounting Kit, Tag/Serial #: TCV-5102-03/19552287;
Experitec Inc.	604800B	11.11.10	Two (2) NPS 3 (80MM) WCC Single Flange Body, 316 SST Spacer, Steel Seal Retainer, CL 150-300 PN 10-40 SGL; Tag/Serial # LCV 5201-01/19552288 & LCV-5200-01/19552289;
Experitec, Inc.	609516A	12.27.10	Two (2) Replacement 24 VDC Solenoids far Tags SV-5200-09 & XV-5201-01;
Experitec, Inc.	609519A	01.12.11	Direct Namur Mt, ** 24 VDC ** EPL PRF, UL/CSA, 104 DEG F MAX AMB 67CFR-239; Regulator, 67 CFR, 1/4" NPT Alum Body; NIT/Brass Trim,0-160 PSIG Gauge;
Experitec, Inc.	604802A	01.17.11	SPGSET#4, Inset 19, Sid Indicator Namur Plate, Acc.Conn.IS05211 Unc VA40883; Asco Solenoid, 1/4" Alum. Body; Topworx, 2SPDT Mech Switch; Hytork Safemount MTG Bracket; Regulator, 67AFR, 1/4" NPT alum Body, NIT/Brass Trim; 0-60 PSIG Gauge;
Fastenal Company	KSLEA29582	02.03.11	One (1) Bradley 269-1421 FLO, Control No. LEK55610
Flowserve Pump Company	2011021	11.04.11	One (1) 1K1515US820P-S/D4, MK3 US Primer GP1K, s/n 1001930CHP003A;
Flowserve Pump Company	2016252A & 2016680	12.06.10	One (1) 3K10X8-14RV-D4 MK3 Std GRP3K, s/n 1001930CHP001A;
Flowserve Pump Company	201625213	12.10.10	One (1) 10LR-18A Bare Pump, s/n 1001930CHP004A/C;
Flowserve Pump Company	2019129	12.29.10	One (1) 2K2X1.5US-10ARV-D4 MK3 Std GP2;
Flowserve Pump Company	7149743	12.29.10	One (1) 300-LNN 475/1 Pump and Motor Package;
Free Country Design & Construction, Inc.	10-209	07.30.10	Demolition-Exterior; Labor
Free Country Design & Construction, Inc.	10-231	08.31.10	Rebar Material; Structural Metal Material; Piping & Material; Exhaust Fans; Electrical; Malarial - Relocate Pneumatic; Conveyor Extension;
Free Country Design & Construction, Inc.	10-247	09.30.10	Labor & Materials for Cooling Tower/Chiller Building
Free Country Design & Construction, Inc.	10-264	10.29.10	Labor & Materials for Cooling Tower/Chiller Building

<i>Vendor</i>	<i>Invoice #</i>	<i>Invoice Date</i>	<i>Equipment Description</i>
Free Country Design & Construction, Inc.	10-283	11.30.10	Labor & Materials for Cooling Tower/Chiller Building
Free Country Design & Construction, Inc.	10-307	12.31.10	Labor & Materials for Cooling Tower/Chiller Building
Free Country Design & Construction, Inc.	11-104	01.31.11	Labor & Materials for Cooling Tower/Chiller Building
Free Country Design & Construction, Inc.	11-128	02.28.11	Labor & Materials for Cooling Tower/Chiller Building
Gerber Electric	222451	10.18.10	1-1/2in X110 x 6 box, 2-1/2in NMLT connectors; 1-3/4in NMLT connectors; 1-3/4in NMLT connectors; 1-3/4in NMLT connectors 6ft 1/2in NMLT; 2ft 3/4in NMLT, 1-4ft T8 light, 2-4ft TB bulbs; 4 wire nuts 4 anchors; 2-1/2 beam clamps; 12in 1/2in T rod; 6-1/4in nuts; 10-1/4in washers; 40ft #12 thhn, 20ft 3/4in rigid; 6-3/4in NMLT connectors; 2-1/2in NMLT connectors 15ft 3/4in NMLT; 15ft 1/2in NMLT; 10 anchors; tape, IQ0150 breaker; 100ft#14 thhn; labor
IBT	5496537	02.08.11	Two (2) CM CBTP-0300 Trollys; Two (2) CM LMHA6000 04317W Ton Manual Chain Hoist with Two (2) 10' Lifts;
Interstates Construction Services	1	01.07.11	Contracted Labor;
Interstates Construction Services	2	02.10.11	Contracted Labor
Interstates Construction Services	3	03.10.11	Contracted Labor;
Interstates Construction Services	4	04.10.11	Contracted Labor;
Johnson Controls	1-1920284671 & 1-2218566077	11.18.10	One (1) York 4180 Volt SS Starter; Micro Board, Oil Pump & Misc. Materials;
Johnson Controls	1-2123408476	12.28.10	Equipment & Materials for York 4160 Volt SS Starter;
Jorban Riscoe	1023110	12.3.10	Eighteen (18) Marley CLg. Tech Cooling Tower Parts (CTP1); gaskets; padding & sealer for NC8312 cooling towers;
Mechanical Equipment Company, Inc.	37264	08.17.10	Down payment on Two (2) 6,500 gallon tanks;
Mechanical Equipment Company, Inc.	37619 & 37621	12.3.10	Two (2) 6500 Gallon VST w/Opaque resin for sodium Hypochlorite;
Mid-States Supply Company, Inc.	2408680-01	09.24.10	Three (3) Ashcroft Thermometers; Three (3) Temtex Thermowell, T5 Series Tapped; Two (2) Ashcroft Thermometers; Two (2) Thermowell, T5 Series; Six (6) Ashcroft Thermometers; Six (6) Temtex Thermowell 15 Series Tapped; Six (6) Ashcroft General Serv Gauges; One (1) Ashcroft General Serv Gauge; Nineteen (19) Ashcroft General Serv Gauges;
Mid-States Supply Company, Inc.	1323660-01	04.13.11	Two (2) 12 LD30225 250# Lug Di Bfly Valves;
Mid-States Supply Company, Inc.	1323660-02	05.02.11	Four (4) 12 LD30225 250# Lug Di Bfly Valves;

<i>Vendor</i>	<i>Invoice #</i>	<i>Invoice Date</i>	<i>Equipment Description</i>
Ohmart Vega	208361	12.31.10	Two (2) Vegedis 61 DIS61.UFAND, s/n's: 19744885 & 19744886
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-01	07.03.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-01 EX	07.03.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-02	07.17.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-02 EX	07.17.10	Supervision
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-03	07.31.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-04	08.04.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-05	08.28.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-06	09.11.10	Supervision;
QBM Management Inc.	MGP 10-07	09.25.10	Supervision;
QBM Management Inc.	MGP 10-08	10.09.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-09	10.23.10	Supervision;
QBM Managemen, Inc.	MGP 10-10	11.06.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-11	11.20.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-12	12.04.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-13	12.18.10	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-15	01.15.11	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-16	01.29.11	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-17	02.12.11	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-18	02.26.11	Supervision;

<i>Vendor</i>	<i>Invoice #</i>	<i>Invoice Date</i>	<i>Equipment Description</i>
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-19	03.12.11	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-20	03.26.11	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-21	04.09.11	Supervision;
QBM Management, Inc.	MGP 10-22	04.23.11	Supervision
Shamrad	155992	10.18.10	One (1) Barometric Surge Tank;
Siemens	3316303	03.16.11	One (1) C771-26S/Pump, 10 gph, 80 psi. 120 vac;
Surwest Corp	70894	02.17.11	Two (2) Matrix Model MW-5550 -113-010 Vibralert 10G Range Tag: 10G; Sixteen (16) Matrix Model MW-5550-111-010 Vibralert 50 Range Tag: 50;
Terracon	T148388	08.30.10	Labor; Vehicle Charge; Project Management;
Terrecon	T155576	09.27.10	Labor; Vehicle Charge; Project Management;
Terracon	T164006	11.01.10	Labor; Vehicle Charge; Project Management;
Terracon	T170420	11.22.10	Labor; Vehicle Charge; Project Management;
Terracon	T177022	12.20.10	Labor; Vehicle Charge; Project Management;
Terracon	T184006	01.24.11	Labor; Vehicle Charge; Project Management;
Terracon	T188846	02.14.11	Labor; Vehicle Charge; Project Management;
Terracon	T194386	03.14.11	Labor; Vehicle Charge; Project Management;
Terracon	T200840	04.11.11	Labor; Vehicle Charge; Project Management;
Thayer Supply Co	95490	04.19.11	Two (2) 2000-1366-260 12" Hymax Couplings;
Thermal Gas System	4183	07.29.10	One (1) Acoustic IR Techonology 20-1000PPM-Model 1-D1-3+AL, s/n; 4635-4635;
Maas Companies Inc.	100006	10.28.09	(Lot 70) York Maxe Liquid Chilling System - Chiller Model YKTGTBJ4-DDFS;
			(Lot 200) SPX Cooling Technologies Lot - Package Cooling Towers;
			(Lot 201) SPX Cooling Technologies Lot - Package Cooling Towers;
Daws Incorporated	83241	12.22.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83271	12.22.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83238	12.22.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83243	12.22.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83244	12.22.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83272	12.22.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83273	12.22.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83240	12.22.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;

<i>Vendor</i>	<i>Invoice #</i>	<i>Invoice Date</i>	<i>Equipment Description</i>
Daws Incorporated	83242	12.22.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83333	12.22.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83331	12.23.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83269	12.31.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges; Fuel Surcharges
Daws Incorporated	83356	12.31.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges; Fuel Surcharges.
Daws Incorporated	83350	12.31.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges; Fuel Surcharges.
Daws Incorporated	83320	12.28.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83448	01.05.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83353	01.05.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83423	01.05.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83358	01.05.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83332	01.05.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83359	01.05.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83239	01.05.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83354	01.04.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83426	01.04.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83357	01.04.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83355	01.04.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83351	01.04.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83425	01.04.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83427	01.04.10	Cooler Tower Permit charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83352	01.04.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83421	01.06.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges; Fuel Surcharges'
Daws Incorporated	83598	01.15.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83270	01.15.10	Cooler Tower;
Industrial Process Technology	147-09-09	10.09.09	(Lot 70) York Chiller; (Lot 200) Cooling Tower; (Lot 201) Cooling Tower;
Industrial Process Technology	147-09-60	01.23.10	(Lot 70) York Chiller; (Lot 200) Cooling Tower; (Lot 201) Cooling Tower;
Industrial Process Technology	147-09-45	12.26.09	(Lot 200) Cooling Tower; (Lot 201) Cooling Tower;
Daws Inc	83880	01.26.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges;

<i>Vendor</i>	<i>Invoice #</i>	<i>Invoice Date</i>	<i>Equipment Description</i>
Free Country Design & Constriction, Inc.	10-106	01.20.10	Truck & Crane Rental; Materials;
Free Country Design & Construction, Inc.	10-108	01.25.10	Labor & Equipment to offload One (1) York Chiller;
Daws Incorporated	83633	01.27.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83632	01.15.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83599	01.15.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83597	01.15.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83596	01.15.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Daws Incorporated	83447	01.11.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	25735.00-1	08.28.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	25735.00-2	09.25.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	25735.00-3	10.30.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	25735.00-4	11.25.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	25735.00-5	12.23.09	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	42111.00-1	01.13.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	42111.00-3	02.09.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	42111.00-4	02.23.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	42111.00-5	03.08.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	42111.00-6	03.26.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	42111.00-7	04.08.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	42111.00-8	04.23.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	42111.00-9	05.05.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
Harris Group Inc	42111.00-10	05.20.10	Cooler Tower Permit Charges; Escort Charges;
KB Electric	2171001	02.17.10	Labor;
Midland Surveying	19366	04.06.10	Surveying services;
Midland Surveying	19367	04.06.10	Surveying services; Geotechnologicy Fees;
Terracon	T119537	05.04.10	Drilling and Sampling in-Soil; Consulting;
Free Country Design & Construction	11-149	03.31.11	Labor and Materials;

TOGETHER WITH ALL REPLACEMENTS, PARTS, REPAIRS, ADDITIONS, ACCESSIONS AND ACCESSORIES INCORPORATED THEREIN OR AFFIXED OR ATTACHED THERETO AND ANY AND ALL PROCEEDS OF THE FOREGOING, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, INSURANCE RECOVERIES.

U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.
[Lessor]

By: /s/ [Illegible]
An Authorized Officer Thereof

MGP Ingredients, Inc.
[Lessee]

By: /s/ Don Tracy
Don Tracy
Chief Financial Officer

Schedule Number 1166954-001-0018787-001

1. FOR VALUE RECEIVED in the amount of Seven Million Three Hundred Thirty Five Thousand Two Hundred Thirteen Dollars and 54 Cents (\$7,335,213.54), MGP Ingredients, Inc., whose address is 100 Commercial Street, Atchison, KS 66002 ("Seller"), does hereby sell to **U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.** ("Buyer"), having its principal mailing address at PO Box 230789, Portland, OR 97281-0789 the following personal property ("Property"):

See attached Exhibit A;

TOGETHER WITH ALL REPLACEMENTS, PARTS, REPAIRS, ADDITIONS, ACCESSIONS AND ACCESSORIES INCORPORATED THEREIN OR AFFIXED OR ATTACHED THERETO AND ANY AND ALL PROCEEDS OF THE FOREGOING, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, INSURANCE RECOVERIES.

2. Seller promises that the Property is located at:

1300 Main Street, Atchison, KS 66002; County: Atchison

3. Seller hereby transfers to the Buyer all right, title and interest, including any and all ownership interest, which the Seller has in or to the Property. Seller covenants and warrants that it is the owner of the Property, has the right to sell the same and that it is free from all liens, encumbrances, claims and demands of all persons whomsoever.

4. Seller promises that this sale is not part of a sale of all or substantially all of the assets of Seller, or part of a "bulk transfer" under the Uniform Commercial Code.

5. Seller agrees to the terms of this Bill of Sale, acknowledges that it is given for good and valuable consideration, and acknowledges that Seller has received such consideration and that it is sufficient.

U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc. has assigned its rights (but not its obligations) hereunder, regarding the Property described herein, to USBEF Exchange Co. as part of an IRC Section 1031 exchange. This assignment has no effect on your rights or obligations hereunder.

This BILL OF SALE is made on **June 28, 2011**.

MGP Ingredients, Inc.,
[Seller]

By: /s/ Don Tracy
Don Tracy
Chief Financial Officer

USbancorp

MORTGAGEE'S WAIVER

EQUIPMENT FINANCE

Schedule Number **1166954-001-0018787-001**

WHEREAS, **Union State Bank of Everest**, ("Mortgagee") having an address at 701 Kansas Ave., Atchison, KS holds a mortgage and/or deed of trust on the premises situated at 1300 Main Street, Atchison, KS 66002 (the "Premises"); and

WHEREAS, **U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.** ("USBEP"), pursuant to either a certain Master Lease Agreement or Master Loan Agreement, dated as of **June 24, 2011** and Schedule thereunder, dated as of **June 24, 2011** attached thereto (the "Financing Documents") between USREF and **MGP Ingredients, Inc.** (the "Obligor"), proposes to place, or has placed in or upon the Premises, for the benefit of the Obligor's business, personal property consisting of machinery and/or equipment, including any and all replacements, repairs, additions, accessions and accessories thereto, all as more fully described below (all hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Equipment");

Twenty (20) modular cooling towers, 2007, Marley, NC8312G, 21,800 GPM with pressure pumps, tanks, coolers and other related equipment, as further described on Exhibit A:

TOGETHER WITH ALL REPLACEMENTS, PARTS, REPAIRS, ADDITIONS, ACCESSIONS AND ACCESSORIES INCORPORATED THEREIN OR AFFIXED THERETO AND ANY AND ALL PROCEEDS OF THE FOREGOING, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, INSURANCE RECOVERIES.

The Equipment shall be located at the following location:

1300 Main Street Atchison, KS 66002; County Atchison

NOW THEREFORE, Mortgagee, in consideration of USBEP's financing the Equipment to the Obligor, and in consideration of the benefit to Mortgagee resulting from such better utilization of the Premises, and intending to be legally bound, hereby agrees that:

1. Ownership of (in the case of a Master Lease Agreement), the right to possession of and any security interest in the Equipment, as provided in the Financing Documents, shall be and remain at all times in USBEP or Obligor as applicable, its successors, and assigns, free of any claim or right of Mortgagee, its successors and assigns. Mortgagee waives each and every right which it now has, or may hereafter have, under the laws of any state, or by virtue of any mortgage, deed of trust or any other instrument or agreement now in effect or hereafter executed, to foreclose or levy upon or distrain for rent in arrears or in any manner to claim or assert ownership, possession or title to, or to place a lien upon the Equipment.
2. The Equipment so financed by USBEP to the Obligor Under the Financing Documents or any renewal, extension or modification thereof, shall not, by reason of its installation or being placed in or upon the Premises, be construed as real property or as forming a part of any building thereon, but shall retain its character as personal property and shall at all times be severable from the Premises, and shall not be or be deemed a fixture by reason of being placed in any such building or in any manner annexed, attached or connected to the Premises.
3. USBEP may, in the exercise of its rights against the Obligor, remove the Equipment, or any part thereof, from the Premises at any time without notice to Mortgagee; provided, however, that the party or parties so removing shall be obligated to repair any damage done to the Premises.
4. This Waiver shall run with the land and shall be binding upon the successors and assigns of Mortgagee and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of USBEP.
5. This instrument shall in all respects be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state in which the Premises are located. A copy of this Waiver, which is duly signed and which is received by facsimile transmission ("Fax"), shall be deemed to be of the same force and effect as the original.

WITNESS the due execution hereof as of the 29 day of June, 2011.

[Mortgagee]

By: /s/ Jeff Caudle, SVP
Print Name: Jeff Caudle
Print Title: Senior Vice President

ADDRESS FOR ALLNOTICES:
PO Box 230789
Portland, OR 97281-0789

USBancorp

EQUIPMENT FINANCE
PO Box 230789
Portland, OR 97281-0789

June 29, 2011

Wells Fargo Bank National Association
109 South 7th Street, 4th Floor
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Attn: Authorized Officer

Re: 1166954-001-0018787-001
MGP Ingredients, Inc. ("Customer")

U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc. (USBEF) has filed a UCC-1 financing statement for the Customer for certain property (the "Collateral") described as follows:

Twenty (20) modular cooling towers, 2007, Marley, NC8312G, 21,800 GPM with pressure pumps, tanks, coolers and other related equipment, as further described on Exhibit A;

TOGETHER WITH ALL REPLACEMENTS, PARTS, REPAIRS, ADDITIONS, ACCESSIONS AND ACCESSORIES INCORPORATED THEREIN OR AFFIXED OR ATTACHED THERETO AND ANY AND ALL PROCEEDS OF THE FOREGOING, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, INSURANCE RECOVERIES.

Our lien search found one or more filings by your company under which you might claim an interest in the Collateral. Rather than presenting to you individual partial or full release form(s) for filing, we ask that you agree, by signing below on a copy of this letter, to release any and all interest in the above-described Collateral that you have or may claim at any time by virtue of any documents executed by the Customer in your favor.

If you agree with the above, please sign in the space indicated below and send a copy of this letter to me as soon as possible, via facsimile (503) 797-0844. A copy of this letter, which is duly signed and which is received by facsimile transmission ("fax"), shall be deemed to be of the same force and effect as the original.

If you do not agree, please contact me immediately at (800) 253-3408 Ext. 820.

Very truly yours.

Pam Owens
Documentation Specialist

ACKNOWLEDGED AND AGREED:

Wells Fargo Bank National Association

By: /s/ Becky Koenur
Title: Vice President

EQUIPMENT FINANCE

Schedule Number **1166954-001-0018787-001**

WHEREAS, **Wells Fargo Bank National Association**, ("Mortgagee") having an address at 109 South 7th Street, 4th Floor, Minneapolis, MN 55402 holds a mortgage and/or deed of trust on the premises situated at 1300 Main Street, Atchison, KS 66002 (the "Premises"); and

WHEREAS, **U.S. Bancorp Equipment Finance, Inc.** ("USBEF"), pursuant to either a certain Master Lease Agreement or Master Loan Agreement, dated as of **June 24, 2011** and Schedule thereunder, dated as of **June 24, 2011** attached thereto (the "Financing Documents") between USREF and **MGP Ingredients, Inc.** (the "Obligor"), proposes to place, or has placed in or upon the Premises, for the benefit of the Obligor's business, personal property consisting of machinery and/or equipment, including any and all replacements, repairs, additions, accessions and accessories thereto, all as more fully described below (all hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Equipment");

Twenty (20) modular cooling towers, 2007, Marley, NC8312G, 21,800 GPM with pressure pumps, tanks, coolers and other related equipment, as further described on Exhibit A:

TOGETHER WITH ALL REPLACEMENTS, PARTS, REPAIRS, ADDITIONS, ACCESSIONS AND ACCESSORIES INCORPORATED THEREIN OR AFFIXED THERETO AND ANY AND ALL PROCEEDS OF THE FOREGOING, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, INSURANCE RECOVERIES.

The Equipment shall be located at the following location:

1300 Main Street Atchison, KS 66002; County Atchison

NOW THEREFORE, Mortgagee, in consideration of USBEF's financing the Equipment to the Obligor, and in consideration of the benefit to Mortgagee resulting from such better utilization of the Premises, and intending to be legally bound, hereby agrees that:

1. Ownership of (in the case of a Master Lease Agreement), the right to possession of and any security interest in the Equipment, as provided in the Financing Documents, shall be and remain at all times in USBEF or Obligor as applicable, its successors, and assigns, free of any claim or right of Mortgagee, its successors and assigns. Mortgagee waives each and every right which it now has, or may hereafter have, under the laws of any state, or by virtue of any mortgage, deed of trust or any other instrument or agreement now in effect or hereafter executed, to foreclose or levy upon or distrain for rent in arrears or in any manner to claim or assert ownership, possession or title to, or to place a lien upon the Equipment.
2. The Equipment so financed by USBEF to the Obligor Under the Financing Documents or any renewal, extension or modification thereof, shall not, by reason of its installation or being placed in or upon the Premises, be construed as real property or as forming a part of any building thereon, but shall retain its character as personal property and shall at all times be severable from the Premises, and shall not be or be deemed a fixture by reason of being placed in any such building or in any manner annexed, attached or connected to the Premises.
3. USBEF may, in the exercise of its rights against the Obligor, remove the Equipment, or any part thereof, from the Premises at any time without notice to Mortgagee; provided, however, that the party or parties so removing shall be obligated to repair any damage done to the Premises.
4. This Waiver shall run with the land and shall be binding upon the successors and assigns of Mortgagee and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of USBEF.
5. This instrument shall in all respects be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state in which the Premises are located. A copy of this Waiver, which is duly signed and which is received by facsimile transmission ("Fax"), shall be deemed to be of the same force and effect as the original.

WITNESS the due execution hereof as of the 29 day of June, 2011.

Wells Fargo Bank National Association
[Mortgagee]

By: /s/ Becky Koenur
Print Name: Becky Koenur
Print Title: Vice President

Guidelines for Issuance of Fiscal 2011 Restricted Share Awards
Adopted by the Human Resources Committee of the Board of Directors
of MGP Ingredients, Inc.

RECITALS:

1. MGP INGREDIENTS, INC. has adopted the Stock Incentive Plan of 2004, as amended (the "Plan").
2. Under the provisions of Section 5 of the Plan, the Committee may grant Stock Incentives in the form of Stock Awards.
3. Under the provisions of the Plan, the Committee may provide for Stock Awards in the form of restricted shares (herein "Restricted Shares") to such eligible persons as may be selected by the Committee in its discretion.

Pursuant to the authority granted to it under the provisions of Section 13(c) of the Plan, the Committee adopts the following guidelines with respect to the issuance in 2011 of Stock Awards in the form of Restricted Shares.

A. Terms of Awards of Restricted Shares. Restricted Shares awarded under the Plan with respect to Fiscal 2011 are subject to the following terms and conditions.

B. Vesting. Subject to the provisions of paragraphs C and D of these Guidelines, Restricted Shares issued as Stock Awards under the Plan shall vest (i.e., become owned by the Participant without a substantial risk of forfeiture) only upon the Participant's completion of five (5) full years of employment with the Company, commencing on the grant date (August 25, 2011) and ending on the fifth anniversary of such date (August 25, 2016) (the "Restriction Period"). Notwithstanding vesting, this award will be subject to any applicable claw back provision that may be adopted, as referenced in paragraph J below.

C. Forfeiture. Except as provided in paragraph D, if the employment of the Participant to whom Restricted Shares has been issued terminates for any reason prior to the end of the Restriction Period, such Restricted Shares shall be immediately forfeited by such Participant and cancelled by the Company.

D. Further Conditions on Vesting and Forfeiture.

(i) In the event of a Participant's death, Disability, Retirement or, in the sole discretion of the Committee, involuntary termination of employment without cause, in any such case after three years from the date of grant specified in the agreement evidencing the Stock Award, the Restricted Shares issued to such Participant shall vest as to the number of Restricted Shares issued to such Participant multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which shall equal the number of months (including fractional months as full months) that such Participant was employed by the Company, commencing as of the first day of the Restriction Period and ending on the date of termination of employment, and the denominator of which shall be sixty. The balance of Restricted Shares issued to such Participant shall be forfeited by the Participant and cancelled by the Company.

(ii) Any Restricted Shares shall become fully vested in the Participant in the event of a Change of Control, as defined in the Plan.

(iii) As used herein, the term “Disability” shall mean the inability of a Participant to perform substantially such Participant’s duties and responsibilities due to a physical or mental condition that would entitle such Participant to benefits under the Company’s Long-Term Disability Plan (or any successor to the plan in effect on the date of adoption of these Guidelines) or, if no such plan is in effect, such condition as would enable the Participant to receive an award for permanent and total disability from the Social Security Administration, and the term “Retirement” means the attainment by the Participant of age 62.

(iv) The Committee’s determinations to permit vesting in the event of involuntary terminations of employment without cause need not be uniform and may be made selectively among participants, whether or not such participants are similarly situated.

E. Issuance of Restricted Shares. After the Committee has approved the making of a Stock Award, a certificate or certificates representing the number of shares awarded as a Stock Award in the form of Restricted Shares shall be issued from the Company’s treasury shares and registered in the Participant’s name and may bear substantially the following legend:

“The shares evidenced by this Certificate have been issued pursuant to the MGP Ingredients, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan of 2004, as amended, and a related agreement (the “Agreement”) between the Company and the registered holder. The holder’s rights are subject to the restrictions, terms and conditions of the Plan and to the Agreement, which restricts the transfer of the shares and subjects them to forfeiture to the Company under the circumstances referred to in the Agreement. This legend may be removed when the holder’s rights to the shares vest under the Agreement.”

All certificates so registered in the Participant’s name shall be deposited with the Company, together with stock powers or other instruments of assignment, each endorsed in blank with a guarantee of signature deemed appropriate by the Company which would permit transfer to the Company of all or a portion of the Restricted Shares in the event such award is forfeited in whole or in part. Upon vesting and provision for taxes required to be withheld, such certificate or certificates evidencing unrestricted ownership of the requisite number of shares of Common Stock shall be delivered to the holder of such Stock Award.

F. Rights with Respect to Restricted Shares. The holder of an award of Restricted Shares shall have the following rights of a stockholder of the Company: voting rights and the right to receive dividends during any applicable Restriction Period.

G. Non-Assignability. Except as may be permitted by the Plan, until they have vested, Restricted Shares may not, by operation of law or otherwise, be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise disposed of by the holder thereof or be subject to execution, attachment or other legal process.

H. Provisions of Plan Apply. Even though not set forth herein or in any related grant agreement, the provisions of the Plan applicable to Stock Awards, including those relating to adjustment of Stock Awards, shall apply to Restricted Shares.

I. Taxes. No certificates evidencing ownership of shares shall be delivered to the holder of a Stock Award upon vesting until the holder makes such provision as the Company deems appropriate for the payment of any taxes which the Company may withhold in connection

with the vesting of such Stock Award. Withholding taxes resulting from vesting of Stock Awards may be settled with cash or shares of the Company's Common Stock in accordance with the following guidelines.

(i) Holders may deliver to the Company a personal check satisfactory to the Company in the amount of the tax liability;

(ii) Holders may elect to pay the tax liability in shares of the Company's Common Stock by directing the Company to withhold from the number of shares to be delivered upon vesting that number of shares equal to the amount of the tax liability divided by the fair market value (as defined by the Plan) of one share of the Company's common stock on the date the tax to be withheld is to be determined (the "Tax Date"); or

(iii) Holders may elect to pay the tax liability in shares of the Company's Common Stock by delivering to the Company good and marketable title to that number of shares of Mature Stock (as defined in the Plan) owned by the holder as shall equal the amount of the tax liability divided by the fair market value of one share of the Company's common stock on the Tax Date.

(iv) If a holder does not notify the Company on or before the Tax Date as to the manner the holder wishes to provide for withholding taxes, the Company may, without notice to the holder, satisfy its withholding obligations as provided in clause (ii) above or any other manner permitted by law.

(v) No fractional shares will be issued in connection with any election to satisfy a tax liability by paying in shares. The balance of any tax liability representing a fraction of a share will be settled in cash by the Participant.

(vi) The amount of tax which may be paid pursuant to a stock payment election under clause (ii), (iii) or (iv) above will be the Company's minimum required federal (including FICA and FUTA) and state withholding amounts at the time of the election to pay the taxes with surrendered or withheld shares.

(vii) The foregoing provisions relating to the use of stock to satisfy obligations may be unilaterally revised by the Committee from time to time to conform the same to any applicable laws or regulations.

J. Claw back Provisions. Restricted Share Awards granted under the Plan and incentive based cash compensation received by a recipient of Restricted Shares who is an officer will be subject to any claw back policy that may be adopted by the Human Resources and Compensation Committee from time to time providing for the recovery of incentive based compensation that was paid based on erroneous data.

MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.
AGREEMENT AS TO AWARD OF RESTRICTED SHARES
GRANTED UNDER THE STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN OF 2004

Date of Grant: August 25, 2011

Restricted Shares

In accordance with and subject to the terms and restrictions set forth in the MGP Ingredients, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan of 2004, as amended (the "2004 Plan") and this Agreement, MGP INGREDIENTS, INC., a Kansas corporation (the "Company"), hereby grants to the Participant named below the number of Restricted Shares of Common Stock of the Company as set forth below:

Participant: **Newkirk, Timothy W.**

Number of Restricted Shares under the 2004 Plan: 16,500

NOW, THEREFORE, the Company and the Participant hereby agree to the following terms and conditions:

1. Issuance of Restricted Shares. The shares described above are being issued by the Company to the Participant as Restricted Shares pursuant to the terms and provisions of the 2004 Plan and of the Guidelines for Issuance of Fiscal 2011 Restricted Share Awards (the "Guidelines") adopted by the Human Resources Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company, true copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B and incorporated herein by reference. Upon the execution of this Agreement, the Company shall issue in the Participant's name the aggregate number of Restricted Shares described above, subject to the provisions of the Guidelines requiring that such certificate or certificates be held in the custody of the Company.
 2. Vesting in Restricted Shares. Subject to the provisions of the Guidelines, Restricted Shares shall vest in the Participant upon the Participant's completion of five (5) full years of employment with the Company commencing on August 25, 2011. Except as provided in the Guidelines, the Restricted Shares issued to the Participant shall be forfeited to the Company if the Participant's employment with the Company is terminated prior to the end of the applicable Restriction Period. Notwithstanding vesting, this award will be subject to any applicable claw back policy that may be adopted, as referenced in the Guidelines. As noted in the Guidelines, any such claw back policy will also apply to fiscal 2011 incentive cash compensation and may apply to stock awards or incentive compensation made or paid in prior years.
 3. Restriction on Transfer. The Participant shall not voluntarily sell, exchange, transfer, pledge, hypothecate, or otherwise dispose of any Restricted Shares to any other person or entity during the applicable Restriction Period. Any disposition or purported disposition made in violation of this paragraph shall be null and void, and the Company shall not recognize or give effect to such disposition on its books and records.
 4. Legend on Certificates. In order that all potential transferees and others shall be put on notice of this Agreement and so long as the risk of forfeiture exists under the Plan and Guidelines, each certificate evidencing ownership of the Restricted Shares issued pursuant to the Plan (and any replacements thereto) shall bear a legend in substantially the following form:
-

“The shares evidenced by this Certificate have been issued pursuant to the MGP Ingredients, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan of 2004 and a related agreement (the “Agreement”) between the Company and the registered holder. The holder’s rights are subject to the restrictions, terms and conditions of the Plan and to the Agreement, which restricts the transfer of the shares and subjects them to forfeiture to the Company under the circumstances referred to in the Agreement. This legend may be removed when the holder’s rights to the shares vest under the Agreement.”

5. Controlling Provisions. The provisions of the Guidelines shall apply to the award made under this Agreement. In the event of a conflict between the provisions of this Agreement and the Guidelines, the provisions of the Guidelines will control.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Instrument has been executed as of this 25th day of August, 2011.

MGP INGREDIENTS, INC.

By: /s/ John R. Speirs
John R. Speirs, Lead Director

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I understand and agree that the Restricted Shares to be acquired by me are subject to the terms, provisions and conditions hereof and of the Plan and Guidelines, to all of which I hereby expressly assent. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Company, myself, and our respective successors and legal representatives.

This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and may not be modified, amended, renewed or terminated, nor may any term, condition or breach of any term or condition be waived, except in writing signed by the parties sought to be bound thereby. Any waiver of any term, condition or breach shall not be a waiver of any term or condition of the same term or condition for the future or any subsequent breach. In the event of the invalidity of any part or provision of this Agreement, such invalidity shall not affect the enforceability of any other part or provision of this Agreement.

Signed this 30th of August, 2011

/s/ Timothy W. Newkirk
Signature of Participant

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

MGP Ingredients, Inc.:

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements (Nos. 333-51849, 333-119860, 333-137593, 333-162625, and 333-162626) on Forms S-8 of MGP Ingredients, Inc. of our report dated September 2, 2011, with respect to the consolidated balance sheets of MGP Ingredients, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2011, and Schedule II – Consolidated Valuation and Qualifying Accounts, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011, which appears in the June 30, 2011 annual report on Form 10-K of MGP Ingredients, Inc.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Kansas City, Missouri
September 2, 2011

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

MGP Ingredients, Inc.:

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements (No. 333-51849, 333-119860, 333-137593, 333-162625, and 333-162626) on Forms S-8 of MGP Ingredients, Inc. of our report dated June 24, 2011, with respect to the balance sheets of Illinois Corn Processing LLC as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of operations, changes in members' equity, and statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2010, and for the period November 20, 2009 (Inception) through December 31, 2009, which appears in the June 30, 2011 annual report on Form 10 K of MGP Ingredients' Inc.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Houston, Texas
September 2, 2011

CERTIFICATION

I, Timothy W. Newkirk, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of MGP Ingredients, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: September 2, 2011

/s/ Timothy W. Newkirk
President and Principal Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Don Tracy, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of MGP Ingredients, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: September 2, 2011

/s/ Don Tracy
Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer

CERTIFICATION
OF
PERIODIC REPORT

I, Timothy W. Newkirk, President and Chief Executive Officer of MGP Ingredients, Inc. (the “Company”), certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:

(1) the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, (the “Report”) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: September 2, 2011

/s/ Timothy W. Newkirk
Timothy W. Newkirk

President and Chief Executive Officer

[A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to MGP Ingredients, Inc. and will be retained by MGP Ingredients, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.]

CERTIFICATION
OF
PERIODIC REPORT

I, Don Tracy, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of MGP Ingredients, Inc. (the “Company”), certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:

(1) the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 (the “Report”) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: September 2, 2011

/s/ Don Tracy
Don Tracy

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

[A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to MGP Ingredients, Inc. and will be retained by MGP Ingredients, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.]

Change in Presentation to Prior Consolidated Financial Statements During the second quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company identified an immaterial error in its classification of restricted stock awards on the balance sheet. The Company issues treasury shares for restricted stock awards, which allow the award participants to receive dividends and voting rights. The Company had previously classified the shares related to the awards within treasury stock until the awards were fully vested. The shares should have been presented as outstanding common stock when they were issued. The Company does not believe that these adjustments are material to any of its previously filed quarterly or annual consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The impact of this immaterial error correction is to reclassify certain amounts from treasury stock to additional paid-in capital as well as to reclassify the non-vested restricted shares from treasury stock to common stock. Annual and quarterly amounts and share counts within stockholders' equity have been conformed to this presentation. The amount and share reclassifications are as follows:

	As previously reported				As reclassified			
	Amount		Shares Outstanding		Amount		Shares Outstanding	
	Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock	Common Stock	Treasury Stock
July 1, 2008	\$ 11,862	\$ (15,035)	16,560,578	2,969,766	\$ 9,838	\$ (13,011)	16,960,390	2,569,954
June 30, 2009	\$ 11,572	\$ (14,786)	16,598,585	2,931,759	\$ 6,878	\$ (10,092)	17,531,486	1,998,858
September 30, 2009	\$ 11,403	\$ (14,753)	16,610,814	2,919,530	\$ 6,908	\$ (10,258)	17,496,870	2,033,474
December 31, 2009	\$ 11,465	\$ (14,546)	16,674,655	2,855,689	\$ 6,970	\$ (10,051)	17,537,769	1,992,575
March 31, 2010	\$ 11,626	\$ (14,546)	16,673,075	2,857,269	\$ 7,158	\$ (10,078)	17,532,288	1,998,056
June 30, 2010	\$ 11,990	\$ (14,526)	16,675,744	2,854,600	\$ 7,606	\$ (10,142)	17,519,614	2,010,730
September 30, 2010	\$ 12,303	\$ (14,526)	16,675,744	2,854,600	\$ 6,431	\$ (8,654)	17,814,714	1,715,630

The adjustments resulting from this matter had no impact on total stockholders' equity or the Company's consolidated statements of income. Reported amounts of earnings per share were not impacted by this correction.